

Coillte

Forest Estate Strategic Land Use Management Plan (FESLUP)

Post-Consultation Screening for Appropriate Assessment and Natura Impact Statement

Reference: Final Issue

Issue 02 | 07 December 2023



This report takes into account the particular instructions and requirements of our client. It is not intended for and should not be relied upon by any third party and no responsibility is undertaken to any third party.

Job number 294083-00

Ove Arup & Partners Ireland Limited
50 Ringsend Road
Dublin 4
D04 T6X0
Ireland
arup.com

Contents

Executive Summary	1
1. Introduction	2
1.1 Overview	2
1.2 Legislative Context for Appropriate Assessment	2
1.3 Approach to this AA	3
1.4 Purpose of the AA Process	4
1.5 Statement of Competency	4
1.6 Public Consultation	4
1.7 Layout of Report	4
2. Coillte's Forest Estate Land Use Plan (FESLUP)	5
2.1 Overview	5
2.2 Purpose and Scope of the FESLUP	6
2.3 Content of the FESLUP	7
2.4 Extent of Plan Area	8
2.5 Plan Period	8
2.6 Broad Themes of Activities	8
3. Appropriate Assessment Process	10
3.1 Overview	10
3.2 Guidance	10
3.3 Data Sources	11
3.4 Purpose of Appropriate Assessment	11
3.5 Appropriate Assessment Stages	12
3.6 Definitions	12
3.7 Transboundary Considerations	14
3.8 Impact Prediction	15
4. State of the Environment	16
4.1 Overview	16
4.2 Biodiversity Policy	16
4.3 Conservation Status of EU Protected Habitats and Species	17
4.4 Current Status of Ireland's Protected Habitats & Species	18
4.5 Relevant QIs and SCIs	19
5. Identifying the Zone of Influence	27
5.1 Overview	27
5.2 Identification of Zone of Influence	27
5.3 Source: FESLUP Objectives	28
5.4 Pathway: Potential Pathways for Effect	29
5.5 Receptors: European Sites Under Consideration	31
5.6 Republic of Ireland	31
5.7 Northern Ireland	35
6. Impact Prediction	38

6.1	Overview	38
6.2	Potential for Likely Significant Effects	38
6.3	Potential Effects of the FESLUP	38
6.4	Likely Effects of the FESLUP	40
7.	AA Screening - Assessment of Effects	41
7.1	Overview	41
7.2	Assessment of In-Combination Effects with Other Plans or Projects	56
7.3	AA Screening Conclusion	66
8.	Appropriate Assessment of the FESLUP	67
8.1	Overview	67
8.2	Appropriate Assessment: Purposes and Process	67
8.3	Approach to Appropriate Assessment	67
8.4	Appropriate Assessment: Impact Prediction	68
8.5	Appropriate Assessment: Assessment of Effects	68
8.6	Mitigation	74
9.	Summary and Conclusion	79
9.1	Summary	79
9.2	Conclusions	79
10.	References	81

Tables

Table 1	Emerging Objectives under the Sections of the FESLUP	8
Table 2	Relevant QIs and SCIs Considered in this Report	32
Table 3	European Sites within Northern Ireland identified within the Zone of Influence.	36
Table 4	Screening assessment of the proposed objectives of the FESLUP	42
Table 5	In Combination Assessment with other Policies, Plans and Projects	56
Table 6	Assessment of Effects using the S-P-R method and the potential impacts that may occur on relevant QIs and SCIs as a result.	69
Table 7	Recommended mitigation to negate the risk of adverse effects on the integrity of European sites.	74

Figures

Figure 1	Coillte's planning hierarchy with the FESLUP serving as the bridge between the Strategic Vision and the Implementation Plan	6
Figure 2	Proposed breakdown of Coillte's future management of its estate.	7
Figure 3	Example of S-P-R on a relevant QI/SCI	31
Figure 4	The European Designated Site Network located within the Republic of Ireland and Northern Ireland	34
Figure 5	Physical, hydrological and sub-catchment connectivity to European Sites within Northern Ireland	35

Appendices

Appendix A	A-1
------------	-----

Relevant QIs and SCIs	A-1
Appendix B	B-1
Mandatory Mitigation For Forestry Related Activities	B-1
B.1 Embedded Mitigation Measures for Licensed Forestry Activities	B-2

Executive Summary

Arup has been appointed by Coillte to prepare the Appropriate Assessment (AA) reporting for the Forest Estate Strategic Land Use Plan (FESLUP) 2023-2050. The Plan was prepared by Arup in conjunction with Coillte. The FESLUP sets out the high-level objectives that will enable the delivery of the 'Strategic Vision for Our Future Forest Estate'. Following a period of statutory and public consultation, feedback from this phase shall be reviewed and where appropriate, integrated into the FESLUP. Subsequent to this phase, and following review of the changes, the draft FESLUP shall be published as the FESLUP and adopted as such.

This report contains the AA Screening (Stage 1) and Habitat's Directive AA Natura Impact Statement (NIS) (Stage 2) and provides information on and assesses the potential for the draft FESLUP 2023-2050 firstly to result in likely significant effects on any European site within the Natura 2000 network and secondly, after inclusion of mitigation, to adversely affect the integrity of any European site.

In the preparation of the AA to the draft FESLUP, a multi-step approach was undertaken with the purpose of aligning the AA process with the requirements of the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Directive (2001/42/EC) process; which was also required to support the development of the draft FESLUP. This Screening for AA & NIS report was prepared in accordance with Article 6 of the Council Directive 92/43/EEC on the Conservation of the Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora (as amended), which is transposed into Irish law by Part XAB of the Planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended) and the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations, 2011 (S.I. 477/2011).

This report has considered the potential of the draft FESLUP to give rise to likely significant effects which could adversely affect any European site with regard to their qualifying interests, associated conservation status and the overall site integrity. The 607 European Sites Ireland were scoped into assessment in Ireland on a precautionary basis, as details on specific sources of impact, and therefore distances of pathway, were too high-level to be able to rule out effects on European Sites with certainty. Specifically, in considering the implementation of the draft FESLUP and its nationwide scale, this report has assessed the potential for the draft FESLUP to have likely significant effects on Qualifying Interests and Special Conservation Interests. Specifically, those interests that were identified to be vulnerable or at risk from forestry and recreation related activities which might be arise from the draft FESLUP.

Of the 68 objectives contained within the draft FESLUP, it was determined that 16 of those had the potential, in the absence of mitigation, to have likely significant effects on the Qualifying Interests and Special Conservation Interests of European sites. With the implementation of the mitigation recommended within this NIS, there is sufficient evidence for the NIS to conclude that implementation of the draft FESLUP would not result in adverse effects on the integrity of European sites, alone or in-combination with other plans or projects.

Between the 14th September 2023 and 26th October 2023, the draft FESLUP and relevant environmental reports, including the draft Screening for AA and NIS, were published for public consultation. The outcome of the public consultation has resulted in minor text updates to the FESLUP and incorporation of text changes to the FESLUP Objectives proposed in the draft NIS report . As a result, the Screening for AA and NIS has undergone some minor text updates.

The conclusion of this Post-Consultation Screening for AA and NIS, in consideration of all relevant information including submissions (statutory and non-statutory) is that the implementation of the FESLUP with the mitigation provided within the Post-Consultation NIS package shall not, either individually or in combination with other plans or projects, adversely affect the integrity of any European site.

1. Introduction

1.1 Overview

Coillte, in conjunction with Arup, have prepared a draft Forest Estate Strategic Land Use Plan (referred to hereafter as the ‘FESLUP’ or the ‘Plan’) 2023-2050. The FESLUP sets out the high-level objectives that will enable the delivery of the ‘Strategic Vision for Our Future Forest Estate’. Following a period of statutory and public consultation, feedback from this phase shall be reviewed.

The FESLUP, in conjunction with the Strategic National Vision, aims to deliver multiple benefits from Coillte’s forests, bring more focus to climate action and set ambitious new targets for biodiversity and recreation, all whilst continuing to deliver for the forest and wood products industry. It is guided by the overarching pillars of Climate, Nature, Wood and People as set forward in the Strategic National Vision.

Arup has also been commissioned by Coillte to carry out the Appropriate Assessment (AA) and in tandem, the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) of the FESLUP. The AA provides information on, and assesses the potential for, the FESLUP 2023-2050 firstly, to result in likely significant effects on any European site and secondly, after inclusion of mitigation as necessary, to adversely affect the integrity of any European site within the Natura 2000 Site network (hereafter referred to as European site(s))¹.

Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive requires that any plan or project, which is not directly connected with, or necessary to the management of a European site, but would be likely to have a significant effect, either alone or in combination with other plans or projects, should be subject to AA.

This report is based on the FESLUP and any such updates to the Plan will result in consequent changes to this report. An expanded discussion on each of the QIs and SCIs considered within this AA is provided in Appendix A which adjoins this report.

1.2 Legislative Context for Appropriate Assessment

The Habitats Directive sets out the requirement for Member States to maintain or restore to a favourable conservation status, the natural habitats and species as listed under the Annexes of the Directive. The EU wide network of protected sites of which these natural habitats and species belong to are known as the Natura 2000 site network. Natura 2000 is a network of protected sites which comprises Special Areas of Conservation (SACs) and Special Protection Areas (SPAs) (sometimes referred to as European sites).

SACs are protected sites designated under the Directive on the conservation of natural habitats and wild fauna and flora (92/43/EEC) (the ‘Habitats Directive’). They are high quality sites that contribute significantly to the conservation of a range of habitats and species considered threatened in the EU territory. Under the European Directive 2009/147/EC on the conservation of wild birds (the ‘Birds Directive’), member states have the power and responsibility to identify and classify SPAs in order to protect birds that are rare and vulnerable in Europe.

SACs are selected for the conservation of Annex I habitats (including priority types which are in danger of disappearance) and Annex II species (other than birds). SPAs are selected for the conservation of Annex I birds and all migratory birds and their habitats. The Annex habitats and species, for which each site is selected, are termed the Qualifying Interests (QIs) for SACs and termed Special Conservation Interests (SCI) for SPAs of each site. Conservation Objectives for the site are defined for these QIs and SCIs.

Articles 6(3) and 6(4) of the Habitats Directive set out the decision-making tests for plans and projects likely to affect European sites.

Article 6(3) establishes the requirement for AA:

¹ Appropriate assessment comes from the Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC), which seeks to safeguard the long-term survival of Europe’s most valuable and threatened species and habitats. The geographical areas of particular importance to these species and habitats have been selected as Special Areas of Conservation (SAC) and Special Protection Areas (SPA) which are collectively referred to (in Ireland) as European site (s). Together these sites comprise of the pan-European Natura 2000 site network of protected areas.

Any plan or project not directly connected with or necessary to the management of the [European] site but likely to have a significant effect thereon, either individually or in combination with other plans or projects, shall be subjected to appropriate assessment of its implications for the site in view of the site's conservation objectives. In light of the conclusions of the assessment of the implications for the site and subject to the provisions of paragraph 4, the competent national authorities shall agree to the plan or project only after having ascertained that it will not adversely affect the integrity of the site concerned and, if appropriate, after having obtained the opinion of the general public.

Article 6(4) states:

If, in spite of a negative assessment of the implications for the [European] site and in the absence of alternative solutions, a plan or project must nevertheless be carried out for imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature, Member States shall take all compensatory measures necessary to ensure that the overall coherence of Natura 2000 is protected. It shall inform the Commission of the compensatory measures adopted.

The Habitats Directive has been transposed in Ireland by the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011 (S.I. No. 477 of 2011) (as amended), and by Part XAB of the Planning and Development Act, 2000 (as amended). In the context of the FESLUP, the governing legislation is principally the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011 (S.I. No 477/2011).

Under these Regulations, prior to submitting (to the public authority²) for approval³ a plan⁴ that is not directly connected with or necessary to the management of either a SPA or SAC, public authorities are required to consider whether the plan may have a significant effect on such a site; and where this is the case, the public authority is required to ascertain if the plan would give rise to adverse effects on the integrity of a European site(s).

1.3 Approach to this AA

Our approach aligns the AA process with the requirements of the SEA Directive (2001/42/EC) process which is also required.

The purpose of the SEA is to evaluate at an early stage, the range of environmental consequences that may occur as a result of implementing the FESLUP and to give statutory and public bodies an opportunity to comment upon the perceived or actual environmental impacts of the Plan. Article 3.2(b) of the SEA Directive expressly links to assessments pursuant to Article 6 of Directive 92/43/EEC. The preparation of the SEA and AA reporting comprises an integrated approach, such as sharing of baseline data and mapping of European sites and sharing of potential ecological effects of the FESLUP on European sites.

The SEA process requires that an Environmental Report is prepared to accompany a draft plan for public consultation. After the consultation period, the draft Plan shall integrate the necessary mitigation as stated within the AA report(s) and mitigation and monitoring as found within the SEA report(s). The plan shall then only be finalised in its issue form following this and after incorporating the feedback and submissions from statutory bodies and the public, as appropriate. To facilitate an informed assessment under both processes, it is necessary to consider both the draft and final versions of the plan.

² Public Authority as defined by the Birds and Natural Habitats Regulations 2011 as amended (SI 477/2011): "Public authority" means(u) Coillte.....".

³ Approval of a plan falls under the realm of 'consent' as defined within the Birds and Natural Habitats Regulations 2011 as amended (SI 477/2011): "consent" includes any licence, permission, permit, derogation, dispensation, approval or other such authorisation granted by or on behalf of a public authority, relating to any activity, plan or project that may affect a European Site, and includes the process of adoption by a public authority of its own land use plans or projects; "

⁴ 'Plan' as defined by the Birds and Natural Habitats Regulations 2011 as amended (SI 477.2011): subject to the exclusion, except where the contrary intention appears, of any plan that is a land use plan within the meaning of the Planning Acts 2000 to 2011, includes— (a) any plan, programme or scheme, statutory or non-statutory, that establishes public policy in relation to land use and infrastructural development in one or more specified locations or regions, including any development of land or on land, the extraction or exploitation of mineral resources or of renewable energy resources and the carrying out of land use activities, that is to be considered for adoption or authorisation or approval or for the grant of a licence, consent, permission, permit, derogation or other authorisation by a public authority, or (b) a proposal to amend or extend a plan or scheme referred to in subparagraph (a)

Where, following the period of public consultation, changes are made to the FESLUP, these changes will be assessed to determine their potential for likely significant effects and to ascertain if they would give rise to adverse effects on the integrity of a European site(s). Where necessary, additional information and assessment material will be prepared.

1.4 Purpose of the AA Process

The overall purpose of the AA process is to ensure that the FESLUP does not result in any adverse effects on the integrity of any European site(s) in view of its conservation objectives. This Screening for AA and Natura Impact Statement (NIS) report has been prepared in support of the AA process having regard for the legislative requirements of EU and national law as outlined previously.

1.5 Statement of Competency

The statements of competencies for the contributing authors to this AA report are provided below:

Donncha Madden has a BSc in Applied Ecology and a PGDip in Restoration Ecology and is a Chartered Ecologist and Full Member of CIEEM. Donncha has over 20 years' experience in the environment and ecology sector and has prepared numerous Appropriate Assessment Screening and full Appropriate Assessment reports for a variety of plans and projects in both Ireland and the UK.

Hannah Sheridan has a BSc (Hons) in Marine Science and an MSc in Marine Planning for Sustainable Development and is a Qualifying Member of CIEEM. Hannah has four years of experience working as an ecologist in public and private sectors and has prepared Screening for Appropriate Assessments, Natura Impact Statements/Reports and biodiversity chapters for EIARs across a number of projects and plans in the Republic of Ireland and Northern Ireland.

1.6 Public Consultation

The draft AA Screening & NIS report was published alongside the draft FESLUP for statutory public consultation between the 14th September and 26th October 2023. Following this six week period, text changes were made to the FESLUP consisting of minor amendments to the body of text in recognition of the submissions made. No changes were made to the ambitions or objectives of the Plan.

1.7 Layout of Report

This report presents the Screening for AA (Stage 1) and the NIS (Stage 2) for the FESLUP.

- Section 2 provides an overview of Coillte's FESLUP;
- Section 3 outlines the guidance, data and methodology used to inform the assessment;
- Section 4 provides the information on the state of the environment in Ireland;
- Section 5 outlines the Zone of Influence for the implementation of the FESLUP;
- Section 6 documents Impact Prediction and Potential for Likely Significant Effects;
- Section 7 is the Assessment of Effects of the FESLUP objectives;
- Section 8 documents Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment with the Assessment of Effects and proposed mitigation ;
- Section 9 provides the Summary and Conclusion of the AA.

2. Coillte's Forest Estate Land Use Plan (FESLUP)

2.1 Overview

Coillte is a forestry company, owned by the Irish State, and is listed as a public authority on the Birds and Natural Habitats Regulations 2011 (as amended) (SI 477/2011). The company is the largest supplier of timber in Ireland with operations in timber panel production, renewable energy, and land management. Coillte's core purpose is to deliver the multiple benefits from forests in relation to Climate, Nature, Wood and People.

Coillte runs business divisions within its organisation - Coillte Forest, Land Solutions, Coillte Nature and MEDITE SMARTPLY. Each of these divisions is outlined below from Section 2.1.1 to Section 2.1.4.

Arup, in cooperation with Coillte, is currently preparing Coillte's FESLUP 2023-2050. The FESLUP will set out high-level policies and actions that will enable the delivery of the 'Strategic Vision for Our Future Forest Estate' which was published by Coillte in 2023. Following the publication of the FESLUP, an implementation plan for the FESLUP shall be developed by Coillte and is anticipated to contain detailed actions and measures with geographic specifications.

2.1.1 Coillte Forest

Coillte's forest division sustainably manages the estate of approximately 440,000 hectares in a way that delivers multiple benefits to society. Coillte's Forest estate has both Forest Stewardship Council (FSC®) and Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC™) accreditation, providing certification for sustainable forest management. Coillte is the leading supplier of roundwood to the Irish wood processing sector. Over 3 million cubic metres of roundwood is produced by Coillte's harvesting operations each year, the majority of which is processed by the sawmill sector to produce construction timber, transport of goods and materials, and agricultural and horticultural practices. Small-diameter roundwood is mainly used by wood-based panel mills and, to a lesser extent, for bioenergy. Coillte provides outdoor recreational activities, with open public access to over 6,000 forest properties throughout Ireland, 3,000 km of way-marked trails, 12 forest parks, 6 mountain-bike trails, and 260 recreational sites. Over 18 million annual visits to forests across the country occurs. Coillte's 'Woodlands for Health' programme was designed to provide mental health support.

Over 90,000 hectares of our estate (c. 20%) is managed primarily for biodiversity. These biodiversity areas occur throughout the estate and contain a wide variety of habitats of high biodiversity value including native forest, mixed and conifer forests, blanket bog, raised bog, wet and dry heath. Coillte has developed a science-based approach called 'BioClass', which classifies the ecological value of the biodiversity areas in our estate. This allows Coillte to develop ecological and silvicultural plans to enhance and/or restore these sites to improve their biodiversity value. Work to restore habitats and enhance biodiversity in biodiversity areas is ongoing across the estate. For example, more than 6,000ha of native forest and blanket bogs have already been restored in major projects and further work is ongoing.

2.1.2 Land Solutions

Coillte's Land Solutions division is responsible for building and growing opportunities that create a more sustainable future for Ireland. The division works across a portfolio of businesses. This portfolio includes asset development and providing innovative and sustainable solutions to activities in areas such as renewable energy, housing, infrastructure development, tourism and education.

FuturEnergy Ireland exists as a portfolio within Coillte's business. As part of Coillte's vision to develop 1 Gigawatt (GW) of renewable energy, it has partnered with the ESB through FuturEnergy Ireland with the aim to develop wind farms with the support of local communities in the aim of combating climate change and contributing to more sustainable living. Coillte also continues to work with other developers outside of this joint venture in supporting the development of renewable energy.

Land Solutions is also responsible for acquiring new land and forests and for land sales that support local and national needs. These sales can range from local housing needs to significant infrastructure development in Ireland.

2.1.3 Coillte Nature

In June 2019, Coillte established the not-for-profit, Coillte Nature, which seeks to deliver significant climate and nature solutions through innovative projects-of-scale through:

- Afforestation of land to create new native woodland;
- Restoration of important biodiversity habitats at selected flagship sites;
- Regeneration of urban forests; and
- Rehabilitation of critical ecosystem services.

Since January 2020, work has been ongoing on initiatives such as the Dublin Mountains Makeover, Midlands Native Woodlands, Wild Western Peatlands and Hazelwood Restoration projects. The ‘Nature Trust’ was established in 2021 with forestry partners to deliver native forest afforestation at scale by combining public and private finances. This enterprise has diversified into peatland restoration and rehabilitation as of 2023.

2.1.4 Medite Smartply

MEDITE SMARTPLY produces engineered wood-based construction panels used in furniture manufacture and construction respectively. The mills utilise small-dimension pine and spruce logs and residues from sawmilling as raw materials with all of the panel products are certified by the FSC® and PEFC™.

2.2 Purpose and Scope of the FESLUP

The purpose of the FESLUP is to set out the framework for the management of its existing forestry estate and to guide the geographical areas of afforestation, renewable energy developments, increased biodiversity areas and restoration areas, that are set to be established throughout the adopted Plan period of 2023-2050. Coillte’s estate covers over 440,000 hectares of land, of which approximately 364,000 hectares (or 84%) are forested land and 71,000 hectares is non-forested land.



Figure 1 Coillte’s planning hierarchy with the FESLUP serving as the bridge between the Strategic Vision and the Implementation Plan

The Strategic National Vision and, by extension, the adopted FESLUP will deliver multiple benefits from Coillte’s Estate, bring more focus to climate action and set ambitious new targets on biodiversity and recreation, all whilst continuing to deliver for the forest and wood products industry. The achievement of the

FESLUP will be guided by the balancing of multiple benefits of forests through the objectives of climate, nature, wood and people.

The FESLUP forms the middle tier of Coillte’s new strategic planning framework for the forest estate for the period 2023 to 2050. Coillte will commence, by the beginning of 2024, the preparation of the Forest Estate Strategic Implementation Plan which will drive the delivery of the FESLUP objectives for the subsequent 10-year period. The Strategic Implementation Plan will take the strategic objectives and translate these into a range of implementable, measurable actions, across specific geographical locations. Once this new strategic planning framework is in place, with all strategic ambitions, objectives and actions identified, the associated refined timelines and milestones to monitor delivery of the Plans, will become known.



Figure 2 Proposed breakdown of Coillte's future management of its estate.

2.3 Content of the FESLUP

The FESLUP provides an overview and background to Coillte’s forest estate and divisions, including those pertaining to commercial afforestation (Forests for Wood), climate, nature and recreation (Forests for People). The drivers behind the development of this Plan include feedback from public consultation carried out in 2022 on the “Strategic Vision for its Future Forest Estate”, the emerging needs from the forestry and wood sector and the climate and biodiversity crisis.

The ambitions for the FESLUP have been set out by Coillte’s Strategic National Vision under four pillars. The four pillars of the FESLUP and their associated (11) ambitions are :

- Forests for Climate
 - Enable the creation of 100,000 hectares (ha) of new forests, half of which will be native woodlands, which will sink 18 million tonnes of CO₂ by 2050;
 - Manage the existing Forest Estate to increase the carbon store by 10 million tonnes of CO₂ by 2050;
 - Redesign 30,000 ha of peatlands forest for climate and ecological benefits by 2050; and
 - Generate an additional 1 Gigawatt of renewable wind energy to power 500,000 homes by 2030.
- Forests for Nature
 - Enhance and restore biodiversity by increasing the area of the Coillte estate that is managed primarily for nature from 20% to 30% by 2025; and
 - Transform areas of Coillte’s forests so that 50% of the estate is managed primarily for Nature in the long-term.
- Forests for Wood
 - Produce 25 million cubic metres of certified Irish timber, to support the construction of 300,000 homes by 2030; and

- Promote the use and benefits of wood products to increase the level of timber homes from 20% to 80% by 2050.
- Forest for People
 - Enable the investment of 100 million euro in world-class Visitor Destinations to support growth in tourism and recreation by 2030;
 - Double the number of Recreational Areas to 500, to benefit local communities and people’s wellbeing; and
 - Create 1,200 new jobs in rural communities to support the just transition to a low carbon economy.

Sixty eight objectives are listed within Section 4.2 of the Plan which align with the aspirations of the Strategic Vision. The Plan objectives pertain to internal reviews of strategies, plans and policies, employment opportunities, upskilling and training, biodiversity management and enhancements, recreational masterplans and more; and can be found in Table 4 of this report.

Table 1 Emerging Objectives under the Sections of the FESLUP

Section	Content
Forests for Climate	16 objectives surrounding review of policy, collaboration with government and key stakeholders, access to funding, guidelines for peatland redesign and restoration, research actions into the impact of forest management and carbon management.
Forests for Nature	19 objectives pertaining to the management of biodiversity on Coillte estate, improving biodiversity skills and knowledge amongst staff, monitoring measures, environmental protection methods, improvements in planning and operations, guidance development for habitat management, invasive species solutions and engagement and leadership with key stakeholders.
Forests for Wood	16 objectives relating to certification, standards and quality of timber production, enhancing processes and monitoring mechanisms, collaboration and engagement with government and stakeholders, public engagement and promotion of timber and research and development measures.
Forests for People	17 objectives in relation to recreation on the Coillte estate. These objectives include measures to increase measures for health and wellbeing such as expanding Woodlands for Health programme, supporting educational and training courses for the sector, measures to increase employment, acquiring more information on recreational service offering in the Coillte estate and engagement with government, stakeholders and the public.

As part of this Screening for AA and NIS, all Plan objectives are assessed to determine whether the potential for likely significant effects on European sites exists.

2.4 Extent of Plan Area

Coillte currently owns and manages approximately 440,000 hectares of lands, which represents almost 7% of the total land area of Ireland. Given the scale of the Plan the potential for transboundary environmental effects on Northern Ireland has also been considered.

2.5 Plan Period

The plan period for the FESLUP is 2023-2050.

2.6 Broad Themes of Activities

The objectives within the FESLUP refer to the overarching ambitions for climate, nature, wood and people. Coillte’s activities can be described in the broad themes below.

2.6.1 Forest Management

Forest management, under Coillte’s remit, pertains to both the management and operations of commercial forestry sites and native forest areas. Activities including planting, thinning, harvesting, felling and re-planting, in combination with activities such as development of forest road infrastructure, drainage works

associated with replanting certain site types, biosecurity measures and fertilisation are encompassed within the management and operation of commercial forests. Similar activities are also necessary for the primary development of native and mixed forests. Their consequential management can include some of the same elements as commercial forestry management and can range from selective felling, forest road development, biosecurity measures etc.

2.6.2 Recreation and Tourism

Sites open to recreation facilitate the movement of people and vehicles throughout the forest estate and can provide spaces for tourism. Activities may include, but are not limited to, walking, hiking, mountain biking, off-roading, horse riding and camping. Recreational facilities for forest sites can include car parks, access roads, play areas, WC facilities, picnic areas, the provision of paths, signage and wayfinding and more (DAFM, 2006).

2.6.3 Biodiversity Management

In addition to the range of forest habitats that exist within the Coillte estate, other habitats such as peatlands, grasslands and waterbodies are managed as part of the estate. A range of biodiversity management actions are implemented in Coillte's biodiversity areas⁵, for example: control of invasive species (including removal of *Rhododendron ponticum* or cherry laurel from forest habitats, and removal of lodgepole pine from restored raised bog and blanket bog habitats); blocking drains on restored raised bog and blanket bog habitats. Many of these actions are informed by the experience and knowledge gained from Coillte's former⁶ and current^{7,8} restoration and management projects.

⁵ These account for 70,000ha on the estate and form part of the 20% of estate currently managed for nature and referred to in Coillte strategy.

⁶ Former restoration projects can be accessed here: <https://www.millenniumforests.com/>; <https://www.irishbogrestorationproject.ie/>; <https://www.raisedbogrestoration.ie/>; <https://www.woodlandrestoration.ie/>

⁷ Wild Western Peatlands is a Coillte Nature driven project to restore and rehabilitate approximately 2,100ha of blanket bog and wet heath that is currently planted with commercial spruce and pine forests. Information accessed at <https://www.coillte.ie/coillte-nature/ourprojects/wildwesternpeatlands/> on 06/07/2023

⁸ The LIFE INSULAR project is a joint venture project between Coillte Nature and the National Parks and Wildlife Service (NPWS) in collaboration with local communities and scientific experts to develop long-term conservation management plans for sand dune ecosystems in Donegal and Wexford. Information accessed at <https://www.coillte.ie/coillte-nature/ourprojects/insular/> on 06/07/2023

3. Appropriate Assessment Process

3.1 Overview

This section provides detail on the adopted methodology with sources of guidance, legislation and information gathered to inform the preparation of this report. Any relevant guidance and data sources which were used during the preparation of this report are listed below.

3.2 Guidance

The following guidance was considered in carrying out the Assessment:

- Appropriate Assessment of Plans and Projects in Ireland – Guidance for Planning Authorities (Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government, 2010 revision);
- Appropriate Assessment under Article 6 of the Habitats Directive; Guidance for Planning Authorities. Circular National Parks and Wildlife Service (NPWS) 1/10 and PSSP 2/10;
- Assessment of Plans and Projects Significantly Affecting Natura 2000 sites: Methodical Guidance on the Provisions of Article 6(3) and (4) of the Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC (European Commission Environment Directorate-General, 2014);
- Communication from the Commission on the precautionary principle. European Commission (2000);
- Guidance Document on Article 6(4) of the Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC (European Commission, 2007);
- Guidelines for Good Practice Appropriate Assessment of Plans under Article 6(3) Habitats Directive (International Workshop on Assessment of Plans under the Habitats Directive, 2011);
- Managing Natura 2000 Sites: The Provision of Article 6 of the Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC (EC Environment Directorate-General, 2018); [hereafter referred to as MN 2018];
- Office of the Planning Regulator Practice Note PN01 - Appropriate Assessment Screening for Development Management (OPR, 2021);
- The Status of EU Protected Habitats and Species in Ireland. Volume 1: Summary Overview. Unpublished NPWS report. NPWS (2019);
- The Status of EU Protected Habitats and Species in Ireland. Volume 2: Habitat Assessments. Unpublished NPWS report. Edited by: Deirdre Lynn and Fionnuala O’Neil. NPWS (2019); and
- The Status of EU Protected Habitats and Species in Ireland. Volume 3: Species Assessments. Unpublished NPWS report (2019). Edited by: Deirdre Lynn and Fionnuala O’Neill (2020)

The requirements for Screening for AA and AA for European sites, are set out in Regulation 42 of the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations, 2011 (S.I. 477 of 2011) with numerous relevant rulings and opinions issues in both Irish and EU courts. AA is a process required under Article 6(3) of the EU Habitats Directive as transposed by the aforementioned Regulations.

3.3 Data Sources

The ecological data reviewed to inform this document comprises of:

- National Parks and Wildlife Service (NPWS) Designations web viewer⁹;
- NPWS The Status of EU Protected Habitats and Species in Ireland Web Viewer¹⁰;
- NPWS Protected Sites in Ireland¹¹;
- Department of Agriculture, Environment and Rural Affairs (DAERA) Protected Areas¹²;
- Northern Ireland Environment Agency (NIEA) Protected Areas web viewer¹³;
- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Map Viewer¹⁴;
- EPA- - Ireland's Environment. An Integrated Assessment 2020 Article 12 web tool. Species trends at Member State Level. Legislative Background; and
- Joint Nature Conservation Committee UK Protected Areas¹⁵.

3.4 Purpose of Appropriate Assessment

This report details the Screening for AA and NIS of the FESLUP.

The AA screening process scrutinises the plan or project to determine if there are likely significant effects either individually or in combination with other plans or projects, on a European site. This AA Screening and NIS report describes the outcome of this analysis in respect of the FESLUP. The obligation to undertake a Screening for AA, and if necessary, an NIS, derives from Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC) with both AA stages involving several steps and tests that need to be applied.

The competent authority is required to carry out a Screening for AA, and if necessary, an NIS, as per Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive. As per the Birds and Natural Habitats Regulations 2011 (as amended) (SI 477/2011), the competent authority is defined as a 'public authority' and under such Regulations, Coillte are a public authority².

If it is determined that the plan or project will adversely affect the integrity of a European site, the public authority may only authorise that plan or project by following the Article 6(4) procedure. The Article 6(3) procedure is outlined below in Section 3.5.

The overall purpose of the AA process is to ensure that the FESLUP does not result in any adverse effects on the integrity of any European sites in view of the conservation objectives of that site(s). This AA report has been prepared having regard to EU and national legislative requirements. The responsibility for carrying out the AA lies with the public authority¹⁶.

⁹ <https://dahg.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=8f7060450de3485fa1c1085536d477ba> accessed 29 June 2023

¹⁰ <https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/1a721520030d404f899d658d5b6e159a> accessed 29th June 2023

¹¹ <https://www.npws.ie/protected-sites> accessed 29th June 2023

¹² <https://www.daera-ni.gov.uk/landing-pages/protected-areas> accessed 29th June 2023

¹³ <https://gis.daera-ni.gov.uk/arcgis/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=bb721449cb8949e7a4f90c722bd2d80b> accessed 29 June 2023

¹⁴ <https://gis.epa.ie/EPAMaps/> accessed 29th June 2023

¹⁵ <https://jncc.gov.uk/our-work/uk-protected-areas/> accessed 29th June 2023

¹⁶ As per the Birds and Natural Habitats Regulations 2011 (as amended) part 7 “(7) The public authority shall determine that an Appropriate Assessment of a plan or project is not required where the plan or project is not directly connected with or necessary to the management of the site as a European Site and if it can be excluded on the basis of objective scientific information following screening under this Regulation, that the plan or project, individually or in combination with other plans or projects, will have a significant effect on a European site”

3.5 Appropriate Assessment Stages

The AA process as required by Article 6(3) and 6(4) of the Habitats Directive and as per the DEHLG (2010) guidance include four distinct stages, Stages 1 to 4. The four stages are outlined below, with the assessment of the FESLUP limited to Stage 1 and Stage 2. Plans or projects proceeding to Stage 3, and Stage 4 have to date been uncommon.

Stage 1 – Screening for Appropriate Assessment – to assess, in view of best scientific knowledge, if the project or plan, individually or in combination with another plan or project is likely to have a significant effect on a European site(s).

Stage 2 – Appropriate Assessment - This is required if it cannot be excluded, on the basis of objective information, that the project or plan, individually or in combination with other plans or projects, will likely have a significant effect on a Natura 2000 site. The AA must include a final determination by the public authority as to whether or not a proposed project would adversely affect the integrity of a European site. In order to reach a final determination, the public authority must undertake examination, analysis and evaluation, followed by findings, conclusions and a final determination. The appropriate assessment must contain complete, precise, and definitive findings and conclusions, and may not have lacunae or gaps.

Stage 3 – Assessment of alternative solutions – the process which examines alternative ways of achieving the objectives of the project or plan that avoid adverse impacts on the integrity of a European site.

Stage 4 – Assessment where no alternative solutions exist and where adverse impacts remain – an assessment of compensatory measures where, in the light of an assessment of imperative reasons of overriding public interest (IROPI), it is deemed that the project or plan shall proceed.

3.6 Definitions

Key terminology used for the purpose of this Assessment and found throughout the report are introduced below including European sites, the Source-Pathway-Receptor model, the Zone of Influence and Conservation Objectives.

3.6.1 European Sites

European sites (sometimes referred to as Natura 2000 sites) are part of the Natura 2000 network and include those designated as Special Areas of Conservation (SACs), Candidate SACs, Special Protection Areas (SPAs) or Proposed SPAs.

3.6.2 Source-Pathway-Receptor

The Source-Pathway-Receptor model is used to assess where a potential effect may result by examining the source, its pathway and the receptor. As per guidance from the OPR⁷⁰ these can be defined as follows:

Source: The origin of a potential effect which may include characteristics of a plan or project that have the potential to result in effects e.g. direct impacts such as loss of habitat;

Pathway: How the potential effect may occur on the source. These are identifiable through linkages that may occur through the plan or project and European sites e.g. direct pathways such as physical proximity, hydrological connections or indirect pathways such as disturbance to migrating species; and

Receptor: The European site network and respective QIs/SCIs, their ecological condition and sensitivities e.g. freshwater pearl mussel is sensitive to siltation in water.

3.6.3 The Zone of Influence

A Zone of Influence (ZoI) within any assessment of projects and/or plans considers the area over which ecological features may be affected by biophysical changes as a result of the proposed plan/project and associated activities. The ZoI is established using the Source-Pathway-Receptor framework and takes into consideration the national scale of the FESLUP.

The ZoI is in alignment with the precautionary principle, determined by ecological links within and beyond site boundaries (such as species mobility distances) and the potential implementation of objectives found within the FESLUP. This is focused on certain species where their foraging ranges, home ranges, nesting/roosting sites (and connections between same). This may extend beyond the European site network where no hydrological or hydrogeological pathways exist between European sites and the FESLUP.

The ZoI for the FESLUP is considered to be the entire island of Ireland, given that:

- the source is the 68 objectives which have the potential to adversely impact European sites and cover the majority of the island;
- there are 8 key types of pathway for effect on European sites; and
- the receptor is the European site network across the island of Ireland, comprising 441 SACs, 165 SPAs and one candidate SPA site¹⁷ in Ireland and another 16 SPAs and 58 SACs and one proposed SPA in Northern Ireland.

Given the national scale of the FESLUP, there exists the potential for likely significant effects on much of the European site network within Ireland. Considering the potential ZoI of the FESLUP, assessment of each qualifying feature of every European site is considered a task which would not benefit and would more than likely obfuscate the AA process.

The objectives of the FESLUP are anticipated to be implemented within a future FESLUP Implementation Plan and through other plans within Coillte's remit. It is expected that specific aspects of these plans will contain further detail with geographic specificity and as such, is anticipated to have different ZoIs. As a result, there will be further scope to explore specific ZoIs further within the respective environmental assessments required for these plans.

3.6.4 Conservation Objectives

The COs of the 441 SACs and 165 SPA sites within the Republic of Ireland are focused primarily on maintaining or restoring the favourable conservation status of the habitats and species of interest (i.e. the qualifying features). Many of the European sites have Site-Specific Conservation Objectives (SSCOs), which focus on the specific populations of the qualifying habitat or species at that site by setting targets for appropriate attributes. The maintenance of habitats and species of European sites at favourable conservation status will contribute to the overall maintenance of favourable conservation status of those habitats and species at a national level.

Detailed site synopses for each European site are available from the NPWS website¹⁸. In Ireland 'generic' COs have been prepared for all European sites. Generic COs which have been developed by NPWS encompass the spirit of site-specific COs¹⁹ in the context of maintaining and restoring favourable conservation condition as follows:

- For SACs: "To maintain or restore the favourable conservation condition of the Annex I habitats and/or Annex II species for which the SAC has been selected" ; and
- For SPAs: "To maintain or restore the favourable conservation condition of the bird species listed as Special Conservation Interests for the SPA".

¹⁷ The North West Irish Sea candidate SPA was announced on 13th July 2023.

¹⁸ NPWS Conservation Objectives

¹⁹ NPWS Strategic Plan for 2023-25 states within their 'Key Programmes, Projects and Initiatives' that they intend to 'Publish Site Specific Conservation Objectives for all our Natura 2000 sites by the end of 2024'. The NPWS Strategic Plan was accessed at <https://www.gov.ie/pdf/?file=https://assets.gov.ie/261433/b1a2055f-3879-484d-8c25-9636ef380ee3.pdf#page=null> July 2023

Favourable conservation status of a habitat is achieved when:

- Its natural range, and area it covers within that range, are stable or increasing;
- The specific structure and functions which are necessary for its long-term maintenance exist and are likely to continue for the foreseeable future; and
- The conservation status of its typical species is favourable.

The favourable conservation status of a species is achieved when:

- Population dynamics data on the species concerned indicate that it is maintaining itself on a long-term basis as a viable component of its natural habitats;
- The natural range of the species is neither being reduced nor is likely to be reduced for the foreseeable future; and
- There is, and will probably continue to be, a sufficiently large habitat to maintain its populations on a long-term basis.

3.7 Transboundary Considerations

The ZoI of the FESLUP has the potential to extend to Northern Ireland, due to shared physical, aerial, hydrological or catchment-based link to European Sites in NI, therefore transboundary considerations are included within this assessment. Aerial emissions and the presence of functionally linked land²⁰ is also considered within this assessment's ZoI.

The ZoI for European sites in Northern Ireland was established by reviewing any potential Source-Pathway-Receptor routes. More geographic specificity can be applied to NI sites which might be subject to likely significant effects as there is a defined geographical limit to activities arising from the plan i.e. the border between Northern Ireland and Ireland. The types of pathway used to establish which Northern Ireland European sites could be affected by the activities arising from the FESLUP are listed below:

3.7.1 Direct Physical Connectivity

Where the Coillte forest estate landholdings share a border with Northern Ireland, any European sites that have the potential to interact with the forest estate based on functionally linked land²⁰ were identified using GIS spatial datasets²¹.

3.7.2 Hydrological Connectivity

Hydrological connectivity was established using GIS spatial datasets²² that contained all the European sites in Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland, and watercourses in both territories. This spatial data²¹ was analysed for any mapped watercourse or body within the Coillte estate that flows downstream to European Sites within Northern Ireland.

3.7.3 Sub-catchment Connectivity

Potential hydrological connections for habitats such as fens or petrifying springs were searched for by reviewing the EPA maps web viewer and datasets²² for sub catchment connectivity where sub basins in the Republic of Ireland are connected to European designated sites in Northern Ireland.

²⁰ Functionally linked land can be described as 'areas of land or sea occurring outside a designated site which is considered to be critical to, or necessary for, the ecological or behavioural functions in a relevant season or a qualifying feature for which an SAC/SPA/Ramsar site has been designated' as defined by Natural England in their report on 'Identification of Functionally Linked Land supporting Special Protection Areas (SPAs) waterbirds in the North West of England (NECR361) accessed at [https://publications.naturalengland.org.uk/publication/6303434392469504#:~:text=Functionally%20linked%20land%20\(FLL,Areas%20of%20Conservation%20\(SAC\)%2F](https://publications.naturalengland.org.uk/publication/6303434392469504#:~:text=Functionally%20linked%20land%20(FLL,Areas%20of%20Conservation%20(SAC)%2F)

²¹ GIS spatial datasets include the European site network in Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland and GIS data of Coillte's landholdings.

²² Datasets from the EPA utilised were River Flow Direction, River Basin District, River Waterbodies, River Forestry Pressures, Rivers In SAC habitats, Rivers in SAC Species, Rivers in SPA Habitats, Rivers in SPA Species. Dataset used from Open Data NI was Northern Ireland River Segments, River Basin Districts, Special Protection Areas, Special Areas of Conservation and RAMSAR sites.

3.7.4 Aerial Emission Connectivity

The potential for aerial emissions, including dust, to spread onto European sites within Northern Ireland was assessed by applying a 15km buffer along the land border with the Republic of Ireland. Given the potential for aerial emissions to disperse in any direction because of prevailing winds etc., any mobile QI, SCI has the potential to be affected.

3.8 Impact Prediction

The methodology for the assessment of impacts is derived from the *Assessment of Plans and Projects Significantly Affecting Natura 2000 Sites* (EC, 2001)²³, which sets out generic types of effects that have the potential to occur as a result of a plan or project. In theory, these types of effects could occur through implementation of the FESLUP in absence of mitigation:

- Direct and indirect effects;
- Short and long-term effects;
- Construction, operational and decommissioning effects;
- Changes in key indicators of conservation value; and
- Isolated, interactive and cumulative effects

²³ Assessment of plans and Projects Significantly Affecting Natura 2000 sites; Methodological Guidance on the Provisions of Article 6(3) and (4) of the Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC, European Commission. Accessed at <https://www.epa.ie/publications/circular-economy/resources/NHWMP-NIS.pdf> March 2023

4. State of the Environment

4.1 Overview

European protected habitats and species in Ireland have been experiencing ongoing declining trends (NPWS, 2019). Such declines are attributed to anthropogenic activities such as agriculture, forestry and the development, construction, and use of residential, commercial, industrial, and recreational infrastructure. Further information relating to EU habitats and species and their respective interactions with the aforementioned activities is described below in Section 4.5 with an expanded discussion provided in Appendix A.

Forestry impacts approximately 30% of the protected habitats and over 15% of the species detailed within the NPWS Habitats Directive reporting^{24,25}. Recreational activities and the provision of recreation amenities and infrastructure affect approximately 37% of protected habitats, approximately 15% of all species listed under NPWS Habitats Directive reporting. An estimated 16% of SCI species face a recreation pressure whilst approximately 30% face a recreation threat according to NPWS Habitats Directive reporting.

Under both Article 17 of the Habitats Directive and Article 12 of the Birds Directive, Member States are required to report on the status of protected habitats and species. Both reporting processes are discussed in Section 4.4.1 and Section 4.4.2.

There are 59 Annex 1 habitats in total recorded within Ireland and 73 Annex II species. A full list of the Annex I habitats and Annex II species can be found in Appendix A with corresponding conservation status, the number of SACs/SPAs for which it is a QI and the relevant pressure (s) and threat (s).

4.2 Biodiversity Policy

“Ireland’s Environment, An Integrated Assessment” (EPA, 2020) highlights how climate and biodiversity policy in Ireland has undergone improvement in recent years but the implementation of such policies requires further focus. The EPA report contains thirteen key messages for Ireland to delivery on its sustainable development with a number of environmental protection goals included. Of relevance to the Plan the report refers to forestry, its related activities, recreation and tourism and their respective impacts on the environment.

Irish policies referred to include the Climate Action Plan (DECC, 2022) and the draft National Biodiversity Action Plan (NBAP) (DHLGH, 2022). Proposed policies of the draft NBAP such as embedding biodiversity at the heart of climate action and strengthening compliance and enforcement of existing legislation would be relevant to the FESLUP. Utilising biodiversity to address climate action is relevant to the Forests for Climate and Forests for Nature categories within the FESLUP, whilst compliance and legislation enforcement is relevant to all aspects of the FESLUP. As the NBAP is currently in draft format, it is unclear as to when or how it shall be implemented.

The Citizen’s Assembly Report on Biodiversity Loss²⁶ was published in March 2023 following discussion and deliberation on the means and methods of how Ireland can improve its response to biodiversity loss. The Report issued 159 recommendations, 73 of which were high-level recommendations and 86 were sectoral specific recommendations with a number relevant to Coillte. The report has been referred to the Joint Committee on Environment and Climate Action for further examination.

²⁴ NPWS (2019) The Status of EU Protected Habitats and Species in Ireland. Volume 2: Habitat Assessments. Unpublished NPWS report. Edited by: Deirdre Lynn and Fionnuala O’Neill.

²⁵ NPWS (2019). The Status of EU Protected Habitats and Species in Ireland. Volume 3: Species Assessments. Unpublished NPWS report. Edited by: Deirdre Lynn and Fionnuala O’Neill.

²⁶ The Report of the Citizen’s Assembly on Biodiversity Loss was published in March 2023 and is accessible here https://citizensassembly.ie/wp-content/uploads/Report-on-Biodiversity-Loss_mid-res.pdf

4.3 Conservation Status of EU Protected Habitats and Species

4.3.1 Overview

Under the Habitats Directive, Member States are required to report on the conservation status of the natural habitats and species in the Annexes. Member States conduct this through Article 17 reports²⁷, which detail the conservation status, pressures, threats, and conservation measures both taken and recommended to achieve or retain favourable conservation status. A summary of the main pressures and threats to habitats and species is provided online on the European Online Agency²⁸.

Article 12 of the Birds Directive requires Member States “to report about the progress made with the implementation of the Birds Directive.

The Article 12 report contains information on status and trends of bird populations together with information on main pressures and threats. The report further contains information related to the impact of the Natura 2000 network and conservation measures”.

The threats and pressures for EU protected habitats and species are listed under several overarching categories, of which there is a specific pressure and threat category relating to forestry. The overarching pressure and threat categories are:

- Agriculture;
- Forestry;
- Extraction of resources (minerals, peat, non-renewable energy resources);
- Energy production processes and related infrastructure development;
- Development and operation of transport systems;
- Development, construction, and use of residential, commercial, industrial and recreation infrastructure and areas;
- Extraction and cultivation of biological living resources (other than agriculture and forestry);
- Military action, public safety measures and other human intrusions;
- Alien and problematic species;
- Mixed source pollution;
- Human-induced changes in water regimes;
- Natural processes (excluding catastrophes and processes induced by human activity or climate change);
- Climate change; and
- Unknown pressures, no pressures, and pressures from outside the Member State.

Whilst ‘Forestry’ exists as its own category as a pressure/threat, the impacts and effects of recreation can be contained within a number of activities and may potentially sit within several of the categories listed above.

²⁷ The most recent Article 17 reporting for the Republic of Ireland was published in 2019 for the period 2013-2018 .

²⁸ Pressures and Threats as defined by the European Online Agency can be found here: <https://www.eea.europa.eu/themes/biodiversity/state-of-nature-in-the-eu/article-17-national-summary-dashboards-archived/main-pressures-and-threats>

4.3.2 Forestry and Recreation Related Pressures and Threats

Article 17 Habitats Directive

Within the Article 17 reports²⁵, forestry is listed within its own category with a number of forestry related pressures and activities which have the potential to have negative implication on European sites. The 2019 Article 17 Reports for Habitats and Species provides information on the Annex I habitats and Annex II species that are vulnerable to pressures and threats from forestry. Recreational activities could be included in several of the reporting categories and could comprise of a number of different types of activities e.g. motorised sport, horse riding, and outdoor sports, which can all have negative implications for European sites. Forests are popular for walks, bird watching, mountain biking and running, and during peak seasons visitor numbers can lead to increased disturbance and potential for pollution.

The 2019 Article 17 Report for Habitats and Species provides information on the QIs that are vulnerable to activities that may be encompassed within forestry and recreation. In preparing this AA report, a review has been carried out to identify which Annex I habitats and Annex II species are vulnerable to such activities. An overview of the relevant QIs are presented in Section 4.5 with expanded discussion in Appendix A. The conservation status of each QI and any relevant recreational pressures and threats were identified from the Article 17 report. As per the AA guidance⁷⁰ Only species listed as a QI of an SAC are considered in this AA report.

Article 12 Birds Directive

Article 12 of the Birds Directive requires Member States to report on the status of bird populations, and similar to the Article 17 reporting discussed above, to list the main pressures and threats each Annex I species faces. Article 12 reports are issued every six years with the most recent report published in 2019 for the reporting period for the 2013-2018. Information, where available, on the specific pressures and threats from forestry and forestry related activities on bird species is provided within the reporting. An overview of the SCIs is presented in Section 4.5.3 with expanded discussion presented in Appendix A. The conservation status of each SCI, its residential status in Ireland, the number of SPA sites designated for that species and the specific forestry-related pressures and threats they face are listed is provided within Appendix A. As per the AA guidance⁷⁰, only species listed as a SCI of an SPA are considered in this AA report.

As discussed above, Member States are required to report on the status of bird populations, including the pressures and threats impacting Irish bird populations, including recreational pressures and threats. A succinct summary of the relevant SCIs is presented in Section 4.5.3 with expanded discussion presented in Appendix A. The Appendix provides each Annex I species' conservation status, Irish residential status, the number of SPA sites for which that species is designated in and the recreational pressures and threats they face. As per the AA guidance⁷⁰ only species listed as an SCI of an SPA are considered in this AA report.

4.4 Current Status of Ireland's Protected Habitats & Species

4.4.1 Habitats Directive Reporting

EU Member States are required under the Habitats Directive to report the status of its protected habitats and species. The European Environment Agency (EEA) then uses this national scale reporting to report on the proportion of habitats and species assessed as being affected by one or more pressures/threats from broad pressure categories.

Approximately 13,500km² of the State is designated as SAC with 53% of this within the terrestrial and freshwater environments. Of the 441 SAC sites in the Republic of Ireland, 59 Annex I habitats are listed as the QI of at least one designated site, 13 of which have been designated as priority habitat e.g. lagoons, blanket bog, Cladium fens, Yew woodland etc.

Article 17 reports list the conservation status, pressures, and threats of each of the Annex I habitats and Annex II species for which SACs are designated and provide a rating of low, moderate and high for each pressure and threat. Whilst there is the potential for all QIs to be impacted by both forestry and recreation activities, a concise overview of those QIs considered to be most at risk is provided in Sections 4.5.1 and 4.5.2. An expanded discussion on each QI is provided in Appendix A.

4.4.2 Birds Directive Reporting

Under the Birds Directive, Annex I species, known as SCIs, are the protected features of SPA sites. Within Ireland's SPA network, over 80% are designated for species that belong to either breeding seabird or wintering water bird groups. Under Article 12 of the Birds Directive (2009/147/EC) Member States are required to report on the status of Annex I species, whether they occur within or outside SPA sites.

The most recent Article 12 report provides information on the short term and long term population trends for breeding and wintering species. Whilst breeding bird populations in each of the trend categories remain stable, several species are in decline. Several species of wintering birds and breeding waders are exhibiting declining trends which may be associated with activities such as intensifying agricultural activities, commercial forestry activities and as a result of the impacts of climate change which are contributing to changes in species ranges. As an example, some notable forest bird species such as hen harrier (*Circus cyaneus*) and merlin (*Falco columbarius*) have been in a declining status since 2010²⁹. A full list of the SCIs found in Ireland, their current conservation status and whether they are listed as being subject to forestry and recreation related pressures and threats can be found in Appendix A.

Section 4.5.3 provides a concise overview of the relevant SCIs that are determined to be most vulnerable to forestry, forestry related activities and recreational activities.

4.4.3 Relevant QIs and SCIs

Fifty-nine Annex I habitat types are present in Ireland (16 of these Annex I habitat types are designated as 'priority' habitats i.e., habitat types in danger of disappearance and whose natural range mainly falls within the territory of the European Union). Twenty-six Annex II species are QIs of SACs. Thirty-three Annex I bird species are the SCIs of the 166 SPAs in Ireland. A concise overview of the relevant QIs and SCIs are discussed in Section 4.5 whilst an expanded discussion can be found in Appendix A.

4.5 Relevant QIs and SCIs

QIs and SCIs determined to be relevant to the FESLUP were established through a review of Article 17 and Article 12 reports, published scientific literature and national reports including the Irish Wildlife Manuals. Where it was indicated that QIs and SCIs are already susceptible the impacts of forestry and recreation related activities, such as having been impacted by habitat loss, degradation, species mortality, habitat and species fragmentation, disturbance, indirect effects and changes in key conservation value, those QIs and SCIs were identified as vulnerable to the potential objectives of the FESLUP. As a result, these were determined to be the relevant QIs and SCIs that are representative of the network of European sites within the Republic of Ireland. Outlined below is a concise summary of these relevant QIs and SCIs, their relationship with forestry and recreation sectors. An expanded discussion on the relevant QIs and SCIs is provided in Appendix A.

4.5.1 Qualifying Interest: Habitats

All Annex I habitats have the potential to be impacted by the implementation of the FESLUP in the absence of mitigation where there is the potential for habitat loss, destruction, fragmentation, degradation, disturbance, indirect effects and in-combination effects with other plans/projects.

Article 17 reporting provides an indication of the QI habitats that are currently facing pressures and threats in relation to forestry and recreation. Further desktop review, including review of scientific papers and information provided by Coillte ecologists provided detail on the potential impacts on QI habitats (grouped according to high level habitat type) which are the most vulnerable to the impacts resultant from forestry and recreation based activities. Although some habitats such as freshwater and peatland have a history of being impacted more by forestry related activities, all are recorded as either having forestry or recreation pressure in Article 17 reporting or have been highlighted through discussions with Coillte therefore all are considered at this high level as a potential receptor.

²⁹ In addition to their declining status within Article 12 reports, according to the Birds of Conservation Concern Ireland (BOCCI) list, both Hen harrier and Merlin species are amber listed species.

- **Peat and heath habitats:** Approximately 211 SAC sites are designated for the presence of a peatland habitat (active raised bogs, degraded raised bogs, blanket bog, transition mire, *Rhynchosporion* depressions) accounting for half the nation's SAC sites. Where commercial afforestation practices have historically been conducted in peatland habitats, this has led to issues such as the direct replacement of bog habitat with forestry and consequent drainage of bog, resulting in changes in hydrology and species composition. Whilst there is a decreasing trend of commercial forestry within these habitats, there are continued forestry practices meaning that in many cases legacy issues have to be addressed. Recreational activities, if not managed appropriately, can also have direct and indirect impacts on peatland, including habitat loss, degradation and fragmentation through the use of off-road vehicles. Walking and cycling trails can cause degrade habitats through trail spread whilst any camping (although possibly limited in extent on Coillte land) can lead to wildfires with uncontrolled burning leading to peatland damage.

Freshwater habitats: These Annex I habitats are susceptible to changes in hydrological changes resulting from activities relating to forestry and recreation. In relation to forestry, these habitats can be hydrologically connected to commercial forestry via streams, ditches, rivers, and artificial drains created from activities such as agriculture and forestry, as well as natural overland and subsurface pathways. The water quality of these waterbodies and their connecting habitats can deteriorate through release of sediment and nutrients as well as impacts from acidification.

Moreover, artificial drains created from forestry can modify the natural hydrological regime³⁰ of the area with wet and peat soils drying out, potentially resulting in erosion. Anthropogenic activities can generate pollution to surface or ground waters and/or modify hydrological conditions of waterbodies resulting in changes to receiving QIs downstream or hydrologically linked. Upland areas dominated by peat forming substrates were heavily planted upon in the past, and where these practices are still operational, the resultant changes to these habitats have caused impacts upon hydrologically connected waterbodies. Clear felling activities impact water quality through increases in nutrient, sediment and dissolved organic matter, particularly the case where forests are on peatland³¹. Furthermore, conifer forests on peatland that undergo fertilisation have shown higher levels of pollutants within receiving waterbodies. Ongoing impacts on water quality from commercial forestry can result from the slow decomposition of conifer needles, branches and roots leading to a loss in organic matter and nutrients.

It has been noted however, that temporal differences exist between anthropogenic activities and their impacts to water quality, with forestry management practices occurring infrequently over decades³². Recreational activities within an area hydrologically connected or within a zone of influence of freshwater habitats can result in an increased density of traffic, people and possible pollution to Annex I freshwater habitats. The construction and operation of recreation amenities such as trails, car parks and public toilets may result in pollution through surface water runoff and insufficient waste-water treatment. Whilst there can be negative impacts upon water quality as a result of human activities, appropriate management actions can result in positive effects³¹ such as protection from rainfall, nutrient and sediment loss through the development of litter layer, understorey growth and surface roughness provided by tree roots³².

- **Grassland habitats:** Whilst the majority of the Annex I grasslands in the Coillte estate are in unplanted uplands they all still have the potential to be impacted by forestry activities including afforestation and recreation which can cause habitat loss, degradation, fragmentation. Inappropriate or lack of management can have negative impacts on grasslands, with the European Commission reporting threats³³ such as unregulated grazing, abandonment and succession to woodland, invasive species, agricultural improvement, land use change, nitrogen deposition, recreational pressures and anthropogenic activities as greatest threats to calcareous grassland habitats. Afforestation activities including felling, thinning etc. and seed spread from adjacent plantation and/or application of fertilisation can impact directly and

³⁰ Laine, Jukka & Vasander, Harri & Sallantausta, Tapani. (2011). Ecological effects of peatland drainage for forestry. *Environmental Reviews*. 3. 286-303. 10.1139/a95-015.

³¹ Shah, N.W., Baillie, B.R., Bishop, K., Ferraz, S.F., Högbom, L., & Nettles, J.E. (2022). The effects of forest management on water quality. *Forest Ecology and Management*.

³² Duffy, C., O'Donoghue, C., Ryan, M., Kilcline, K., Upton, V., & Spillane, C. (2020). The impact of forestry as a land use on water quality outcomes: An integrated analysis. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 116, 102185.

³³ Calaciura B & Spinelli O. 2008. Management of Natura 2000 habitats. 6210 Semi-natural dry grasslands and scrubland facies on calcareous substrates (Festuco-Brometalia) (*important orchid sites). European Commission

indirectly on Annex I grasslands. Where forestry has been historically established within an Annex I grassland habitat or is established in proximity to an SAC Annex I grassland site, there is a threat of seed spread from the plantation with resulting soil and vegetation impacts. The 2019 Article 17 report summarises detail from the Annex I grassland monitoring project³⁴ identifying one specific site which was impacted by forestry or forestry related activities. Data collected during the most recent Annex I Grassland monitoring survey³⁴ indicated that the biggest threat to *Molinia* meadow habitat was through mismanagement including abandonment, agricultural intensification and forestry. All Annex I grassland habitats have the potential to be impacted by recreational walkers and motor sports³⁵ which could be facilitated at Coillte properties.

Coastal habitats: Indirect effects from forestry related activities, such as pollution to surface or ground waters has been recorded as a pressure and/or threat upon freshwater and coastal water environments within the Article 17 reports from the last two reporting periods²⁴. Habitat loss through land-use changes, disturbance and indirect effects from sports, tourism and leisure activities and indirect effects from discharge points of recreational facilities generating pollution were all indicated within the Article 17²⁴ report as pressures and threats to the coastal habitats. Impacts on water quality as a result of forestry and recreation related activities can have a downstream resultant impact on coastal QIs including estuaries, tidal mudflats and sandflats and coastal lagoons.

Upstream activities such as intensive agriculture, wastewater and forestry activities can result in increased nutrient loads which eventual discharge to estuaries, tidal mudflats and sandflats and coastal lagoons leading to dysfunctional system. Whilst forestry is not likely to be the primary source of nutrient inputs to coastal systems it has for example been found to contribute approximately 1% of the total N load to an Irish estuarine system³⁶. The planting, felling, thinning and replanting stages of forestry can have an impact on water quality by contributing to changes in organic matter and nutrient input. A review of impacts on Irish coastal habitats from the Heritage Council³⁷ ascertained within the report that three of the top 15 impacts are recreation or leisure related resultant from caravanning/camping, litter, beach activities, walking and water pollution from visitor related infrastructure (i.e. public toilets).

³⁴ Martin, J.R., O'Neill, F.H. & Daly, O.H. (2018) The monitoring and assessment of three EU Habitats Directive Annex I grassland habitats. Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 102. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Culture, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, Ireland

³⁵ Natural England (March 2021) Definition of Favourable Conservation Status of Calaminarian grassland. Accessed at <https://publications.naturalengland.org.uk/publication/5499976347287552> August 2023.

³⁶ Ní Longphuirt, O'Boyle and Stengel, 2015, Environmental response of an Irish estuary to changing land management practices, Science of The Total Environment, Volumes 521–522,

³⁷ Neff, Jenny (1999) Irish Coastal Habitats: A Study of Impacts on Designated Conservation Areas. An Chomhairle Oidhreachta/The Heritage Council. Accessed at https://www.heritagecouncil.ie/content/files/irish_coastal_habitats_impacts_conservation_areas_1998_2mb.pdf on 10/07/2023

- **Uncommon habitats within the Coillte Estate:** Aside from those mentioned above there are a number of other Annex I habitats which exist, though are uncommon, within the Coillte Estate. Designated dune habitats frequently occur in a mosaic with each other, with some dune complexes comprising all Annex dune habitats. Dune systems are susceptible to anthropogenic impacts including those pertaining to forestry activities and recreation. As well as the potential for impacts arising from seed spread or hydrological regime changes from adjacent plantation, Dunes with *Salix repens* ssp. *argentea* (*Salicion arenaria*)(2130), have been found to be impacted by recreational activities including sports, tourism and leisure activities, all recorded as pressures and threats to several types of dune systems²⁴ The impacts of trampling upon vegetation and soil in dune systems has been studied extensively with some research finding that the effects of trampling, walking and vehicle use can cause a reduction in habitat cover of several types of Annex I habitat including dune slacks, fixed dunes, and machair³⁸.

The facilitation of amenities for tourism and recreation can impact the structure of sand dunes as the surface area and representative vegetation species of the dune system are lost³⁹. Other uncommon habitats within the Coillte estate include fens and rocky habitats, including those Annex I habitats of petrifying springs with tufa formation (*Cratoneurion*) and limestone pavements. These habitats could be subjected to direct and indirect human activities which could include recreation and/or forestry. Article 17 report²⁴ identified pressures from sports, tourism and leisure activities for petrifying springs whilst no pressures and/or threats were noted for limestone pavements. Human activities such as historical drainage practices, forest planting on open ground and the pollution of surface and groundwater resources was found to impact fen habitats. Activities that happen directly adjacent to fens can alter hydrological conditions and make it less suitable for species that depend on this habitat e.g., through drainage, nutrient run-off or seed spread from plantation²⁵.

4.5.2 Qualifying Interest: Species

All Annex II species have the potential to be impacted by the implementation of the FESLUP in the absence of mitigation where there is the potential for direct loss, destruction, fragmentation, degradation, disturbance, indirect effects and in-combination effects with other plans/projects.

Article 17 reporting provides an indication of the QI species that are currently facing pressures and threats from the various Coillte activities which might arise from implementation of the FESLUP. QI species are grouped below according to either common traits or habitats or according to how they might be impacted by Coillte activities.

- **Plant Species:** Killarney fern (*Vandenboschia speciosa*), marsh saxifrage (*Saxifragus hirculus*), slender naiad (*Najas flexilis*), slender green feather moss (*Hamatocaulis vernicosus*) and petalwort (*Petalophyllum ralfsii*). These species are most likely to be impacted either by direct removal through afforestation or reforestation, through introduction of recreational activities in proximity to populations⁴⁰ or through water pollution affecting macrophytes. The introduction of biocides, pesticides, hormones, chemicals, and nutrients into the supporting habitats and spread of seed from adjacent plantations may also occur as result of forestry activities or the construction and operation of recreation amenities.

Slender naiad is a rare freshwater plant species found in lakes, rivers and pools, and is the main indicator plant species of the annex habitat 3130. Any decline in the water quality of freshwater habitats which is contributed to by Coillte activities arising from the FESLUP can have a knock on effect on slender naiad due to increases in sediment, dissolved organic matter and/or from acidification. Hydrological changes contributing to fluctuations in water level can hamper growth patterns of slender naiad⁴¹.

Petalwort is a pale green thalloid liverwort with erect lamellae on its upper surface. It grows in open, damp, calcareous dune slacks. As discussed in relation to dune Annex I habitats there may be limited

³⁸ Kindermann, G., Gormally, M.J. Vehicle damage caused by recreational use of coastal dune systems in a Special Area of Conservation (SAC) on the west coast of Ireland. *J Coast Conserv* 14, 173–188 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11852-010-0102-7>

³⁹ Antonio I. Hernández-Cordero, Luis Hernández-Calvento, Emma Pérez-Chacón Espino, Vegetation changes as an indicator of impact from tourist development in an arid transgressive coastal dune field, *Land Use Policy*, Volume 64, 2017, Pages 479–491, ISSN 0264-8377, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2017.03.026>.

⁴⁰ Ratcliffe, Birks and Birks (1993) The ecology and conservation of the Killarney Fern *Trichomanes speciosum* willd. In Britain and Ireland

⁴¹ Roden, C., Murphy, P. & Ryan, J.B. (2021) A study of lakes with Slender Naiad (*Najas flexilis*). Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 132. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage, Ireland

instances where Coillte activities are a pressure or threat on petalwort populations, however as forestry is listed in Article 17 reporting and has the potential to impact on this species it is considered here. Monitoring⁴² in Ireland shows that frequent positive impact recorded at the sites surveyed was use by walkers and non-motorised vehicles. Moderate amounts of disturbance through these activities is beneficial to the habitat for petalwort as it maintains open low vegetation and compacts the ground allowing it to establish. However, too much disturbance from these activities, particularly the use of motorised vehicles, may destroy the integrity of the substrate and can break up the bryophyte crust. While petalwort may eventually recolonise these areas, too much disturbance on a continual basis is detrimental to the ability of the species to establish and maintain itself.

Marsh saxifrage is found in a limited number of locations within blanket bog habitats and requires a wet environment to grow, such as the mineral flushes on blanket bog. Any negative impacts to blanket bog habitat such as afforestation and associated drainage and irrigation operations, peat extraction, erosion and burning, can have knock on impacts on the conservation status of marsh saxifrage. Although there are limited locations where marsh saxifrage occurs there is still a risk that activities arising from the Coillte FESLUP could impact on this species.

Freshwater species The freshwater and Nore pearl mussel (*Margaritifera margaritifera*, *Margaritifera durrovensis*), Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) and Pollan (*Coregonus pollan*) can be negatively impacted by changes in water quality and regime. Impacts to water quality can include heavy sedimentation⁴³ that can occur through forest thinning, clearance and clear-felling operations where the soils surface is disrupted and exposed to erosion, potentially causing the transportation of particles into the water⁴⁴; Increases in the nutrient load can impact the hydrological regime of riverine system which may be as a result of tree felling where conifer needles, branches and roots are slow to dissolve contributing to increases in nutrients such as nitrates⁴⁵. The creation of forest roads⁴⁶, the movement of machinery and the use of fertilisers to bring conifers to maturity can result in changes to water quality. Freshwater pearl mussel populations are in decline, with factors such as pearl fishing, pollution, acidification, organic enrichment, siltation, river engineering and declining salmon stocks contributing to the decline. The mussel has a symbiotic relationship with Atlantic salmon, spending the larval stage attached to the gills of salmonoid fish. Changes to the receiving freshwater habitat in addition to the surrounding habitat, which is more commonly upland peatland habitats, can have a knock-on effect on freshwater pearl mussel.

Afforestation and forestry related activities can cause adverse effects in pearl mussel catchments through the creation of drains during afforestation, nutrient input from tree felling activities and fertiliser use and sedimentation during afforestation and felling. These adverse effects can cause sedimentation, nutrient enrichment and eutrophication with the knock-on effects resulting in adverse outcomes for pearl mussel populations. Pollan (*Coregonus pollan*) are shoaling fish and an endemic species, that feed on planktonic invertebrates but have experienced a population decline over the last 20-30 years²⁵. The introduction of biocides, pesticides, hormones, chemicals, and nutrients into the environment was a common pressure or threat found to affect pollan, through diffuse pollution of surface water and groundwater resources²⁵. Changes in the levels of dissolved organic matter, nutrients and sediment can impact the life cycle of Atlantic salmon. Studies on Atlantic salmon in afforested catchments show that the density and biomass of juvenile species were significantly lower in streams draining from afforested catchments and that the

⁴² Campbell, C., Hodd, R. & O'Neill, F. (2019) The monitoring and assessment of *Petalophyllum ralfsii* (Petalwort) in the Republic of Ireland 2016–2018. Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 109. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Culture, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, Ireland)

⁴³ Shah NW, Nisbet TR. The effects of forest clearance for peatland restoration on water quality. *Sci Total Environ.* 2019 Nov 25;693:133617. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.133617. Epub 2019 Jul 30. PMID: 31635007.

⁴⁴ Hutton SA, Harrison SSC and O'Halloran J (2008). An Evaluation of the role of forests and forest practices in the eutrophication and sedimentation of receiving waters. Department of Zoology, Ecology and Plant Science. Environmental Research Institute. University College Cork. Water Framework Directive Western River Basin District. Programme of Measures. Forest and Water National Study. Accessed at <https://www.catchments.ie/download/forestry-and-water/?wpdmdl=1211&ind=MTQ3MjQ3NTAwNHdwZG1fRm9yZXN0IGFuZCB3YXRlciBFdXRyb3BoaWNhdGlvbl9TZWRpbWVudGF0aW9uIExpdGVyYXR1cmUgcmlV2aWV3IC5wZGY> on 22 September 2022

⁴⁵ Neal, C., Reynolds, B., Neal, M., Wickham, H., Hill, L., and Williams, B.: The impact of conifer harvesting on stream water quality: the Afon Hafren, mid-Wales, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 8, 503–520, <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-8-503-2004>, 2004.

⁴⁶ L. Kuglerová, E.M. Hasselquist, R.A. Sponseller, T. Muotka, G. Hallsby, H. Laudon Multiple stressors in small streams in the forestry context of Fennoscandia: the effects in time and space *Sci. Total Environ.*, 756 (2021), Article 143521

tolerance to changes in water quality was much lower than that found in other species⁴⁷.

- **Invertebrates** such as narrow-mouthed whorl snail (*Vertigo angustior*) and marsh fritillary (*Euphryas aurinaria*). Marsh fritillary use a variety of habitat types ranging from coastal sand dunes along western seafront to mosaics of upland habitats and grasslands. Marsh fritillary is generally found where its larval food plant, devil's-bit scabious occurs and is solely dependent on this species at its larval stage. This plant can be found in a diverse range of habitats including wet heath, bogs, woodland clearings however, it is more commonly found on acidic or calcareous grasslands managed by low intensity agricultural practices. Marsh fritillary habitats are often subjected to recreational pressures. In Ireland, about 50% of dune systems have deteriorated in the last century, a significant proportion of which can be attributed to recreational pressures and unimpeded access⁴⁸. Habitats such as sand dunes are prone to physical stress such as erosion damage through trampling, such pressure can reduce the total area of devils-bit scabious⁴⁹. Reduced food availability increases pressure on marsh fritillary and can lead to a species population decline⁵⁰. Recreational activities can influence grasslands at two levels: community composition and substrate stability. Disturbance to the community composition can result in the prevention of flowering and the loss of sensitive species.
- **Mammals** - Lesser horseshoe bat (*Rhinolophus hipposideros*) and otter (*Lutra lutra*). This bat species can be found roosting during the summer in roofs of disused structures, such as old houses and stables, whilst their winter roosts can be found in caves, mines, souterrains and disused cellars. It is adept at navigating dense vegetation during foraging due to its highly evolved echolocation system. As a result, it is highly sensitive to its environment and by extension the movement of other species and objects. Lesser horseshoe bats are particularly sensitive to disturbance, especially in their nursery and winter roost. Studies have shown that light pollution may have significant negative impacts upon the selection of flight routes⁵¹. Specific protection and sensitive management of foraging habitat is also important⁵². Bat Conservation Ireland studied population, trends and threat 1986 to 2012 and found a number of activities around roosts such as increased urbanisation or inappropriate forest management may be considered a future threat, although current negative activities are often related to deterioration of buildings which benefit from human intervention, or climatic factors that are outside the control of conservation bodies.⁵³ Where recreational activities may in result in the introduction of lighting there may be potential implications to lesser horseshoe bat and considerations should be made to reduce the impact to habitats surround roosts and foraging habitats. Otter are found throughout Ireland, favouring areas where aquatic habitats and sheltered terrestrial habitats are found in unison providing food and shelter.

An opportunistic species with a diet of aquatic invertebrates and fish⁵⁴, otter are highly likely to be found within the Coillte Estate given the breadth of freshwater systems located within. Whilst it can be elusive species itself, the features upon which otter use for breeding and resting, such as couches, holts and chutes are identifiable within the landscape. Such features can be located near watercourses, under trees of bankside trees, within log piles, cavities in rocky banks or caves or along the banksides of rivers⁵⁵. Reported threats to species mortality by NPWS⁵⁶ relate to road kill, mortality due to entrapment within fishing nets and lobster pots, severe water pollution incidents leading to fish kills and the removal of riparian habitats. It is noted however, that otters are adaptable to change and can be found within a

⁴⁷ Harrison, S.S.C., Hutton S., Baars, J.-R., Cruikshanks, R., Johnson, J., Juhel, G., Kirakowski, T., Matson, R., O'Hal loran, J, Phelan, P. and Kelly-Quinn, M. 2014 Contrasting impacts of conifer forests on brown trout and Atlantic salmon in headwater streams in Ireland. *Biology and Environment: Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy* 2014 DOI: 10.3318/ BIOE.2014.20

⁴⁸ Carter, R.W.G. and Chance, S., 1997. Integrated management of coastal dunes in Ireland: assessment and example. *The Ecology and Conservation of European dunes*, pp.301-312.

⁴⁹ Kindermann, G. and Gormally, M.J., 2013. Stakeholder perceptions of recreational and management impacts on protected coastal dune systems: A comparison of three European countries. *Land use policy*, 31, pp.472-485.

⁵⁰ Orams, M.B., 1996. Using interpretation to manage nature-based tourism. *Journal of sustainable tourism*, 4(2), pp.81-94.

⁵¹ Stone et al (2009) Street Lighting Disturbs Commuting Bats. *Current Biology* 19, 1123–1127, July 14, 2009 *2009 Elsevier Ltd All rights reserved DOI 10.1016/j.cub.2009.05.058

⁵² Bat Conservation Trust (BCT) [lesser horseshoe 11.02.13.indd \(bats.org.uk\)](#)

⁵³ Bat Conservation Ireland (2012) [Lesser Horseshoe Bat Report November 2012_4.docx \(npws.ie\)](#)

⁵⁴ Preston, S., Portig, A., Montgomery, W.I., McDonald, R.A., & Fairley, J. (2022). Status And Diet Of The Otter *Lutra Lutra* In Northern Ireland. *Biology and Environment: Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy*, 106B, 57 - 63.

⁵⁵ NIEA (2011) Otters and Development. Northern Ireland Environment Agency. Accessed at <https://www.daera-ni.gov.uk/sites/default/files/publications/doe/natural-information-otters-and-development-2011.pdf> August 2023.

⁵⁶ Marnell, F., Looney, D. & Lawton, C. (2019) Ireland Red List No. 12: Terrestrial Mammals. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of the Culture, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, Dublin, Ireland.

variety of rural and urban areas⁵⁵. Where human activities occur within the range of otters, there exists the potential risk of disturbance. For example, forestry operations may cause disturbance to foraging otters by altering their habitat or the facilitation of recreational paths and walkways may fragment habitat and cause the species to alter their movements to avoid disturbance. Given that the species is dependent on aquatic prey for its diet, it is plausible that any negative impacts to aquatic habitats, such as changes in water quality, could result in direct and indirect impacts to otter such as changes in prey abundance²⁵.

4.5.3 Special Conservation Interests

All SCIs have the potential to be impacted by the implementation of the FESLUP in the absence of mitigation where there is the potential for habitat loss, destruction, fragmentation, degradation, disturbance, indirect effects and in-combination effects with other plans/projects.

Article 12 reporting provides an indication of the SCIs that are currently facing pressures and threats in relation to forestry and recreation. Further research conducted, including review of scientific papers and review of relevant Coillte reports^{57,58} provides further information on the potential impacts upon states that the following SCIs are the most vulnerable to the impacts resultant from forestry and recreation based activities: bar-tailed godwit (*Limosa lapponica*), black-tailed godwit (*Limosa limosa*), common tern (*Sterna hirundo*), curlew (*Numenius arquata*), dunlin (*Calidris alpina*), golden plover (*Pluvialis Apricaria*), lapwing (*Vanellus vanellus*), Greenland white-fronted goose (*Anser albifrons*), hen harrier (*Circus cyaneus*), little tern (*Sternula albifrons*), merlin (*Falco columbarius*), redshank (*Tringa tetanus*), red-throated diver (*Gavia stellata*), roseate tern (*Sterna dougallii*), sandwich tern (*Sterna sandvicensis*) and whooper swan (*Cygnus cygnus*).

SCIs are dependent on habitats for breeding, foraging and resting and it can be assumed that any significant effects to their supporting habitats, such as changes in land-use, could present a significant effect to the receiving SCI. Several QI habitats, including those that have been summarised above in Section 4.5.1, support a range of bird species. Waders such as curlew, lapwing, golden plover and dunlin utilise peatlands, heath, semi-natural and managed grasslands as well as wetland habitats including fens and saltmarsh. These species rely on open landscapes and therefore where afforestation occurs in proximity to wader habitats, this can result in loss of those open landscapes or provision of more cover and perching opportunities for predators such as foxes and corvids which can take young and eggs⁵⁹. Species such as dunlin and golden plover prefer flat, exposed ground close to bog pools, and are directly influenced by forest edge which can cause individuals to move further from forestry to find suitable nesting and foraging habitat⁶⁰.

As well as afforestation and reforestation, the development, construction and use of recreational infrastructure is a pressure to species such as the curlew⁶¹. This can include activities such as improving site access, conversion of land to recreational areas and built recreational facilities. Such activities can result in the habitat loss, degradation, and fragmentation for species like curlew. Other types of disturbance listed include dog walking, shooting, intrusive surveying, and nest abandonment. Increase stress and recreational use of a site can cause curlew to abandon it.

Seabird species, including Roseate tern, common tern, little tern and sandwich tern, are dependent on coastal habitats including coastal cliffs, dune habitats, salt marshes, estuaries etc.,. Pressures from recreational use, such as disturbance from tourism can have indirect effects on the seabirds that feed and breed in receiving areas. The construction of recreational infrastructure such as sea cliff paths may cause an increase in tourism density in an area that could add disturbance to seabirds. Other SCIs that utilise these coastal habitats for foraging include the bar-tailed godwit, black-tailed godwit, redshank, Greenland-white fronted goose, whooper swan and lapwing. Often found within coastal estuarine areas and shallow inlets and bays, SCIs which forage here can be sensitive to disturbance such as localised changes in noise from the presence of

⁵⁷ Biosphere/Coillte (2020) Guidance On Disturbance To Birds During Forestry Operations (Felling And Reforestation).

⁵⁸ Coillte (2022) Hen Harrier Habitat Feasibility Analysis: Update to Supply Further Information Requested.

⁵⁹ Mark H. Hancock, Daniela Klein, and Neil R. Cowie. "Guild - level Responses by Mammalian Predators to Afforestation and Subsequent Restoration In a Formerly Treeless Peatland Landscape." Restoration ecology, v. 28 „5 pp. 1113-1123. doi: 10.1111/rec.13167

⁶⁰ Wilson, J., Anderson, R., Bailey, S., Chetcuti, J., Cowie, N.R., Hancock, M.H., Quine, C.P., Russell, N., Stephen, L., & Thompson, D.B. (2014). Modelling edge effects of mature forest plantations on peatland waders informs landscape-scale conservation. Journal of Applied Ecology, 51, 204-213.

⁶¹ Colhoun, K., Flannelly, F., O'Neill, J., Phelan, E., Servignat, H., O'Donoghue, B. and Kelly, S., 2022. Status and distribution of breeding Eurasian Curlew in Ireland 2021. *Irish Wildlife Manuals*, (138).

people, plant and machinery. Changes to the habitat types that support these species, such as afforestation, fertilisation, drainage activities and/or land-use change may reduce food availability for the species. As a result, it can be assumed that these species may be required to travel further for its food source, thereby potentially causing stress. Afforestation in land types that are adjacent to foraging areas is a threat to the Greenland white-fronted goose as noted by NPWS⁶².

SCIs including the hen harrier and merlin, are notably susceptible to activities arising from the Coillte FESLUP, including afforestation, reforestation, forestry management and recreation. Human activities within the vicinity of breeding birds can lead to increased rates of nest desertion and reduced rates of site occupancy and subsequently reduced breeding success⁶³. It is known that anthropogenic recreational activities can impact hen harriers breeding and foraging habitats. Caravaggi, A. et al (2019) states that hen harrier breeding habitats in Ireland are subjected to a wide range of anthropogenic pressures that could have significant implications for the vulnerable species. Recreational Studies were strongly associated with hen harrier territories, demonstrating that recreational activities can negatively impact breeding birds⁶⁴. The importance of upland habitats is noted in published literature⁶⁵ with changes to these habitats causing habitat fragmentation and increases to the forest edge⁶⁶. Hen harrier nest within coniferous forestry plantations, typically before the plantation reaches full maturity. As the forestry reaches full maturity, habitat becomes less suitable for hen harrier nesting as the volume of foliage increases to levels that restrict nesting⁶⁷. For merlin, forestry activities such as clear-felling can impact the breeding population, as they are found to nest in mature conifers. Continued habitat loss through replanting, in addition to an increase in disturbance through the movement of machinery and personnel during replanting and thinning stages reduces ground nesting availability for merlin.

Hen harrier species predate small birds and small mammals with some of their food source originating from low-intensity agricultural land near roost sites. Medium-sized ground nesting birds (such as common snipe (*Gallinago gallinago*)) found within bog and young conifer forests also form part of the hen harrier's diet⁶⁸. Some variation in the diet of this species is normal however, in mature forests post-thicket (12 years maturity) hen harriers are unable to hunt effectively due to the closed canopy which can result in the species having to travel further during the breeding season to provide for their young, leaving the nest exposed to predation and weather⁶⁹. It is clear from the scientific literature, that landscape level management across all sectors is required to favourably restore the hen harrier population to favourable condition.

For all SCIs there is the potential for land-use changes, including infrastructure and development, afforestation, facilitation of recreation activities to have the potential to cause a significant effect to SCIs through habitat loss, destruction, fragmentation, degradation and indirect effects such as disturbance, changes to the availability of nutrients and water quality.

⁶² Lewis, L. J., Burke, B., Fitzgerald, N., Tierney, T. D. & Kelly, S. (2019) Irish Wetland Bird Survey: Waterbird Status and Distribution 2009/10-2015/16. Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 106. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Culture, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, Ireland.

⁶³ Webber, A.F., Heath, J.A. and Fischer, R.A., 2013. Human disturbance and stage-specific habitat requirements influence snowy plover site occupancy during the breeding season. *Ecology and Evolution*, 3(4), pp.853-863.

⁶⁴ Caravaggi, A., Irwin, S., Lusby, J., Ruddock, M., Mee, A., Nagle, T., O'Toole, L., O'Neill, S. and O'Halloran, J., 2019. Anthropogenic pressures within the breeding range of the Hen Harrier *Circus cyaneus* in Ireland. *Bird Study*, 66(4), pp.461-470.

⁶⁵ Anthony Caravaggi, Sandra Irwin, John Lusby, Marc Ruddock, Lorcán O'Toole, Allan Mee, Tony Nagle, Shane O'Neill, David Tierney, Alan McCarthy & John O'Halloran (2019): Factors influencing Hen Harrier *Circus cyaneus* territory site selection and breeding success, BirdStudy, DOI: 10.1080/00063657.2019.169277

⁶⁶ Kathryn Sheridan, Jason Monaghan, T. David Tierney, Susan Doyle, Charles Tweney, Steve M. Redpath, Barry J. McMahon "The influence of habitat edge on a ground nesting bird species: hen harrier *Circus cyaneus*," *Wildlife Biology*, 2020(2), (16 June 2020)

⁶⁷ NPWS (2015) Hen Harrier Conservation and the Forestry Sector in Ireland 2015. Version 3.2 Date 31/03/2015. Accessed at <https://www.npws.ie/sites/default/files/publications/pdf/HHTRP%20-%20Forestry%20-%20V3.2.pdf> on 15 August 2022

⁶⁸ Alan McCarthy, Pat Smiddy, Tony Nagle, Allan Mee, Sandra Irwin, Anthony Caravaggi & John O'Halloran (2021) Landscape and temporal influences on the winter diet of a threatened diurnal raptor, the Hen Harrier *Circus cyaneus*, *Bird Study*, 68:3, 408-421, DOI: 10.1080/00063657.2022.2103515

⁶⁹ Caravaggi, Anthony & Irwin, Sandra & Lusby, John & McCarthy, Alan & Mee, Allan & Nagle, Tony & O'Halloran, John. (2020). Forest management and Hen Harrier *Circus cyaneus* conservation in Ireland.

5. Identifying the Zone of Influence

5.1 Overview

In accordance with guidance from the Department of Environment, Heritage, and Local Government (DEHLG) this report assesses the potential impact of the FESLUP on sites within the European site network, as well as the potential for transboundary impacts on sites outside of the Member State.

The identification of the ZoI relates to the Screening for AA and NIS, both contained within this report.

5.2 Identification of Zone of Influence

A total of 607 European sites exist in Ireland, with a further 75 European sites within Northern Ireland. Coillte occupies an area of approximately 440,000ha across the landscape of Ireland and is the largest single landowner in the State. Due to the scale and distribution of this landholding across the country, there is potential for interaction with most of Ireland's European site network which consists of 441 SACs, 165 SPAs and one candidate SPA and those European sites located within the ZoI with Northern Ireland.

5.2.1 Review of Best Practice Guidance and Relevant Literature

Guidance pertaining to the ZoI, as stated within 'Appropriate Assessment of Plans and Projects in Ireland' recommends:

"A distance of 15km is currently recommended in the case of plans, and derives from UK guidance (Scott Wilson et al., 2006). For projects, the distance could be much less than 15km, and in some cases less than 100m, but this must be evaluated on a case-by-case basis with reference to the nature, size and location of the project, and the sensitivities of the ecological receptors, and the potential for in combination effects"

Guidance⁷⁰ published by the Office of the Planning Regulator in 2021 recommends not using a buffer of defined distance, such as 15km, for the identification of relevant European sites:

"The identification of European sites within a 15km zone has become common practice in screening projects for AA. However this approach is not based on the S-P-R model and should not be used for projects. Few projects have a zone of influence this large, but some more complex projects may require a greater zone of investigation.

Instead the zone of influence of a project should be considered using the Source-Pathway-Receptor model. This should avoid lengthy descriptions of European sites, regardless of whether they are relevant to the proposed development, and a lack of focus on the relevant European sites and issues of importance"

As the approach to assessment for likely significant effects on European sites has matured, it is beginning to be accepted that determination of receptors should be identified solely on source and pathways for effect and should be considered on a case-by-case basis, rather than application of a blanket buffer. For example, there is the potential that a QI of a European site (e.g. freshwater species) could be adversely affected by changes in water quality of distances further than 15km away from the source.

In consideration of this, the application of the 15km buffer in the assessment of this Plan could mistakenly omit those European sites that have some hydrological or other ecological connection to activities arising from the Plan in relation to the Republic of Ireland. Therefore a standard distance for ZoI has not been used and this assessment's ZoI is based upon the Source-Pathway-Receptor model.

⁷⁰ OPR (2021) Appropriate Assessment Screening for Development Management. OPR Practice Note PN01

The sources and pathways for effect discussed in Section 5.3 and Section 5.4 and are relevant to the Republic of Ireland. When examining transboundary effects however, the receptor varies as it relates to the European site network across certain parts of Northern Ireland. For this reason, this methodology includes consideration of hydrological connectivity, functionally linked land and aerial emissions. To adequately capture the potential for effects, a combination of the Source-Pathway-Receptor model and a buffer of 15km has been utilised to identify the relevant European sites within Northern Ireland and is discussed below in Section 5.7.

5.3 Source: FESLUP Objectives

The objectives within the FESLUP have been designed to have positive effects for the pillars of Climate, Nature, Wood and Recreation. Any of the objectives could have the potential for likely significant effects including those specifically relating to Nature which may have unintended consequences. Following review of the draft objectives it was determined that they could be grouped according to how they may be implemented by Coillte. This has included the following grouped “source” categories: biodiversity management; biosecurity and climate change management; commercial forestry business management; commercial forestry operations; desktop review & research; development of desk-based tools; engagement and collaboration; internal staffing development; policy, plan, standards and guidelines development and support provision. Each objective has been assigned a “source” category as shown in Table 4. Following identification of these common grouped sources this report proceeds to analyse pathways to the identified receptors.

The draft objectives can be synthesised into the following mechanisms for implementation which can be described in the S-P-R model as the source.

- 1. Biodiversity management:** The implementation of objectives including those that relate to management plans for biodiversity areas, nature conservation, monitoring standards, guidance and training for Coillte staff etc., which, although having positive intentions, has the potential to interact with relevant QIs and SCIs and result in unintended LSE. For example, where biodiversity management objectives may be proposed for ancient and long-established woodland, if the design and resulting implementation of such an objective is not informed by site-by-site data and specialist professional input, it has the potential to result in unintended consequences to a QI and/or SCI linked by a pathway.
- 2. Commercial forestry business management:** Where decisions relating to forestry business management of timber and wood production in the office and may be reflected within Coillte Forest, Land Solutions and Medite Smartply outlined in Section 2.1. Such objectives can include those to monitor forest health and condition and guide management interventions could have the potential to have unintended consequences upon relevant QIs and SCIs.
- 3. Commercial forestry operations:** Objectives that relate to the operation of commercial forestry on the ground, such as piloting new decision-making tools for carbon management within the timber sector or where alternative measures for silviculture, such as Continuous Cover Forestry (CCF) has the potential to interact with European sites and result in unintended consequences on relevant QIs and SCIs.
- 4. Desktop review & research:** Objectives including those that commit to researching relevant policies, plans and existing information that inform forthcoming frameworks such as consultation frameworks for communities and statutory bodies. In addition, it refers to exploring business opportunities for Coillte, including avenues for supporting energy development, improving understanding and methodologies for climate, biodiversity and timber and recreation management. Whilst these objectives have been designed to incur positive outcomes, there is the potential for unintended consequences to result in likely significant effects upon relevant QIs and SCIs should their design lack specialist knowledge input.
- 5. Development of desk-based tools:** These are objectives that will result in the development of desk-based information tools such as frameworks, decision making tools for carbon management in forests, methods to improve recording biodiversity, operational guidance for habitats and species and increasing the understanding of how the Coillte estate is used for recreation. Whilst these objectives have been designed to incur positive outcomes, there is the potential for unintended consequences to result in likely significant effects upon relevant QIs and SCIs should their design lack specialist knowledge input.

6. **Engagement and collaboration:** Objectives that pertain to discussion, meetings, collaboration and engagement with the public, stakeholders, statutory bodies and government across the pillars of Forests for Climate, Nature, Wood and People. These are determined to be objectives that contend with the meeting of relevant parties with Coillte to strengthen relationships, to inform actions and measures for the future management of the Coillte estate and build trust between Coillte and relevant parties such as the public. Whilst these objectives have been designed to incur positive outcomes, there is the potential for unintended consequences to result in likely significant effects upon relevant QIs and SCIs should their design lack specialist knowledge input.
7. **Internal staffing development:** This relates to the objectives that contends with the training, development, necessary upskilling and future recruitment of staff and contractors within Coillte. Objectives pertaining to upskilling, training and development are anticipated to have positive effects for biodiversity however, where these are implemented without the necessary input from specialists, including ecologists, there is potential for likely significant effects on European sites and as a result, upon relevant QIs and SCIs.
8. **Policy, plan, standards and guidelines development:** The objectives that relate to developing policies, protocols, plans, guidance, approaches for training, monitoring and metrics are captured within this mechanism. Objectives such as these are acknowledged to have positive effects under the pillars of Climate, Nature Wood and People, however, where these are not designed with the necessary input from specialists, including ecologists, there is potential for likely significant effects on European sites and as a result, upon relevant QIs and SCIs.
9. **Support provision:** Where Coillte are committing to research on innovation, research and development, supporting government, stakeholders and the public, supporting the education sector for training and development for the wood industry etc., Objectives such as these are acknowledged to have positive effects under the pillars of Climate, Nature Wood and People, however, where these are not conducted with the necessary input from specialists, including ecologists, there is potential for likely significant effects on European sites and as a result, upon relevant QIs and SCIs.
10. **Other management:** This category includes other types of management not covered by the above categories such as measures to address issues that may be presented from threats of invasive species and the effects of climate change. This can include the development of monitoring standards, engagement and collaboration with stakeholders on the management of invasive species has the potential to interact with European Sites and result in unintended consequences upon relevant QIs and SCIs.

5.4 Pathway: Potential Pathways for Effect

The potential pathways for effects have been identified for each of the sources outlined in Section 5.3. These pathways relate how the implementation of the objectives could potentially impact European sites. The pathways identified for the FESLUP are outlined below.

1. **Aerial** – Aerial fertilisation, a commercial forestry activity, has the potential to be a pathway for effect on relevant QIs and SCIs as those within a certain distance of the site undergoing aerial fertilisation, or those QIs/SCIs that share a hydrological connection may undergo unintended consequences of aerial fertilisation e.g. nutrient enrichment of watercourses⁷¹. Aerial emissions resultant from activities, such as the construction of infrastructure, operation of cars, machinery etc., have the potential to result in the release of pollutants⁷² such as nitrogen oxides, sulphur dioxide, ammonia and hydrogen fluoride. Their deposition can cause indirect effects on Relevant QIs and/or SCIs and has the potential for likely significant effects.
2. **Direct land take** – This has the potential to occur through the siting of forestry related infrastructure (such as forest roads), recreation amenities (such as trails and paths) and the planting of new commercial

⁷¹ Shah, Nadeem; Nisbet, Tom. The Research Agency of the Forestry Commission (2015) The effects of aerial and hand fertiliser applications on water quality in the North Forest Region: monitoring in sub-catchments of the River Oykel, Peffery Burn and Loch Shin. Final Report. August 2019. Accessed at https://cdn.forestresearch.gov.uk/2015/12/fr_highland_fertiliser_monitoring_aug2019_final_report.pdf on 17/07/2023

⁷² IAQM (2019) A guide to the assessment of air quality impacts on designated nature conservation sites. Version 1.0 June 2019. Institute of Air Quality Management. Accessed at <https://iaqm.co.uk/text/guidance/air-quality-impacts-on-nature-sites-2019.pdf> on 17/07/2023

forestry sites etc., The resultant effects may be direct habitat loss, fragmentation, degradation, disturbance and indirect effects such as changes in water quality.

3. **Disturbance** – Resultant from a range of activities that have the potential to occur through the implementation of the FESLUP including the movement of people, plant and machinery, through construction and operation of recreation amenities, through commercial forestry activities such as felling, through land management practices etc.
4. **Hydrological** – Potential changes to waterbodies and hydrological connections may occur as a result of activities including, but not limited to, commercial forestry operations, development of recreation amenities, installation, maintenance and upgrades to walking routes and paths and actions towards nature conservation and climate change management such as the rewetting of degraded peatlands. Potential changes to the natural condition of waterbodies such as flow rate and water quality provide pathways to QIs and SCIs within a ZoI. Pollution to hydrological bodies can act as a pathway to QIs located at the source of the pollution event and to those connected downstream. Nutrient enrichment, through fertilisation in commercial forestry, siltation and sedimentation and the accidental introduction of hydrocarbons from the movement of plant and machinery has the potential to impact QIs and SCIs.
5. **Lighting** – The provision of lighting, whether permanent (e.g. lighting for carparks in forest parks) or temporary (during construction of recreation amenities or from machinery used in commercial forestry operations) has the potential to act as a pathway for disturbance and indirect effects upon QIs and SCIs.
6. **Movement of people, machinery** – The movement of people during recreation activities, or through implementing measures towards biodiversity and climate management has the potential to act as a pathway for disturbance or potentially cause indirect effects on QIs and SCIs. Where plant and machinery is required for activities such as the development of trails, paths, carparks etc., and for use in forestry planting, harvesting, felling and associated infrastructure, this can result in habitat fragmentation, degradation, disturbance and indirect effects upon QIs and SCIs. The introduction of invasive species may occur through the movement of people, plant and machinery via a lack of appropriate biosecurity measures. Introductions may be resultant from commercial forestry operations, recreation, habitat management etc., where invasive species are introduced through footwear, plant and machinery or from other sites which do not have appropriate biosecurity controls. In respect of the planting of new trees, there is potential for biosecurity risks where the donor sites or nursery sites are not suitably managed for biosecurity.
7. **Noise and Vibration** – Resultant from the use of plant and machinery through forest management, installation, maintenance and upgrades to forest park and trail infrastructure, habitat management e.g. peatland rewetting. Further noise may occur during peak visitor periods to forest parks where disturbance to QIs and SCIs may occur due to the density of visitors or during events held within the Coillte Estate gather large crowds.
8. **Recreation** – Activities such as hiking, mountain biking, camping, off-roading and horse riding all have the potential to act as a pathway for effect upon QIs and SCIs and result in habitat loss (where fires are lit for camping), habitat degradation and fragmentation (creation of desire lines through QI habitat), disturbance (use of vehicles in off-roading has potential to disturb SCIs and QI species) and indirect effects (such as the introduction of diseases through footwear, tires etc.,). The introduction of invasive species may occur through the movement of people, plant and machinery within the Coillte estate via a lack of appropriate biosecurity measures.

5.5 Receptors: European Sites Under Consideration

Given that the FESLUP is national in scale, all European sites within the Republic of Ireland have the potential to be impacted by the implementation of the FESLUP. In addition, some European sites within Northern Ireland are may also be impacted where the potential for transboundary effects occurs.

Ireland hosts 441 SACs designated for the presence of one or more Annex I habitat types and/or Annex II species. There are 165 SPAs and one candidate SPA designated for the protection of endangered wild birds including listed rare and vulnerable species, regularly occurring migratory species, and for the wetland habitat that support such species. To provide focus to assessment this report examines how the FESLUP could potentially lead to likely significant effects on any European sites through the relevant QIs and SCIs that are referenced in Section 4.5 using the source-pathway receptor assessment and in cognisance of the precautionary principle.




Figure 3 Example of S-P-R on a relevant QI/SCI

5.6 Republic of Ireland


The FESLUP is a strategic land-use plan which will be implemented at national level. The Plan's implementation within the Republic of Ireland does not contain geographic specificity, meaning that the objectives found within could be implemented anywhere within the Republic of Ireland and involve potential linkages to Northern Ireland. An analysis of all QIs and SCIs of each of the 606 sites within the Republic of Ireland has been considered a process which would obfuscate the AA process, and as discussed in Section 4.5, an overarching analysis of the QIs and SCIs of European sites within Ireland has been carried out within this assessment. Pressures and threats from forestry and recreation related activities were identified for QIs and SCIs, and it was considered that any QIs/SCIs at risk or vulnerable were those relevant to this assessment. Relevant QIs and SCIs within the Republic of Ireland are listed in Table 2.

To provide focus to this AA, the impact assessment utilises the Source-Pathway-Receptor method which is detailed above in Sections 5.3, 5.4 and 5.5. The QIs and SCIs, or receptors, considered relevant to this assessment, as discussed in Section 4.5, are shown in Table 2.

Table 2 Relevant QIs and SCIs Considered in this Report

QI Habitat	QI Species	SCI
<p>Coastal Habitats:</p> <p>1130 Estuaries</p> <p>1140 Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide</p> <p>1150 Coastal lagoons*</p> <p>1160 Large shallow inlets and bays</p> <p>1210 Annual vegetation of drift lines</p> <p>1220 Perennial vegetation of stony banks</p> <p>1230 Vegetated sea cliffs of the Atlantic and Baltic coasts</p> <p>1330 Atlantic salt meadows (<i>Glaucopuccinellietalia maritimae</i>)</p>	<p>Plant Species</p> <p>6985 Killarney Fern</p> <p>1528 Marsh Saxifrage</p> <p>1395 Petalwort</p> <p>1833 Slender Naiad</p>	<p>A157 Bar tailed godwit</p> <p>A156 Black tailed godwit</p> <p>A346 Chough</p> <p>A193 Common tern</p> <p>A122 Corncrake</p> <p>A768 Curlew</p> <p>A149 Dunlin</p> <p>A140 Golden plover</p> <p>A395 Greenland white-fronted goose</p> <p>A082 Hen harrier</p> <p>A229 Kingfisher</p>
<p>Dune Habitats:</p> <p>2110 Embryonic shifting dunes</p> <p>2120 Shifting dunes along the shoreline with <i>Ammophila arenaria</i> (white dunes)</p> <p>2130 Fixed coastal dunes with herbaceous vegetation (grey dunes)*</p> <p>2140 Decalcified fixed dunes with <i>Empetrum nigrum</i>*</p> <p>2150 Atlantic decalcified fixed dunes (<i>Calluno-Ulicetea</i>)</p> <p>2170 Dunes with <i>Salix repens</i> ssp. <i>argentea</i> (<i>Salicion arenariae</i>)</p> <p>2190 Humid dune slacks</p> <p>21A0 Machairs</p>	<p>Freshwater Species</p> <p>1106 Atlantic Salmon</p> <p>1029 Freshwater Pearl Mussel</p> <p>1092 White-Clawed Freshwater Crayfish</p> <p>1990 Nore Pearl Mussel</p> <p>5076 Pollan</p>	<p>A142 Lapwing</p> <p>A885 Little tern</p> <p>A098 Merlin</p> <p>A103 Peregrine falcon</p> <p>A001 Red Throated Diver</p> <p>A161 Redshank</p> <p>A192 Roseate tern</p> <p>A863 Sandwich tern</p> <p>A037 Whooper swan</p>
<p>Freshwater Habitats</p> <p>3110 Oligotrophic waters containing very few minerals of sandy plains (<i>Littorelletalia uniflorae</i>)</p> <p>3130 Oligotrophic to mesotrophic standing waters with vegetation of the <i>Littorelletea uniflorae</i> and/or <i>Isoeto-Nanojuncetea</i></p> <p>3140 Hard oligo-mesotrophic waters with benthic vegetation of <i>Chara</i> spp.</p> <p>3150 Natural eutrophic lakes with <i>Magnopotamion</i> or <i>Hydrocharition</i>-type vegetation</p> <p>3160 Natural dystrophic lakes and ponds</p> <p>3260 Water courses of plain to montane levels with the <i>Ranunculion fluitantis</i> and <i>Callitricho-Batracion</i> vegetation</p>	<p>Invertebrates</p> <p>1024 Kerry slug</p> <p>1065 Marsh fritillary</p>	
<p>Heaths</p> <p>4010 Northern Atlantic wet heaths with <i>Erica tetralix</i></p> <p>4030 European dry heaths</p> <p>4060 Alpine and Boreal heaths</p>	<p>Mammals</p> <p>1303 Lesser horseshoe bat</p> <p>1355 Otter</p>	

QI Habitat	QI Species	SCI
<p>Grasslands</p> <p>6130 Calaminarian grasslands of the <i>Violetalia calaminariae</i></p> <p>6210 Semi-natural dry grasslands and scrubland facies on calcareous substrates (<i>Festuco-Brometalia</i>)(*important orchid sites)</p> <p>6230 Species-rich <i>Nardus</i> grasslands, on siliceous substrates in mountain areas (and submountain areas, in Continental Europe)*</p> <p>6410 <i>Molinia</i> meadows on calcareous, peaty or clayey-silt-laden soils (<i>Molinion caeruleae</i>)</p>		
<p>Peatlands</p> <p>7110 Active raised bogs*</p> <p>7120 Degraded raised bogs still capable of natural regeneration</p> <p>7130 Blanket bogs (* if active bog)</p> <p>7140 Transition mires and quaking bogs</p> <p>7150 Depressions on peat substrates of the <i>Rhynchosporion</i></p>		



<p>Legend</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> RoI SAC Sites RoI SPA Sites NI SAC Sites NI SPA Sites Candidate Special Protection Area (SPA) (RoI) Proposed Special Protection Area (SPA) Northern Ireland (NI) <p>0 30 60 Kilometers</p>	<p>Coordinate System: Irish National Grid</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 10%;">01</td> <td style="width: 15%;">06/07/2023</td> <td style="width: 10%;">HS</td> <td style="width: 10%;">DM</td> <td style="width: 10%;">DM</td> <td style="width: 10%;">---</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Rev</td> <td>Date</td> <td>By</td> <td>Chkd</td> <td>Appd</td> <td>Authd</td> </tr> </table>	01	06/07/2023	HS	DM	DM	---	Rev	Date	By	Chkd	Appd	Authd	<p style="text-align: center;">ARUP</p> <p style="font-size: small;">50 Ringend Rd Dublin www.arup.com</p> <hr/> <p>Client</p> <div style="text-align: center;">  <p>COILLTE <small>Irish Forestry Institute</small></p> </div> <hr/> <p>Project Name Forest Estate Strategic Land Use Plan</p>	<p>Drawing Title European Site Network</p> <hr/> <p>Scale at A3 1:400,000</p> <p>Role FOR INFORMATION</p> <p>Suitability FOR REVIEW</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 80%;">Project Number 294083-00</td> <td style="width: 20%;">Rev 02</td> </tr> </table> <p>Drawing Name A.7</p>	Project Number 294083-00	Rev 02
01	06/07/2023	HS	DM	DM	---												
Rev	Date	By	Chkd	Appd	Authd												
Project Number 294083-00	Rev 02																

\\global\europa\dublin\jobs\290000\294083-00\4 - Internal\4-04 Reports\4-04-02 Consulting\AA\GIS\Coillte\2.aprx © Arup 2023

Figure 4 The European Designated Site Network located within the Republic of Ireland and Northern Ireland

5.7 Northern Ireland

Given the wide distribution of Coillte landholdings throughout the country there exists the potential for transboundary impacts on Northern Ireland and therefore potential for effects were assessed during the AA Screening. The limit of the extent of the Coillte estate within the Republic of Ireland territory establishes the basis of geographic specificity for transboundary effects and as such, makes it possible to identify European sites within Northern Ireland within a zones or zones of influence. Applying the precautionary principle, there is the potential for any part of the Coillte estate upstream of a hydrological link to a European site within Northern Ireland to be a source of an impact on a European site receptor downstream.

Potential European sites in Northern Ireland which could be subject to LSE have been identified through particular parameters that have the potential to be affected by the implementation of the FESLUP. The parameters used to inform this assessment are as follows:

- Direct physical connectivity through functionally linked land;
- Direct hydrological connectivity;
- Sub-catchments connectivity; and
- Aerial emission connectivity.




Figure 5 Physical, hydrological and sub-catchment connectivity to European Sites within Northern Ireland

Using datasets from the EPA¹⁴ and DAERA¹³, it is possible to identify those sites within the ZoI of the Coillte estate. The ZoI comprises of a 15km buffer of the land border between Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland to account for functionally linked land and where connectivity to aerial emissions may occur and any hydrological and sub-catchment connections downstream of the Coillte estate. These sites are identified in Table 3.

Table 3 European Sites within Northern Ireland identified within the Zone of Influence.

European Sites	Pathway for Effect within the Zol	QI	SCI
Cladagh (Swanlibar) River SAC	Hydrological Connectivity and Aerial Emission Connectivity	1029 Freshwater pearl mussel	
Cuilcagh Mountain SAC	Physical connectivity	7130 Blanket bogs	
Derryleckagh SAC	Aerial Emission Connectivity	7140 Transition mires and quaking bogs	
Fairy Water Bogs SAC	Aerial Emission Connectivity	7110 Active raised bogs	
Largalinny SAC	Aerial Emission Connectivity	91A0 Old sessile oak woods with <i>Ilex</i> and <i>Blechnum</i> in the British Isles	
Lough Melvin SAC	Hydrological connectivity	3130 Oligotrophic to mesotrophic standing waters with vegetation of the <i>Littorelletea uniflorae</i> and/or of the <i>Isoeto-Nanojuncetea</i> 6410 <i>Molinia</i> meadows on calcareous, peaty or clayey-silt-laden soils	
Magheraveely Marl Loughs SAC	Aerial Emission Connectivity	3140 Hard oligo-mesotrophic waters with benthic vegetation of <i>Chara</i> spp. 7230 Alkaline fens 092 White-clawed (or Atlantic stream) crayfish	
Monawilkin SAC	Aerial Emission Connectivity	6210 Semi-natural dry grasslands and scrubland facies on calcareous substrates (<i>Festuco-Brometalia</i>) (* important orchid sites)	
Moneygal Bog SAC	Hydrological connectivity	7110 Active raised bogs	
Moninea Bog SAC	Aerial Emission Connectivity and Hydrological Connectivity	7110 Active raised bogs	
Owenkillew River SAC	Aerial Emission Connectivity	3260 Water courses of plain to montane levels with the <i>Ranunculion fluitantis</i> and <i>Callitriche-Batrachion</i> vegetation 91A0 Old sessile oak woods with <i>Ilex</i> and <i>Blechnum</i> in the British Isles 1029 Freshwater pearl mussel	
Pettigo Plateau SAC	Hydrological connectivity	3160 Natural dystrophic lakes and ponds 7130 Blanket bogs	
Pettigo Plateau SPA	Hydrological connectivity		A140 <i>Pluvialis apricaria</i>

European Sites	Pathway for Effect within the Zol	QI	SCI
River Foyle and Tributaries SAC	Hydrological connectivity	3260 Water courses of plain to montane levels with the <i>Ranunculus fluitantis</i> and <i>Callitriche-Batrachion</i> vegetation 1106 Atlantic salmon	
River Roe and Tributaries SAC	Aerial Emission Connectivity		
Slieve Beagh Mullaghfad Lisnaskea SAC	Physical connectivity and Hydrological connectivity	3160 Natural dystrophic lakes and ponds 7130 Blanket bogs	
Slieve Beagh Mullaghfad Lisnaskea SPA	Physical connectivity and Hydrological connectivity		A082 <i>Circus cyaneus</i>
Slieve Beagh SAC	Physical connectivity and Hydrological connectivity	3160 Natural dystrophic lakes and ponds 7130 Blanket bogs (* if active bog)	
Slieve Gullion SAC	Aerial emission connectivity	4030 European dry heaths	
Upper Lough Erne SAC	Physical connectivity and Hydrological connectivity	3150 Natural eutrophic lakes with <i>Magnopotamion</i> or <i>Hydrocharition</i> -type vegetation 91A0 Old sessile oak woods with <i>Ilex</i> and <i>Belchnum</i> in the British Isles 91E0 Alluvial forests with <i>Alnus glutinosa</i> and <i>Fraxinus excelsior</i> (<i>Alno-padion</i> , <i>Alnion incanae</i> , <i>Salicion albae</i>) 1355 Otter	
Upper Lough Erne SPA	Physical connectivity and Hydrological connectivity		A038 <i>Cygnus cygnus</i>
West Fermanagh Scarplands SAC	Aerial connectivity	6210 Semi-natural dry grasslands and scrubland facies on calcareous substrates (<i>Festuco-Brometalia</i>) (* important orchid sites) 6410 Molinia meadows on calcareous, peaty or clayey-silt-laden soils (<i>Molinion caeruleae</i>) 8240 Limestone pavements 9180 <i>Tilio-Acerion</i> forests of slopes, screes and ravines	

6. Impact Prediction

6.1 Overview

This Screening for AA takes into account the potential impact the FESLUP may have on relevant QIs and SCIs. Transboundary impacts on European sites found within Northern Ireland are reviewed where the implementation of the FESLUP has the potential to impact on sites outside the Member State territory.

6.2 Potential for Likely Significant Effects

The potential for likely significant effects arising from the implementation of the FESLUP on European sites cannot at this stage be confirmed based on the level of detail available however, they may be inferred particularly in relation to the relevant QIs, and SCIs stated in Section 4.5.

Examples of generic effects include:

- Direct and indirect effects;
- Short and long-term effects;
- Disturbance effects; and
- Isolated, interactive, indirect, and cumulative effects;

The likely potential effects that could arise through the implementation of this FESLUP are outlined below:

- Habitat loss, destruction, fragmentation and degradation through forestry activities: including afforestation and re-planting, harvesting, thinning and felling;
- Habitat loss, destruction, fragmentation and degradation through construction of forestry related infrastructure (forest roads, drainage) operational and decommissioning effects;
- Habitat loss, destruction, fragmentation and degradation through construction, maintenance and upgrades of recreation related amenities such as paths, trails, carparks, public toilets etc;
- Loss/reduction of habitat area;
- Disturbance to habitats, important ecological features, and hydrological regime;
- Habitat/species fragmentation;
- Reduction in species density;
- Species mortality; and
- Changes in key indicators of conservation value (e.g., decrease in water quality/quantity).

6.3 Potential Effects of the FESLUP

A summary of the main potential ecological impacts that could arise from the implementation of the FESLUP are presented below and are used in the impact prediction.

6.3.1 Habitat Loss, Destruction, Fragmentation or Degradation to relevant QIs and SCIs

The loss or destruction of habitat occurs where there is a complete removal or conversion of a habitat type; for example, arising from the planting of forests, the development of trails, paths and related recreation infrastructure, forest road construction, construction of amenities such as carparks, public toilets etc., on a particular habitat type e.g., grasslands.

Habitat fragmentation is defined as the process during which a large expanse of habitat is transformed into a number of smaller patches of smaller total area isolated from each other by a matrix of habitats unlike the

original⁷³. This can occur where forestry or recreation related infrastructure (drainage, walking trails, forest roads) prevents the natural movement of species within a larger landscape. This is relevant where important corridors for movement or migration are disrupted e.g., migration routes for fish species e.g. Atlantic salmon, along river corridors which have been affected by hydrological changes due to infrastructure development for recreation or drainage for commercial forestry.

Habitat degradation can occur where the quality of habitat and/or its functions is diminished. This can potentially occur for example in areas that become accessible for recreation/tourism or where commercial forestry (planting, forest road construction/operation) could introduce an invasive species through the movement of machinery and people. This could arise from poor planning and management practices.

6.3.2 Disturbance to relevant QIs and SCIs

Disturbance to relevant QIs and SCIs can potentially occur where there is an increase in noise levels or movements of people, vehicles and machinery as a result of tourism and forestry operations. This would include noise and movement by both machinery and humans which can occur during any recreational movement throughout the landscape, either through the use of vehicles or by foot and as a result of the planting, operation and felling stages of forestry. Machinery movement including those required for forest road construction, planting, felling, thinning and fertilising stages, and human movement associated with the aforementioned activities or recreation, can potentially disturb relevant QIs and SCIs, for example such as dunlin, red-throated diver and curlew from their resting/nesting places and dissuade species from foraging in an area where these activities are taking place.

As a result, a species' range and habitat can be directly impacted. Recreational activities, and amenity developments, and commercial forestry activity in proximity to a species range within a European site and functionally linked areas supporting QIs, and SCIs has therefore the potential to cause disturbance to relevant QIs and SCIs. Scientific literature has noted the impacts of human related impacts on species, with potential significant negative effects on breeding success of bird species directly impacted through nest abandonment and via increased predation. Outside the breeding season, recreation can reduce the use of sites by birds. Where species are forced to escape disturbed sites, there is often the case where these species are required to travel further resulting in increased energy expenditure. Repeated disturbance can force species to travel further afield to forage from their nesting sites which can lead to negative impacts on populations including increased adult mortality, emigration and/or decreased reproductive success⁷⁴. Disturbance through the use of vehicles in open landscapes has been shown to affect grazing birds in lowland and wetland habitats⁷⁵.

6.3.3 Indirect Effects to relevant QIs and SCIs

Indirect effects as a result of forest management, biodiversity management and recreation can potentially include a change in availability of nutrients and light, an increase in the vulnerability of the site to other new threats such as invasive alien species, changes to water quality and water movement and changes to habitat or species as a result of inadequate or inappropriate training to those involved in tourism and forestry. These indirect effects have the potential to occur during the course of recreation and tourism pursuits as well as during forestry operations including the afforestation process and throughout the lifetime of a forest.

Changes in the availability of nutrients and light could potentially occur during planting, thinning, and harvesting stages, impacting the ability of some plant species to grow, as well as indirectly affecting the predation capabilities of species such as merlin.

Changes to water quality and/or water movement could potentially occur during all stages of the afforestation process through either point and/or diffuse pollution and new or altered drainage patterns. Where recreational amenities are constructed and operational, changes in water quality may occur where

⁷³ Fahrig, L. (2003). Effects of Habitat Fragmentation on Biodiversity. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 34, 487–515. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/30033784>

⁷⁴ Platteeuw, Maarten; Henkens, Rene J H G. Possible impacts of disturbance to waterbirds: individuals, carrying capacity and populations. *Wildfowl*, [S.l.], p. 225-236, Jan. 1997. ISSN 2052-6458. Available at: <<https://wildfowl.wwt.org.uk/index.php/wildfowl/article/view/1032>>. Date accessed: 21 Jul. 2023.

⁷⁵ D. Hockin, M. Ounsted, M. Gorman, D. Hill, V. Keller, M.A. Barker, Examination of the effects of disturbance on birds with reference to its importance in ecological assessments, *Journal of Environmental Management*, Volume 36, Issue 4, 1992, Pages 253-286, ISSN 0301-4797, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-4797\(08\)80002-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-4797(08)80002-3).

pathways are inappropriately sited, waterbodies are altered due to the construction and operation of amenities (such as surface run-off from carparks, access-roads).

Planting, felling, fertilising and the use of chemicals can negatively impact water quality attributes such as dissolved organic carbon, pH and flow and lead to increased concentrations of toxic chemicals. The construction of infrastructure, such as the installation of culverts, bridges and drains can cause the release of suspended solids into watercourses and impact the natural hydrological regime of the watercourse both where immediately adjacent to the source and downstream.

Insufficient training on ecological sensitivities and risks to relevant QIs and SCIs delivered to those involved in the forestry process can have a potential impact on relevant QIs and SCIs. This is particularly the case where those involved are not trained in ecology, do not have sufficient ecological experience, and do not fully understand obligations under the Habitats and Birds Directive.

6.3.4 In Combination Effects to relevant QIs and SCIs

A series of individual insignificant effects may 'in combination' produce a significant effect. It is important to note that accounting for combined impacts will often only occur over time. Within this context, all relevant plans and/or projects which are completed, in preparation or approved but uncompleted must be considered. Where there is a series of small, but potentially adverse impacts occurring to relevant QIs and SCIs, consideration should be made as to their combined impacts.

When considering in combination effects the following should be taken account of:

- Projects completed;
- Projects approved but not started or uncompleted;
- Proposed projects (where an application has been submitted to the relevant competent authority, but no decision has been determined yet);
- Proposals in adopted plans; and
- Proposal in finalised Plans formally published or submitted for consultation or adoption.

6.4 Likely Effects of the FESLUP

The objectives within the FESLUP are national in their application and are limited in scale and detail. As a result, the discussion of the likelihood of significant effects is high-level. The level of detail and information within the draft FESLUP is insufficient to undertake detailed assessment of the adverse effects on individual European sites, however considering the land-use activities that occur within the Coillte estate, e.g. forestry and recreation, a summary of the potential for effects resulting from the implementation of the FESLUP across the pathways for effects has been established for relevant QIs and SCIs.

Without mitigation, there is potential for the implementation of the FESLUP which promotes the creation of new woodlands, redesign of peatlands, increase of carbon storage, generation of wind energy, enhancement and restoration of biodiversity, the production and promotion of wood products and increase in the number of recreation areas, to have adverse effects on the integrity of the European site network.

The information provided within this document should be built upon and used to guide and inform future AA of the future FESLUP Implementation Plan and subsequent plans that may evolve from the actions within. This includes a thorough assessment of the QIs/SCIs, conservation objectives, current condition of the relevant European Sites (including supplementary advice if available) and potential effects on QIs/SCIs as a result of each proposed plan, to determine appropriate mitigation (if required) and any adverse effects on integrity of the site.

7. AA Screening - Assessment of Effects

7.1 Overview

The list of objectives proposed within the FESLUP have been screened for Appropriate Assessment to consider any potential for likely significant effects. This has been summarised in Table 4 below. Any measures within the FESLUP that have the potential for likely significant effects have been brought forward to Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment in Section 8.

Table 4 Screening assessment of the proposed objectives of the FESLUP

Response to Ambition	Objective	The 'Source' category for which the objective sits within per Section 5.3	Discussion of the potential for likely significant effects	Screening Outcome
Forests for Climate				
Ambition 1 - Enable the creation of 100,000 hectares of new forests, half of which will be native woodlands, which will sink 18m tonnes CO2 by 2050.	CO1. Undertake a review to understand Land Use policy implications for Commercial & Native afforestation and assess land resource availability.	Desktop review & research	The act of undertaking a review to understand land use policy implications and assessing land resource availability is understood to be a desk-based activity. This objective does not lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs.	Screened Out
	CO2. Engage with government and other key regulatory bodies to ensure that the policy and regulatory framework supports Coillte's Climate ambitions.	Engagement and collaboration	This act of influencing government and key stakeholders does not lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs.	Screened Out
	CO3. Support Local and National Government to develop afforestation opportunities on suitable publicly owned lands	Engagement and collaboration	The act of collaboration does not in itself lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. This particular objective does not pertain to the implementation of afforestation on suitable publicly owned lands. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs.	Screened Out
	CO4. Collaborate with key stakeholders to explore options for farmer led afforestation.	Engagement and collaboration	The act of collaboration does not in itself lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. This particular objective does not pertain to the implementation of farmer led afforestation. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its QIs/SCIs.	Screened Out
	CO5. Secure the necessary investment to achieve Coillte's Climate ambitions by exploring all sources of available funding.	Desktop review & research Engagement & Collaboration	Securing investment and exploring sources of available funding does not in itself lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its QIs/SCIs.	Screened Out

Response to Ambition	Objective	The 'Source' category for which the objective sits within per Section 5.3	Discussion of the potential for likely significant effects	Screening Outcome
Ambition 2 - Redesign 30,000 hectares of Peatland Forests for climate and ecological benefits by 2050.	CO6. Synthesise existing information that identifies potential location(s) for the redesign of peatlands at scale.	Desktop review & research	The synthesis of existing information to identify potential locations for the redesign of peatlands at scale is a desk-based activity. This objective in itself does not in itself lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs.	Screened Out
	CO7. Continuously develop guidelines for the redesign of peatlands based on best Irish and international practice..	Desktop review & research Policy, plan, standards and guideline development	The development of guidelines for the redesign of peatlands does not in itself lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. There is the potential for likely significant effects on European sites however, where the design of these guidelines, if done incorrectly, could lead to likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs.	Screened In
	CO8. Establish monitoring and management frameworks for redesigned peatlands.	Policy, plan, standards and guideline development Desktop review & research Development of desk based tools	The establishment of monitoring and management framework for redesigned peatlands does not in itself lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. There is the potential for likely significant effects on European sites however, where the design of monitoring and management frameworks, if done incorrectly, could lead to likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs.	Screened In
Ambition 3 – Manage the existing Forest Estate to increase the carbon store by 10m tonnes of CO2 by 2050.	CO09. Utilise land use planning models to deliver balanced carbon mitigation management options to achieve the 10m tonnes of CO2 storage target.	Engagement and collaboration	Utilising land use planning models to deliver balanced carbon mitigation does not fall within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs.	Screened Out
	CO10. Undertake continued refinement and analysis of the impact of forest management and mitigation measures to improve forest productivity, including managing the age profile to achieve our climate ambitions..	Desktop review & research	Refinement and analysis are desk top based activities which does not in itself lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs.	Screened Out

Response to Ambition	Objective	The 'Source' category for which the objective sits within per Section 5.3	Discussion of the potential for likely significant effects	Screening Outcome
	CO11. Identify and quantify the impacts of climate change and develop measures to make Coillte's estate more climate resilient.	Desktop review & research Engagement & Collaboration Other management	This objective is a desk-based activity and does not lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall result in the potential for likely significant effects on the European site network.	Screened In
	CO12. Develop evidence and understanding of carbon management within the forest and across the forestry supply chain, and pilot new decision-making tools.	Desktop review & research Commercial forestry operations Development of desktop based tools	In the absence of mitigation the application of new decision making tools has the potential to interact with the European site network and its QI/SCIs during its implementation. Where these decision making tools are not appropriately designed to reflect environmental and ecological conditions, and specifically in consideration of the Habitats Directive, this has the potential to result in likely significant effects. Potential likely significant effects include habitat loss, degradation, fragmentation, disturbance to QIs/SCIs and indirect effects on European sites.	Screened In
	CO13. Deepen our understanding of the potential of product substitution from harvested wood and undertake additional analysis related to fossil fuel displacement and value chain emissions.	Desktop review & research Engagement & collaboration	This objective is a desk-based activity and does not lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall result in the potential for likely significant effects on the European site network.	Screened Out
Ambition 4 - Generate an additional 1 Gigawatt of renewable wind energy to power 500,000 homes by 2030.	CO14. Support FuturEnergy Ireland and 3rd party renewable energy developers in their current and future renewable energy endeavours.	Support Provision Engagement and collaboration	The provision of support or the act of engagement does not lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. Where this support provision and engagement is not cognisant of the requirements of the Habitats and Birds Directives, forthcoming actions in relation to this support could have the potential to result in likely significant effects on European sites or its respective QIs/SCIs.	Screened In
	CO15. Engage with Government along and key stakeholders to ensure that the policy and regulatory framework can effectively deliver the approval and granting of permissions for renewable energy projects including a correctly structured, timely and well-resourced planning appeals process	Engagement and collaboration	The act of influencing does not lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall result in the potential for likely significant effects on the European site network.	Screened Out

Response to Ambition	Objective	The 'Source' category for which the objective sits within per Section 5.3	Discussion of the potential for likely significant effects	Screening Outcome
	CO16. Develop a clear policy and explore opportunities for both onshore and offshore grid infrastructure, rollout and services to support ongoing development.	Policy, plan, standards and guideline development Desktop review & research Engagement and collaboration	Developing policy and exploring opportunities for onshore and offshore grid infrastructure etc., does not lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. Where the development of such policies are not informed by environmental and ecological data, and compliant with the requirements of the Habitats and Birds Directives, there is the potential that its implementation may result in the potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs.	Screened In
Forests for Nature				
5 - Enhance and restore biodiversity by increasing the area of our estate managed primarily for nature from 20% to 30% by 2025	NO1. Classify additional biodiversity areas on the estate, focusing on habitats of the best ecological quality, and/or which have the best habitat restoration potential.	Desktop review & research	Activities relating to mapping are perceived to be desktop activities and do not lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites and/or QIs/SCIs. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network.	Screened Out
	NO2. Continue the process of producing and implementing management plans for biodiversity areas, combining both ecological and forestry perspective and expertise.	Biodiversity management Policy, plan, standards and guideline development	Continuance of developing management plans for biodiversity does have the potential to result in likely significant effects on European sites where the conservation objectives of QIs/SCIs are not adhered to. There is potential that actions designed within the management plans could result in unintended consequences on European sites/QIs/SCIs such as habitat fragmentation, degradation, disturbance and indirect effects as a result of their implementation.	Screened In
	NO3. Create a framework for the selection, appropriate restoration and conservation of ancient and long-established woodland, and engage with key regulatory bodies to promote the approach.	Policy, plan, standards and guideline development Biodiversity management	The creation of a framework and engagement of key regulatory bodies does not within itself lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites and/or QIs/SCIs. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network, QIs or SCIs.	Screened Out
	NO4. Continue to increase the implementation of alternative silvicultural systems including continuous cover forestry (CCF) in forests of ecological value.	Commercial forestry operations	Increasing the implementation of alternative silvicultural systems including CCF in forests where the ecological objectives require it does have the potential to result in likely significant effects on the European site network. Unintended consequences of implementing these management techniques include habitat loss, fragmentation, degradation, disturbance and indirect effects.	Screened In

Response to Ambition	Objective	The 'Source' category for which the objective sits within per Section 5.3	Discussion of the potential for likely significant effects	Screening Outcome
6 - Transform areas of our forests so that 50% of our estate is managed primarily for Nature in the long-term	NO5. Develop metrics, set targets and develop an effective regime to monitor and report on key environmental parameters on the Coillte estate; including valuable habitats / species and the ecological benefits of biodiversity management actions.	Biodiversity management Policy, plan, standards and guideline development Engagement and collaboration	Developing targets and set of metrics for monitoring and reporting does not in itself pertain to the implementation of such metrics/targets or approaches. As a result, it does not fall within the scope of management or conservation of European sites and/or QIs/SCIs. It is not anticipated that this particular objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network, QIs and/or SCIs.	Screened Out
	NO6. Continue to identify portions of the estate with potential to make greater contribution to biodiversity and explore opportunities to transform them to improve their nature conservation value.	Biodiversity management	The act of identifying portions of the forest estate that are not contributing significantly to the values of climate, nature, wood or people is a desk based activity pertaining to review of data and further research. As a result, it does not fall within the scope of management or conservation of European sites and/or QIs/SCIs. It is not anticipated that this particular objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network, QIs and/or SCIs.	Screened Out
	NO7. Engage with key regulatory and other bodies to develop a common vision for how these sites (ref NO6) should be managed.	Engagement and collaboration Policy, plan, standards and guideline development Biodiversity management	Engagement with key regulatory and other bodies to develop a vision does not in itself relate to the implementation of such a vision on a site basis. As a result, it does not fall within the scope of management or conservation of European sites and/or QIs/SCIs. It is not anticipated that this particular objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network, QIs and/or SCIs.	Screened Out
	NO8. Develop protocols for managing these sites (ref NO6), appropriate to their scale, habitat connectivity and site type, that will improve their nature conservation value.	Desktop review & research Policy, plan, standards and guideline development Biodiversity management	Developing protocols for managing these sites, appropriate to their scale, habitat connectivity and site type to improve nature conservation value etc., is anticipated to have positive impacts on the European site network, QIs and SCIs. However, where these protocols are not appropriate designed there exists the potential for likely significant effects on the European site network, QIs or SCIs.	Screened In

Response to Ambition	Objective	The 'Source' category for which the objective sits within per Section 5.3	Discussion of the potential for likely significant effects	Screening Outcome
Additional Nature Objectives	NO9. Review and update, as appropriate, Environmental Risk Assessment (ERA) standards and procedures to inform planning and operations, in line with ongoing and emerging best practice.	Desktop review & research Commercial forestry operations Policy, plan, standards and guideline development	The continuation of developing Environmental Risk Assessment standards and procedures that inform planning and operations does not lie within the scope of management or conservation of the European site network. This objective pertains to desk top activity and does not pertain to its implementation. It is not anticipated that this particular objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network, QIs and/or SCIs.	Screened Out
	NO10. Identify and implement ways of improving the advance planning of biodiversity management actions and integration into business planning, where appropriate and feasible.	Desktop review & research Policy, plan, standards and guideline development Biodiversity management	Identifying and implementing ways to improve advance planning of biodiversity management actions and integration into business planning does not lie within the scope of management or conservation of the European site network. The act of exploration does not pertain to implementation of actions within biodiversity management or business planning. Therefore, it is not anticipated that this particular objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network, QIs and/or SCIs.	Screened Out
	NO11. Identify and implement methods to improve inventory processes and data-gathering, to expand our knowledge of nature on the estate and our reporting capacity.	Desktop review and research Policy, plan, standards and guideline development Biodiversity Management	Identifying and implementing methods to improve inventory processes and data gathering to expand knowledge of nature within the Coillte Estate is broadly positive for biodiversity, but there does pose the potential for likely significant effects on the European site network. Potential impacts to European sites/QIs/SCIs may occur where procedures are not designed with full cognisance of the suite of QIs (and respective COs) that may be present on a site by site basis. Unintended likely significant effects may include disturbance to QIs/SCIs and indirect effects such as changes to water quality etc.,	Screened In

Response to Ambition	Objective	The 'Source' category for which the objective sits within per Section 5.3	Discussion of the potential for likely significant effects	Screening Outcome
	NO12. Review and improve methods for how biodiversity features and other important environmental features are recorded across the estate.	Desktop review & research Policy, plan, standards and guideline development Biodiversity Management	Continuing to improve and build how biodiversity and environmental features are recorded is broadly positive in its nature, however, there is the potential to result in likely significant effects on the European site network. Where there is direct implementation of recording methods occurring in-situ, there is the potential for unintended effects of disturbance to QIs/SCIs and indirect effects during recording methods.	Screened In
	NO13. Enhance guidance for the management of habitats and species relevant to Coillte's estate and activities.	Development of desk based tools Policy, plan, standards and guideline development Biodiversity management Engagement and collaboration	The enhancement of guidance on habitats and species relevant to Coillte's estate and activities does have the potential to result on likely significant effects on the European site network. Whilst this is broadly positive for biodiversity, without the input of specialists during development, there is the potential for unintended significant effects on QIs and/or SCIs. Effects such as disturbance and indirect effects have the potential to occur where this operational guidance is not customised on a site-by-site basis and not in keeping with the conservation objectives of European sites that are within or connected to. Partnering with relevant agencies to achieve guidance that is both science-based and evidence-based is anticipated to be engagement and collaboration and as such this is not anticipated to result in likely significant effects on European sites.	Screened In
	NO14. Proactively engage with the relevant regulatory agencies on the measures required to move statutory designated sites to favourable conservation status.	Engagement and collaboration	The act of engagement with regulatory agencies pertains to stakeholder input and collaboration. It does not relate to the implementation of such measures to move statutory designated sites to favourable conservation status. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network, QIs and/or SCIs	Screened Out

Response to Ambition	Objective	The 'Source' category for which the objective sits within per Section 5.3	Discussion of the potential for likely significant effects	Screening Outcome
	NO15. Proactively engage with relevant agencies to develop a science-based understanding of the interaction between forests and water.	Engagement and collaboration Desktop review & research Commercial forestry operations	Proactive engagement with agencies defines the overarching action within this objective. Understanding the interaction between forests and water in conjunction with these agencies, refers to collaboration and research and does not in itself pertain to the management or conservation of European site. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network, QIs and/or SCIs.	Screened Out
	NO16. Engage with relevant agencies and stakeholders to explore how Coillte can play a role in delivering programmes and measures aimed at enhancing water quality in catchments.	Engagement and collaboration	The act of engagement with other agencies and stakeholders pertains to collaboration and discussion and does not in itself relate to direct implementation of programmes and measures to enhance water quality in catchments. Therefore this objective in itself does not lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effect on the European site network, QIs and/or SCIs.	Screened Out
	NO17. Collaborate with relevant stakeholders to develop national strategies towards the management of invasive species.	Engagement and collaboration Biodiversity management Other management	Collaboration with relevant stakeholders on the development of national strategies does not in itself lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites, its QIs or SCIs. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network, its QIs or SCIs.	Screened Out
	NO18. Collaborate and engage as a key partner with the ongoing preparation of the Deer Management Strategy and seek to implement once published, in so far as possible and appropriate.	Engagement and collaboration Other management	Collaboration and engagement in the preparation of the national deer management strategy pertains to collaborative action with relevant stakeholders engaged within the deer management strategy. Collaboration and engagement does not fall within the scope of management or conservation of European sites, its QIs or SCIs. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network, its QIs or SCIs. In addition, such a strategy will be subject to a Screening for Appropriate Assessment (at minimum) and therefore the potential for any likely significant effects would be addressed within that respective AA.	Screened Out

Response to Ambition	Objective	The 'Source' category for which the objective sits within per Section 5.3	Discussion of the potential for likely significant effects	Screening Outcome
	NO19. Identify and target appropriate funding mechanisms that will enable the implementation of conservation and protection measures at scale.	Desktop review & research Engagement and collaboration	Identifying and targeting funding mechanisms is the action within this particular objective. It does not in itself fall within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network, its QIs or SCIs.	Screened Out
Forests for Wood				
Ambition 7 – Produce 25m cubic metres of certified Irish timber, to support the construction of 300,000 homes by 2030.	WO1. Maintain production capacity to harvest and supply certified roundwood to support timber production.	Commercial forestry operations	Maintaining the current capacity to harvest and supply certified roundwood does not lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. Harvesting roundwood does have the potential to incur likely significant effects where sites are located within European sites. This objective is brought forward to Appropriate Assessment.	Screened In
	WO2. Maintain independent environmental certification of Coillte-managed forests.	Desktop review & research Commercial forestry business management	Independent environmental certification of Coillte managed forests does not in itself lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs.	Screened Out
	WO3. Work with other contributors to produce a twenty-year all-Ireland roundwood forecast every five years.	Engagement and collaboration Desktop review & research Policy, plan, standards and guideline development Commercial forestry business management	Working with contributors to produce a forecast does not in itself lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs.	Screened Out
	WO4. Introduce a three-year rolling tactical plan for roundwood supply that addresses all the short-term and medium planning requirements to make roundwood available in each planned year of operations.	Desktop review & research Policy, plan, standards and guideline development Commercial forestry business management Commercial forestry operations	Introducing a plan for roundwood supply does not in itself lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs.	Screened Out
	WO5. Report annually to the wood processing sector on the available supply of roundwood for the year ahead and the actual supply that materialised in the previous year.	Desktop review & research Engagement and collaboration Commercial forestry business management	Reports to wood processing sector does not in itself lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs.	Screened Out

Response to Ambition	Objective	The 'Source' category for which the objective sits within per Section 5.3	Discussion of the potential for likely significant effects	Screening Outcome
	WO6. Ensure that access to the Forest Estate for the purpose of Roundwood removals is maintained through Forest Industry Transport Group collaboration with the partners and stakeholders.	Engagement and collaboration	Ensuring that access to the forest estate is maintained through collaboration does not in itself pertain to direct implementation of forestry roads etc.. This objective therefore does not in itself lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs.	Screened Out
	WO7. Monitor forest health and condition to detect and mitigate against the potential impact of pests and diseases and guide management interventions to ensure that the estate can continue to deliver under the pillars of Climate, Nature, Wood and People.	Commercial forestry operations Commercial forestry business management Other management	Monitoring forest health and condition is anticipated to be broadly positive in its nature. Monitoring forest health and condition does not in itself lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs.	Screened Out
	WO8. Maintain timber security measures including the geofencing of all forests and tracking of roundwood removals from the Coillte Estate.	Commercial forestry operations Commercial forestry business management	Geofencing is a virtual perimeter and not a physically present feature. Maintaining timber security measures does not in itself lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs.	Screened Out
	WO9. Support innovation and the adoption of new technologies and practices to enhance the efficiency of the roundwood supply chain, including mechanisms for monitoring roundwood stocks in the forest.	Support Provision Development of desk-based tools Commercial forestry operations	The act of support of innovation does not in itself lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs.	Screened Out
	WO10. Support government and other stakeholders to expedite the approval and granting of forestry licences to ensure that sufficient and consistent volumes of roundwood supply are available.	Support Provision	The act of support does not in itself lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs.	Screened Out

Response to Ambition	Objective	The 'Source' category for which the objective sits within per Section 5.3	Discussion of the potential for likely significant effects	Screening Outcome
	WO11. Promote initiatives aimed at increasing public awareness regarding the importance of forests in delivering the wood supply needed to meet Irish housing demand.	Engagement and collaboration	The promotion of initiatives to increase public awareness does not in itself lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs.	Screened Out
Ambition 8 – Promote the use and benefits of wood products to increase the level of timber homes from 20% to 80% by 2050.	WO12. Deliver comprehensive evidence on the benefits delivered by the forestry sector (to the circular and bioeconomy) and on the benefits of using home grown sawn-wood in construction.	Desktop review & research	Delivering comprehensive evidence on the benefits of the forestry sector does not in itself lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs.	Screened Out
	WO13. Engage with government and relevant bodies to revise regulations concerning the use of wood in construction in Ireland.	Engagement and collaboration	Engagement with government and stakeholders does not in itself lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs.	Screened Out
	WO14. Engage with government and relevant bodies to introduce green procurement guidelines for new public buildings and to introduce sustainability mechanisms in construction to reduce the carbon footprint of new builds.	Engagement and collaboration	Engagement with government and stakeholders does not in itself lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs.	Screened Out
	WO15. Support the demonstration of new forms of timber-based construction in Ireland..	Engagement and collaboration	The provision of support does not in itself lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs.	Screened Out
	WO16. Assist in the development of design guidance for practitioners and educational courses in timber building systems including the use of mass timber and timber frame construction in Ireland.	Desktop review & research Engagement and collaboration Commercial forestry business management	Providing assistance in the development of design guidance and educating practitioners for timber building systems does not in itself lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs.	Screened Out

Response to Ambition	Objective	The 'Source' category for which the objective sits within per Section 5.3	Discussion of the potential for likely significant effects	Screening Outcome
Forests for People				
Ambition 9 - Enable the investment of €100m in world-class Visitor Destinations to support growth in tourism and recreation by 2030.	PO1. Identify priority site locations and develop masterplans for future Visitor Destinations.	Desktop review & research Policy, plan, standards and guideline development	The identification of priority site locations and the development of masterplans has the potential to incur likely significant effects on the European site network where sites are located within, adjacent or connected to a European site network. There is the potential for habitat loss, degradation, fragmentation, disturbance and/or indirect effects to (a) European site(s) QIs/SCIs could occur where these sites and/or implementation of masterplans occurs.	Screened In
	PO2. Strengthen our strategic partnership for the delivery of Visitor Destinations with Failte Ireland to support shared objectives.	Engagement and collaboration	Engagement with Failte Ireland to support shared does not in itself lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs.	Screened Out
	PO3. Engage with Local Authorities to identify opportunities to create recreational projects of scale, in line with local and national development plans	Engagement and collaboration Desktop review & research	Engagement with local authorities to identify opportunities does not in itself lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs.	Screened Out
	PO4. Monitor visitor numbers and measure impact, to protect the environment and enhance customer experience..	Desktop review & research Development of desktop based tools	The action of monitoring visitor numbers and measuring their impact relates to desktop based activities and does not lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs.	Screened Out
	PO5. Develop commercial partnerships that support investment to deliver outstanding Visitor destinations.	Engagement and collaboration	The development of commercial partnerships does not lie within the scope of the management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs	Screened Out

Response to Ambition	Objective	The 'Source' category for which the objective sits within per Section 5.3	Discussion of the potential for likely significant effects	Screening Outcome
Ambition 10 - Double the number of Recreation Areas to 500, to benefit local communities and people's wellbeing.	PO6. Create a system of classification for Recreation Areas, setting out the offer and facilities to be provided for each category	Desktop review & research Policy, plan, standards and guideline development Development of desktop based tools	Creating a classification system for recreation areas has the potential to result in likely significant effects on European sites where the system is not strongly influenced by the presence and protection of important ecological features including QIs and SCIs of European sites. There is the potential for unintended consequences on European sites as a result of this objective.	Screened In
	PO7. Develop assessment criterion to identify locations for future Recreational Areas.	Desktop review & research Development of desktop based tools	Creating assessment criteria does not in itself lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs.	Screened Out
	PO8. Develop a community model to enable public and local authority involvement in the development of Recreation Areas.	Desktop review & research Policy, plan, standards and guideline development Development of desktop based tools	Developing a community model to enable public and local authority involvement is a desk based activity and does not lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs	Screened Out
	PO9. Develop methods to measure and quantify the social and wellbeing benefits of outdoor recreation..	Desktop review & research Development of desktop based tools	Developing methods to measure and quantify social and wellbeing benefits does not in itself lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs	Screened Out
Ambition 11: Create 1,200 new jobs in rural communities to support the just transition to a low carbon economy.	PO10. Support businesses of different types and scales to develop and grow markets for value-added wood products, forest tourism and recreation opportunities.	Support provision	The provision of support does not lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs.	Screened Out
	PO11. Support the operational contractor base to grow and diversify, so that it has the capacity to deliver Coillte's afforestation, peatland redesign and nature ambitions	Support provision	The provision of support does not lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs.	Screened Out

Response to Ambition	Objective	The 'Source' category for which the objective sits within per Section 5.3	Discussion of the potential for likely significant effects	Screening Outcome
	PO12. Enhance operational guidance for staff and contractors regarding biodiversity management and peatland redesign.	Biodiversity management Other management Internal staffing development	Enhancing operational guidance for staff and contractors has the potential to result in likely significant effects on European sites where the guidance is not designed appropriately. The potential for unintended consequences upon European sites may occur as a result.	Screened In
	PO13. Support the provision of education and training to attract new entrants to the sector and enhance skills in new and emerging areas	Support provision	The provision of support does not lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs.	Screened Out
	PO14. Support the enhancement of the curriculum in tertiary education and the development of apprentices to attract new forestry and related professionals to the sector.	Support provision	Supporting curriculum enhancement does not lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs.	Screened Out
	PO15. Support and continue to engage with government and other stakeholders towards the inclusion of forestry skills on the Critical Skills List.	Support Provision Engagement and collaboration	The act of support does not in itself lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs.	Screened Out
Additional People Objectives: Human wellbeing & health	PO16. Maintain occupational health and safety across the Coillte estate.	Commercial forestry business management	Maintaining occupational health and safety does not in itself lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs.	Screened Out
	PO17. Expand the Woodlands for Health programme.	Desktop review & research Engagement and collaboration Support provision	The expansion of the Woodlands for Health programme does not in itself lie within the scope of management or conservation of European sites. It is not anticipated that this objective shall pose any potential for likely significant effects on the European site network or its respective QIs/SCIs.	Screened Out

7.2 Assessment of In-Combination Effects with Other Plans or Projects

The following approach has been adopted;

- Identify plans/projects that might act in combinations;
- Identify types of impacts that might occur;
- Define the boundaries of the assessment;
- Identify pathways for impacts; and
- Impact prediction and assessment.

Table 5 outlines the policies, plans, and projects which have been reviewed and assessed for potential in-combination effects.

Table 5 In Combination Assessment with other Policies, Plans and Projects

Plan/Project/Policy	Key Types of Impacts	Potential for In-Combination Effects
European Union Level		
<p>8th Environmental Action Programme (EAP) to 2030</p> <p>Guides European environmental policy until 2030, supporting the climate action objectives of the European Green Deal. It's overarching aim is to 'ensure wellbeing for all, while staying within the planetary boundaries (Commission, 2022).'</p>	<p>Improved habitat and species protection; Improvements to environmental quality; and Climate resilience To support the Green Deal to 2050 the EAP has six priority objectives including: restoring biodiversity and enhancing natural capital/ ecosystems; achieving greenhouse gas reduction targets and for the EU to be climate neutral by 2050; enhancing adaptiveness and increasing resiliency to the effects of climate change; decoupling economic growth from resource use and therefore degradation of the environment; aiming for a zero-pollution environment; and reducing pressures on the environment and the climate from consumption/production. The Draft Ireland's Forest Strategy Implementation Plan (IFSIP) is broadly aligned with the actions identified in the EAP and green deal. It is anticipated that there is potential for positive in-combination effects with the Draft IFSIP</p>	<p>Improved habitat and species protection; Improvements to environmental quality; and Climate resilience To support the Green Deal to 2050 the EAP has six priority objectives including: restoring biodiversity and enhancing natural capital/ ecosystems; achieving greenhouse gas reduction targets and for the EU to be climate neutral by 2050; enhancing adaptiveness and increasing resiliency to the effects of climate change; decoupling economic growth from resource use and therefore degradation of the environment; aiming for a zero-pollution environment; and reducing pressures on the environment and the climate from consumption/production. The FESLUP is broadly aligned with the actions identified in the EAP and green deal. It is anticipated that there is potential for positive in-combination effects with the FESLUP.</p>
<p>EU Green Deal</p> <p>The deal will transform the EU into a modern, resource-efficient and competitive economy, aiming for no net emissions of greenhouse gases by 2050 and to decouple economic growth from resource use. Improved habitat and species protection; Improvements to environmental quality; and Climate resilience (Commission, 2019).</p>	<p>EU Green Deal The deal will transform the EU into a modern, resource-efficient and competitive economy, aiming for no net emissions of greenhouse gases by 2050 and to decouple economic growth from resource use. Improved habitat and species protection; Improvements to environmental quality; and Climate resilience</p>	<p>A number of actions have been assigned through the EU Green Deal, relevant to the FESLUP are the EU Biodiversity Strategy to 2030, development of a Zero Pollution Action Plan, and the EU Forest Strategy for 2030. The FESLUP is broadly aligned with the actions identified in the green deal and would be expected to give rise to positive in combination effects. It is anticipated that there is potential for positive in-combination effects.</p>

Plan/Project/Policy	Key Types of Impacts	Potential for In-Combination Effects
<p>EU Forest Strategy for 2030</p> <p>The strategy builds on the EU Biodiversity Strategy to 2030 and will contribute to achieving the EU's greenhouse gas emission reduction target of at least 55% by 2030 and climate neutrality by 2050 (Commission, EU Forest Strategy for 2030, 2021).</p>	<p>Improved habitat protection, restoration, and improvement; Disturbance to habitats/species; Climate resilience; and Growth to sustainable bioeconomy.</p>	<p>The EU Forest Strategy has two main themes to it. The first is to protect the EU's last remaining primary and old growth forests, while promoting re/afforestation and sustainable forest management. The second aim of the strategy is to promote sustainable bioeconomy including sustainable use of wood-based resources for bioenergy and ecotourism. The FESLUP is broadly aligned with the actions outlined in the strategy and would be expected to give rise to positive in combination effects. It is anticipated that there is potential for positive in-combination effects with the FESLUP.</p>
<p>Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry (LULUCF) Regulation (EU 2018/841) The Regulation includes greenhouse gas emissions and removals from LULUCF into the 2030 climate and regulation framework (Union, 2018).</p>	<p>Habitat loss or destruction; Habitat fragmentation or degradation; Alterations to ecosystem services; Disturbance to habitats/species; and Climate change mitigation</p>	<p>The FESLUP is aligned with the LULUCF and sits within its framework and so there is no potential for in-combination effects. It is anticipated that there is potential for positive in-combination effects with the FESLUP.</p>
<p>Farm to Fork Strategy</p> <p>This strategy is at the core of the European Green Deal with an aim to make food systems fair, healthy, and environmentally friendly (Commission, Farm to Fork Strategy, 2020).</p>	<p>Improved habitat and species protection; Improvements to environmental quality; and Climate resilience</p>	<p>The strategy is to ensure that the food chain, covering food production, transport, distribution, marketing, and consumption, has a neutral or positive environmental impact, preserving and restoring the land, freshwater and sea-based resources on which the food system depends; helping to mitigate climate change and adapting to its impacts; protecting land, soil, water, air, plant and animal health and welfare; and reversing the loss of biodiversity. It is anticipated that there is potential for positive in-combination effects with the FESLUP.</p>
<p>Zero Pollution Action Plan</p> <p>The action plan requires among other commitments, that by 2030, the EU should reduce; by 25% the EU ecosystems where air pollution threatens biodiversity; by 50% nutrient losses, the use and risk of chemical pesticides, the use of the more hazardous ones, and the sale of antimicrobials for farmed animals and in aquaculture; by 50% plastic litter at sea and by 30% microplastics released into the environment (Commission, Zero Pollution Action Plan, 2021)</p>	<p>Improved habitat and species protection; Improvements to environmental quality; and Climate resilience</p>	<p>The primary purpose of the plan is to improve ecosystem health by reducing pollution, in the aim of improving the ability of ecosystems to provide services such as carbon sequestration and decontamination. The FESLUP is agreeable with the Zero Pollution Action Plan.</p> <p>The potential for likely significant in-combination effects is not anticipated.</p>

Plan/Project/Policy	Key Types of Impacts	Potential for In-Combination Effects
<p>Common Agricultural Policy (CAP)</p> <p>A key agricultural policy with the main objectives of ensuring a decent standard of living for farmers and the provision of stable and safe food supply at affordable prices for consumers. The CAP through various iterations is the principal policy that drives agricultural management throughout the European Union. It recognises the economic and rural importance of agriculture through a system of subsidies and support programmes (Commission, 2023).</p>	<p>Improved habitat and species protection;</p> <p>Improvements to environmental quality;</p> <p>Climate resilience;</p> <p>Habitat loss or destruction;</p> <p>Habitat fragmentation or degradation;</p> <p>Disturbance to habitats/species;</p> <p>Alterations to water quality and/or water movement; and Introduction or spread of invasive species.</p>	<p>Agriculture and forestry often compete for the same resources, and agriculture poses a significant pressure on habitats and species. The current CAP incorporates sustainable ambitions, in line with the EU Green Deal. Spatial planning and land use change under the FESLUP has the potential to cause in-combination effects. It is anticipated that there is potential for negative likely significant in-combination effects.</p>
<p>European Union Biodiversity Strategy to 2030</p> <p>Aims to halt or reverse biodiversity loss and speed up the EU’s transition towards a resource efficient and green economy as per the Convention on Biological Diversity (Commission, Biodiversity strategy for 2030, 2020).</p>	<p>Improved habitat and species protection;</p> <p>Improvements to environmental quality;</p> <p>Climate resilience; and</p> <p>Reduced disturbance to habitats/species.</p>	<p>The primary purpose of the strategy is to halt the loss of habitat and species. The most relevant target to the FESLUP is to increase the contribution of forestry to biodiversity, integrating biodiversity needs into forest management plans. This aligns with some of the aims of the FESLUP and so there is potential for positive in-combination effect. It is anticipated that there is potential for positive in-combination effects with the FESLUP.</p>
<p>Environmental Liabilities Directive (LED)</p> <p>This directive puts into practice the ‘polluter pays’ principle. Its aim is to encourage operators to take greater care to prevent damage in the first place, by following a precautionary approach (Commission, Environmental Liability, 2007).</p>	<p>Improved habitat and species protection.</p>	<p>The Directive defines “environmental damage” as damage to protected species and natural habitats, damage to water and damage to soil. No risk of likely significant in-combination effects will result as the primary purpose of the Directive is to improve environmental quality and protect habitats and species. The potential for likely significant in-combination effects is not anticipated.</p>
<p>Energy 2020 – A strategy for competitive, sustainable, and secure energy</p> <p>Sets out three key requirements of energy supply; Security, competitiveness, and sustainability. It also sets out targets to increase the share of renewable energy to at least 20% of EU’s consumption and to improve energy efficiency by at least 20% (Commission, Energy 2020, A Strategy for Competitive, Sustainable and Secure Energy, 2020).</p>	<p>Habitat loss or destruction;</p> <p>Habitat fragmentation or degradation;</p> <p>Alterations to ecosystem services;</p> <p>Disturbance to habitats/species; and</p> <p>Climate change mitigation</p>	<p>The FESLUP has an aim to increase sustainable production of forest-based biomass to meet renewable energy targets. This target aligns with the Energy 2020 strategy however, there is acknowledgement that this has the potential to increase pressures on land use change and so the Energy 2020 strategy encourages second generation biofuels. There is potential for in-combination adverse effects through indirect land use change. It is anticipated that there is potential for negative likely significant in-combination effects.</p>

Plan/Project/Policy	Key Types of Impacts	Potential for In-Combination Effects
<p>The Renewable Energy Directive (2009/28/EC)</p> <p>Policy for the production and promotion of energy from renewable sources in the EU to implement 2020 strategy. The national 2020 target for Ireland is to source 16% from renewable resources (i.e., 40% electricity, 12% heat and 10% transport) (Commission, Directive 2009/28/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on the Promotion of the use of Energy from Renewable Sources and Amending and Subsequently Repealing Directives 2001/77/EC and 2003/30/EC, 2009).</p>	<p>Habitat loss or destruction;</p> <p>Habitat fragmentation or degradation;</p> <p>Alterations to ecosystem services;</p> <p>Disturbance to habitats/species; and</p> <p>Climate change mitigation.</p>	<p>The FESLUP shares the common goal for increasing sustainable sources of renewable energy. There is potential for in-combination adverse effects through indirect land use change.</p> <p>It is anticipated that there is potential for negative likely significant in-combination effects.</p>
<p>The EU Policy Framework for Climate and Energy in the period from 2020 to 2030</p> <p>Sets targets for the period 2020 to 2030:</p> <p>Target of 27% renewable energy in the EU;</p> <p>Increase energy efficiency by 27% by 2020; and</p> <p>A 40% cut in greenhouse gas emissions compared to 1990 levels (Commission, The EU Policy Framework for Climate and Energy in the Period from 2020-2030, 2020).</p>	<p>Habitat loss or destruction;</p> <p>Habitat fragmentation or degradation;</p> <p>Alterations to ecosystem services;</p> <p>Disturbance to habitats/species; and</p> <p>Climate change mitigation.</p>	<p>The FESLUP shares the common goal for increasing sustainable sources of renewable energy. There is potential for in-combination adverse effects through indirect land use change. It is anticipated that there is potential for negative likely significant in-combination effects.</p>
<p>Energy Road Map 2050</p> <p>This roadmap does not set specific energy targets at this point but does aim to achieve an 80% to 95% reduction in greenhouse gases compared to 1990 levels by 2050 (Commission, Energy Roadmap 2050, 2010).</p>	<p>Habitat loss or destruction;</p> <p>Habitat fragmentation or degradation;</p> <p>Alterations to ecosystem services;</p> <p>Disturbance to habitats/species; and</p> <p>Climate change mitigation.</p>	<p>The key aim of the Roadmap is a guide to a low carbon Europe. This plan is complimentary to elements of the FESLUP and so it is unlikely that adverse in-combination effects will be had. The potential for likely significant in-combination effects is not anticipated.</p>
Irish National Level		
<p>National Development Plan (NDP) 2021-2030</p> <p>The National Development Plan sets out the investment priorities that will underpin the implementation of the National Planning Framework (NPF). This will guide national, regional, and local planning and investment decisions in Ireland over the next two decades, to cater for an expected population increase of over 1 million people (Reform, 2022).</p>	<p>Habitat loss or destruction;</p> <p>Habitat fragmentation or degradation;</p> <p>Disturbance to habitats/species;</p> <p>Alterations to water quality and/or water movement; and</p> <p>Introduction or spread of invasive species.</p>	<p>The NDP is a high level budgetary and finance document which identifies priorities for capital investment. Given the nature of the capital investment the majority of the projects referenced and funded under the NDP have been or will be subject to EIA/AA. The NDP does not confer planning, it identifies strategic need. No potential for in-combination effects.</p> <p>The potential for likely significant in-combination effects is not anticipated.</p>

Plan/Project/Policy	Key Types of Impacts	Potential for In-Combination Effects
<p>National Planning Framework (Ireland 2040 Our Plan)</p> <p>The National Planning Framework is a long-term strategy focussing on ensuring compatibility between future growth of cities/ towns within Ireland alongside environmental sustainability. The framework also includes the National Development Plan 2021-2030 (Department of Housing P. a., 2018).</p>	<p>Habitat loss or destruction;</p> <p>Habitat fragmentation or degradation;</p> <p>Disturbance to habitats/species;</p> <p>Alterations to water quality and/or water movement; and</p> <p>Introduction or spread of invasive species.</p>	<p>National Policy Objective 52 through 65 ensure the resilience of our natural resources and cultural assets. Specifically Objective 59 to “Enhance the conservation status and improve the management of protected areas and protected species...”</p> <p>The plan has been subject to AA and includes clear policy on avoidance of impacts to European sites.</p> <p>The potential for likely significant in-combination effects is not anticipated.</p>
<p>Regional Spatial and Economic Strategies (RSES)</p> <p>The RSES sets out the strategic regional development framework for the Region, with a primary aim to implement Project Ireland 2040 - the National Planning Framework, at the regional tier of Government and to support the achievement of balanced regional development.</p>	<p>Habitat loss or destruction;</p> <p>Habitat fragmentation or degradation;</p> <p>Disturbance to habitats/species;</p> <p>Alterations to water quality and/or water movement; and</p> <p>Introduction or spread of invasive species.</p>	<p>The RSES includes clear policy and supporting actions to avoid and minimise impacts on European sites. This includes commitments to only implement the policy base within the carrying capacity of the receiving environment as greater detail is known through the planning hierarchy.</p> <p>The RSES has been subject to AA and includes clear policy on avoidance of impacts to European sites.</p> <p>The potential for likely significant in-combination effects is not anticipated.</p>
<p>Climate Action Plan 2023</p> <p>Climate Action Plan 2023 is the second annual update to Ireland’s Climate Action Plan 2019. This plan is the first to be prepared under the Climate Action and Low Carbon Development (Amendment) Act 2021, and following the introduction, in 2022, of economy-wide carbon budgets and sectoral emissions ceilings (DECC, 2022).</p>	<p>Habitat loss or destruction;</p> <p>Habitat fragmentation or degradation;</p> <p>Disturbance to habitats/species;</p> <p>Alterations to water quality and/or water movement;</p> <p>Introduction or spread of invasive species;</p> <p>Alterations to air quality; and Climate resilience.</p>	<p>The plan focusses on energy, transport, waste, agriculture and buildings. The plan includes new governance structures necessary to implement changes and sets out specific targets for each sector. The main direction of the plan is positive and there is potential for positive in combination effects as it supports long term resilience to climate change.</p> <p>Potential for in-combination effects as it sets out actions which are similar to the FESLUP through targets for sectors such as land use planning and agriculture, and renewable energy. Many of the policies are aligned with sectoral plans which include mitigation to avoid adverse effects.</p> <p>It is anticipated that there is potential for positive in-combination effects with the FESLUP.</p>
<p>National Adaptation Framework (NAF)</p> <p>The NAF sets out the national strategy to reduce the vulnerability of the country to the negative effects of climate change and to avail of positive impacts. It builds on the previous National Climate Change Adaption Framework 2012 (Department of the Environment C. a., National Adaption Framework (NAF), 2018).</p>	<p>Habitat loss or destruction;</p> <p>Habitat fragmentation or degradation;</p> <p>Disturbance to habitats/species;</p> <p>Alterations to water quality and/or water movement;</p> <p>Introduction or spread of invasive species;</p> <p>Alterations to air quality; and Climate resilience.</p>	<p>The NAF is a high-level framework and does not identify specific locations, adaption measures or projects. It is likely that adaption approaches and details will be implemented via lower level adaption plans and strategies that have been or will be subject to EIA/AA. The NAF does not confer planning, it identifies strategic need. No potential for in-combination effects.</p> <p>The potential for likely significant in-combination effects is not anticipated.</p>

Plan/Project/Policy	Key Types of Impacts	Potential for In-Combination Effects
<p>Draft Biodiversity Action Plan 2023-2027</p> <p>Ireland's fourth iteration of the Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP), for conserving and restoring Ireland's biodiversity covering the period 2017 to 2021.</p> <p>The aims are to achieve Ireland's Vision for Biodiversity through addressing issues ranging from improving the management of protected areas to increasing awareness and appreciation of biodiversity and ecosystem services (DHLGH, 2022).</p>	<p>Improved habitat and species protection.</p> <p>Reduced disturbance to habitats/species;</p> <p>Improvements to water quality and/or water movement; and</p> <p>Control of spread of invasive species.</p>	<p>The BAP is aimed at environmental protection and improvement to ecosystem services. As such, there is potential for positive in-combination effects with the FESLUP.</p> <p>It is anticipated that there is potential for positive in-combination effects with the FESLUP.</p>
<p>Prioritised Action Framework for Natura 2000 (2021-2027)</p> <p>This plan identifies the range of actions needed to help improve the status of Ireland's habitats and wildlife within the European site network (National Parks and Wildlife Service, 2021).</p>	<p>Improved habitat and species protection.</p> <p>Reduced disturbance to habitats/species;</p> <p>Improvements to water quality and/or water movement; and</p> <p>Control of spread of invasive species</p>	<p>No risk of likely significant in-combination effects as this plan is entirely positive in its actions with the core aim to protect and improve European sites.</p> <p>The potential for likely significant in-combination effects is not anticipated.</p>
<p>National Energy and Climate Plan (NECP) 2021-2030</p> <p>This Plan builds on previous national strategies and sets out in detail the objectives regarding the five energy dimensions together with planned policies and measures to ensure that objectives are achieved. In June 2019, the government agreed to support the adoption of a net zero target by 2050 at EU level, and to pursue a trajectory of emissions reduction nationally which is in line with reaching net zero in Ireland by 2050 (Department of the Environment C. a., Ireland's National Energy and Climate Plan 2021-2030, 2018).</p>	<p>Habitat loss or destruction;</p> <p>Habitat fragmentation or degradation;</p> <p>Disturbance to habitats/species;</p> <p>Alterations to water quality and/or water movement;</p> <p>Introduction or spread of invasive species;</p> <p>Alterations to air quality; and</p> <p>Climate resilience.</p>	<p>The NECP was prepared to incorporate all planned policies and measures that were identified up the end of 2019, and which collectively deliver a 30% reduction by 2030 in non-emissions trading system (ETS) greenhouse gas emissions.</p> <p>As this Plan collates existing policies and measures, it was not subject to an AA since the individual policies and measures will have been if appropriate.</p> <p>The potential for likely significant in-combination effects is not anticipated.</p>
<p>Food Wise 2025</p> <p>Food Wise 2025 strategy identifies significant growth opportunities across all subsectors of the Irish agri-food industry. Growth projection includes increasing the value added in the agrifood, fisheries and wood products sector by 70% to in excess of €13 billion (Department of Agriculture F. a., Food Wise 2025, 2021).</p>	<p>Habitat loss or destruction;</p> <p>Habitat fragmentation or degradation;</p> <p>Species mortality;</p> <p>Alterations to water quality and/or water movement;</p> <p>Soil degradation; and</p> <p>Disturbance to habitats / species</p>	<p>The Strategy was subject to an AA and SEA, where the strategic nature of the Strategy was acknowledged and that its subsequent implementation will be assessed at other stages where spatial and temporal detail will be set out.</p> <p>There is potential for in-combination impacts as intensification of the forestry sector is promoted under both Food Wise 2025 and the FESLUP.</p> <p>It is anticipated that there is potential for negative likely significant in-combination effects.</p>

Plan/Project/Policy	Key Types of Impacts	Potential for In-Combination Effects
<p>Food Vision 2030 - A World Leader in Sustainable Food Systems</p> <p>This ten-year strategy is the successor to the current Food Wise 2025 plan and aims to make Ireland a world leader in Sustainable Food Systems over the next decade. This strategy aims to increase the value of Irish agri-food exports from €14.2 billion in 2020 up to €21 billion by 2030 (Department of Agriculture F. a., Food Vision 2030 - A World Leader in Sustainable Food Systems, 2021).</p>	<p>Indirect effects (land-use changes and intensification)</p> <p>Habitat loss or destruction;</p> <p>Habitat fragmentation or degradation;</p> <p>Species mortality;</p> <p>Soil degradation; and</p> <p>Habitat loss or destruction/</p>	<p>The strategy aims to achieve this intensification through sustainable steady value growth in a climate smart, environmentally sustainable agri-food sector. The AA concluded that the adoption of Food Vision 2030 would not have significant adverse effects on the integrity of any European sites. However, indirect impacts on European sites through land use change and intensification of land use from both the FESLUP cannot be ruled out. It is anticipated that there is potential for negative likely significant in-combination effects.</p>
<p>Our Rural Future: Rural Development Policy 2021-2025</p> <p>The vision of this policy is for a thriving rural Ireland which is integral to our national economic, social, cultural, and environmental wellbeing and development (Development, Our Rural Future: Rural Development Policy 2021-2025, 2021).</p>	<p>Habitat loss or destruction;</p> <p>Habitat fragmentation or degradation;</p> <p>Disturbance to habitats/species;</p> <p>Species mortality;</p> <p>Alterations to water quality and/or water movement;</p> <p>Alterations to air quality; and</p> <p>Introduction or spread of invasive species.</p>	<p>The Policy is a high-level framework and does not identify specific locations, adaption measures or projects. It is likely that the measures will, in due course, be subject to Screening for AA based on detailed operational plans and programmes based on accurate geographic information which is not included within the Policy. The Policy does not confer planning, it identifies strategic need. The potential for likely significant in combination effects is not anticipated</p>
<p>Action Plan for Rural Development (2019)</p> <p>Action Plan for Rural Development sets out the Government's approach for rural places in Ireland to grow and adapt through supportive measures which encourage innovation and build on the existing strengths of rural communities in Ireland (Development, Action Plan for Rural Development, 2019).</p>	<p>Habitat loss or destruction;</p> <p>Habitat fragmentation or degradation;</p> <p>Disturbance to habitats/species; Species mortality;</p> <p>Alterations to water quality and/or water movement;</p> <p>Alterations to air quality; and</p> <p>Introduction or spread of invasive species.</p>	<p>The Action Plan for Rural Development includes over 230 actions focussed on developing the rural economy. As forestry is predominately undertaken in rural areas and promotes rural employment there are direct synergies between the Action Plan and the FESLUP.</p> <p>It is anticipated that there is potential for negative likely significant in combination effects</p>
<p>Nitrates Directive (91/676/EEC) and the Nitrates Action Programme (NAP)</p> <p>This Directive has the objective of reducing water pollution caused or induced by nitrates from agricultural sources and preventing further pollution. The NAP is Ireland's response to implementing the directive (Department of Agriculture E. a., 2019).</p>	<p>Habitat degradation;</p> <p>Disturbance to habitats/species;</p> <p>Alterations to water quality and/or water movement;</p> <p>Reduction of nutrient enrichment and pollution; and</p> <p>Alteration to air quality.</p>	<p>Ireland's fifth NAP is currently in draft and undergoing consultation.</p> <p>No risk of likely significant in combination effects from the Directive is anticipated as the primary purpose of it is to improve environmental quality. Furthermore, it is noted that the latest update to the NAP is undergoing AA and an NIS is in preparation. This will ensure appropriate mitigation is included to prevent significant in-combination effects from occurring. The potential for likely significant in combination effects is not anticipated</p>

Plan/Project/Policy	Key Types of Impacts	Potential for In-Combination Effects
<p>National Peatlands Strategy</p> <p>The National Peatlands Strategy 2015-2025 was developed to provide a long term strategy for the management of peatlands for their social, environmental, and economic well-being (Service, 2015).</p>	<p>Habitat loss or destruction;</p> <p>Habitat fragmentation or degradation;</p> <p>Disturbance to habitats/species;</p> <p>Alterations to water quality and/or water movement; and</p> <p>Alterations to air quality.</p>	<p>The National Peatland Strategy sets out a cross-governmental approach to managing issues that relate to peatlands including compliance with relevant national and international environmental legislation, agreements, plans and policies; climate change; forestry; water quality; flood control; energy; nature conservation and restoration; land use planning; and agriculture. Given the actions set out in the strategy, no in combination effects are predicted.</p> <p>The potential for likely significant in combination effects is not anticipated</p>
<p>National Raised-Bog Management Plan</p> <p>The current NPWS programme for the restoration of raised bogs throughout Ireland, as detailed within the National Raised Bog Management Plan, will deliver ecological benefits for both the raised bog habitats and watercourses downstream of these bogs by implementing a program of restoration and condition improvement (Department of Culture, 2017).</p>	<p>Improved habitat and species protection;</p> <p>Improvements to environmental quality;</p> <p>Climate resilience; and</p> <p>Reduced disturbance to habitats/species</p>	<p>No risk of likely significant in combination effects will result as the primary purpose of the plan is to restore raised bog sites including those that form part of the European site network. The potential for likely significant in-combination effects is not anticipated</p>
<p>All Ireland Pollinator Plan (AIPP) 2021-2025</p> <p>The All-Ireland Pollinator Plan for 2021-2025 is a new five-year road map that aims to help bees, other pollinating insects, and our wider biodiversity (Centre, 2015).</p>	<p>Improvements to environmental quality; and</p> <p>Increased biodiversity.</p>	<p>The AIPP 2021-2025 has 186 actions spread across six objectives that are centred around making public and private lands more pollinator friendly. There is potential for positive in combination effects with the FESLUP.</p>
<p>Catchment based Flood Risk Assessment and Management (CFRAM) Programme, under the EU Floods Directive</p> <p>The Office of Public Works (OPW) is responsible for the implementation of the Floods Directive 2007/60/EC which is being carried out through a Catchment based Flood Risk Assessment and Management (CFRAM) Programme. As part of the directive Ireland is required to undertake a Preliminary Flood Risk Assessment, to identify areas of existing or potentially significant future flood risk and to prepare flood hazard and risk maps for these areas. Following this, Flood Risk Management Plans (FRMPs) are developed for these areas setting objectives for managing the flood risk and setting out a prioritised set of measures to achieve the objectives. The CFRAM programme is currently being rolled out and Flood Risk Management Plans have been prepared. These plans have been subject AA (Works, 2021).</p>	<p>Habitat loss or destruction;</p> <p>Habitat fragmentation or degradation;</p> <p>Alterations to water quality and/or water movement;</p> <p>Disturbance; and</p> <p>In-combination impacts within the same scheme</p>	<p>CFRAM Studies and their product Flood Risk Management Plans, have undergone AA. Any future flood plans will have to take into account the design and implementation of water management infrastructure as it has the potential to impact on hydro morphology and potentially on the ecological status and favourable conservation status of water bodies. The AA of the CFRAMs considered the potential for impacts from hard engineering solutions and how these might affect hydrological connectivity and hydro morphological supporting conditions for protected habitats and species.</p> <p>The potential for likely significant in combination effects is not anticipated.</p>

Plan/Project/Policy	Key Types of Impacts	Potential for In-Combination Effects
<p>River Basin Management Plans under the Water Framework Directive (2000/60/EC)</p> <p>The primary purpose of this Directive and the various pieces of national legislation that have enacted through the implementation of River Basin Management Plans, is to achieve good status for all water bodies, with no deterioration in water body status. The RBMP sets out the PoM to achieve the objectives of the WFD (Commission, Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council, 2000).</p>	<p>Improved Water Quality;</p> <p>Improved habitats; and</p> <p>Increased resilience in habitats and species.</p>	<p>The primary purpose of the Directive is to improve ecological status and includes achievement of objectives of the Habitats and Birds Directives. The second cycle River Basin Management Plan 2018-2021 was published together with a NIS including mitigation to offset negative effects. The same process would be required for the 3rd RBMP 2022- 2027 which is currently in review stages.</p> <p>The potential for likely significant in combination effects is not anticipated.</p>
<p>National Implementation Plan for the Sustainable Development Goals (2022-2024)</p> <p>The Plan sets out five strategic objectives and 51 actions, with 119 individual measures to increase Ireland’s ambition and strengthen implementation structures to achieve the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).</p> <p>It also incorporates 23 external actions from four other National Plans or Strategies which contribute to and are complementary to the objectives of this Plan and which have been included for coherence and reporting purposes (Department of the Environment C. a., National Implementation Plan for Sustainable Development Goals 2022-2024, 2022).</p>	<p>Habitat loss or destruction;</p> <p>Habitat fragmentation or degradation;</p> <p>Disturbance to habitats/species;</p> <p>Improved ecosystem services;</p> <p>Improvements to environmental quality and protection; and</p> <p>Climate resilience.</p>	<p>The core aims of the Plan are positive, however there is the potential for indirect impacts via land use change and this has the potential for in-combination effects with the FESLUP.</p> <p>It is anticipated that there is potential for negative likely significant in combination effects.</p>
<p>Project Ireland 2040</p> <p>The National Planning Framework is a long-term strategy for the next 20 years and it will focus on ensuring compatibility between future growth of cities/ towns within Ireland alongside environmental sustainability. It is intended that the National Planning Framework will both provide the focus to guide and inform future planning and set the framework for integrated investment decisions (Department of Housing L. G., Project Ireland 2040, 2019).</p>	<p>Habitat loss or destruction;</p> <p>Habitat fragmentation or degradation;</p> <p>Disturbance to habitats/species; Species mortality;</p> <p>Alterations to water quality and/or water movement;</p> <p>Alterations to air quality; and</p> <p>Introduction or spread of invasive species.</p>	<p>It is a policy of the National Planning Framework to ensure the resilience of our natural resources and cultural assets. Linkage to wider policies such as for European sites under the Birds and Habitats Directives and the Water Framework Directive is recognised and the need to set high level planning policies in protecting and making responsible use of our natural environment. The plan has been subject to AA and includes clear policy on avoidance of impacts to European sites.</p> <p>The potential for likely significant in combination effects is not anticipated.</p>

Plan/Project/Policy	Key Types of Impacts	Potential for In-Combination Effects
<p>National Landscape Strategy (NLS) 2015-2025, under the Council of Europe's European Landscape Convention (ELC)</p> <p>The NLS is used to ensure compliance with the ELC and to establish principles for protecting and enhancing it while positively managing its change (Department of Housing L. G., National Landscape Strategy, 2020).</p>	<p>Improved protection of landscape character.</p>	<p>The Strategy provides high level policy framework to achieve balance between the protection, management, and planning of the landscape by way of supporting actions.</p> <p>It is likely that the plans, policies, and programmes designed to implement the Strategy will, in due course, be subject to Screening for AA based on detailed operational plans and programmes based on accurate geographic information which is not included within the Statement.</p> <p>The Policy does not confer planning, it identifies strategic need.</p> <p>The potential for likely significant in combination effects is not anticipated</p>
<p>Culture 2025</p> <p>Culture 2025 is a Framework Policy to 2025 which sets the vision for the future of culture and the arts in Ireland and prioritises actions. It recognises the diverse and multi-faceted nature of culture in Ireland and the contribution of 'culture' to sense of self, national identity, and the arts (Department of Tourism, 2019).</p>	<p>Habitat loss or destruction;</p> <p>Disturbance of species; and</p> <p>Introduction or spread of invasive species.</p>	<p>There is potential for in-combination impacts due to increased recreational use of protected sites, and indirect impacts due to land use change. However, at a project level EIA/AA will be required and any mitigation necessary will be included.</p> <p>The potential for likely significant in combination effects is not anticipated</p>
<p>National Renewable Energy Action Plan</p> <p>The NREAP is produced as a requirement of the Renewable Energy Directive and sets out Ireland's "national targets for the share of energy from renewable sources consumed in transport, electricity and heating and cooling in 2020" (Department of Communications, 2009).</p>	<p>Habitat loss or destruction;</p> <p>Habitat fragmentation or degradation;</p> <p>Disturbance to habitats/species; Species mortality;</p> <p>Alterations to water quality and/or water movement;</p> <p>Alterations to air quality; and</p> <p>Introduction or spread of invasive species.</p>	<p>This plan was not subject to AA, but some actions arising out of it have since been subject to AA owing to judicial review.</p> <p>The plan is positive in that its aims are to accelerate the uptake on renewable energy, thereby reducing the dependence on fossil fuels.</p> <p>The FESLUP will contribute to reaching the targets set out within the NREAP and as such the plans are complementary. There is potential for indirect impacts from land use change.</p> <p>It is anticipated that there is potential for negative likely significant in combination effects.</p>
<p>Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine – Ireland's Forest Strategy (IFS) 2022-2023</p> <p>Ireland's Forest Strategy is linked to the Shared National Vision for the Future of forests within Ireland. It sets forward the objectives, values and goals for the forest estate within Ireland until 2030.</p>	<p>Habitat loss or destruction;</p> <p>Habitat fragmentation or degradation;</p> <p>Disturbance to habitats/species;</p> <p>Species mortality;</p> <p>Alterations to water quality and/or water movement;</p> <p>Alterations to air quality; and</p> <p>Introduction or spread of invasive species.</p>	<p>Ireland's Forest Strategy was subject to an AA and SEA, where the strategic nature of the Strategy was acknowledged and that its subsequent implementation will be assessed at other stages where spatial and temporal detail will be set out</p> <p>Considering the high level nature of both the IFS and the FESLUP, and the lack of geographic specificity in both, the potential of negative likely significant in-combination effects is not anticipated.</p>

Plan/Project/Policy	Key Types of Impacts	Potential for In-Combination Effects
<p>Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine – Ireland’s Forest Strategy Implementation Plan (IFSIP)</p> <p>The IFSIP is the implementation of Ireland’s Forest Strategy and is directed through a series of Interventions, requirements and measures to reflect the objectives, values and goals of Ireland’s Forest Strategy.</p>	<p>Habitat loss or destruction;</p> <p>Habitat fragmentation or degradation;</p> <p>Disturbance to habitats/species;</p> <p>Species mortality;</p> <p>Alterations to water quality and/or water movement;</p> <p>Alterations to air quality; and</p> <p>Introduction or spread of invasive species.</p>	<p>The Department’s Forest Strategy Implementation Plan was subject to AA and SEA.</p> <p>There is potential for in-combination impacts as intensification of the forestry sector is promoted under both the IFSIP and the FESLUP.</p> <p>It is anticipated that there is potential for negative likely significant in-combination effects.</p>

7.3 AA Screening Conclusion

The Screening process for the potential for likely significant has been carried out and undertaken on a Plan that lacks precise detail concerning timelines or locations that may be implementable within a geographic region. As such and given the range of potential objectives that could be implemented in future plans and projects, the AA Screening has been undertaken in a strategic manner and in cognisance of the Precautionary Principle. It was concluded that the potential for likely significant effects could not be ruled out given the uncertainty as to how, where and when certain draft objectives could be implemented.

On completion of the AA Screening, it has been concluded that the potential for likely significant effects on relevant QIs and SCIs, and by extension the European site network, could not be ruled out. As a result, those objectives that have the potential for likely significant effects proceed to the next stage of the AA in Section 8.

8. Appropriate Assessment of the FESLUP

8.1 Overview

This assessment considers the impacts that the objectives within the FESLUP will have on the integrity of the relevant QIs and SCIs as determined within Section 4.5 of this report. The potential effects have been assessed in the absence of any mitigation measures, and in consideration of the precautionary principle. It is noted that the FESLUP is a strategic document with high level objectives that lack intimate detail of the actions and measures that may be implemented in the future in addition to a lack of geographic specificity for their implementation. As this detail is developed in future plans by Coillte, further opportunity for assessment will be required to inform decision making at a granularity which cannot be undertaken at the national scale.

8.2 Appropriate Assessment: Purposes and Process

The outcome of the AA Screening for the FESLUP has determined that the proposed objectives could potentially have impacts on European sites. The potential for likely significant effects was considered through use of the Source-Pathway-Receptor method upon relevant QIs and SCIs. Likely significant effects could not be ruled out for a number of objectives of the FESLUP and therefore these are required to subject to Appropriate Assessment.

The AA involves analysing the potential for adverse interactions between the proposed objectives of the FESLUP through the Source-Pathway-Receptor method upon relevant QIs and SCIs.

Where the potential for an adverse impact to occur was identified, recommended text changes to the proposed objectives of the FESLUP have been provided to avoid or to mitigate the potential impact. These recommendations have been integrated into the FESLUP so that its implementation would not result in an adverse effect on the integrity of any European site, either alone or in combination with other plans or projects.

8.3 Approach to Appropriate Assessment

In line with the relevant guidance, this stage of the Appropriate Assessment consists of three main steps:

- **Impact Prediction** - where the likely impacts of the FESLUP are examined. The source-pathway-receptor model has been used to assess potential for impact;
- **Assessment of Effects** - where the effects of the FESLUP are assessed as to whether they have any adverse effects on the integrity of the relevant QIs and SCIs; and
- **Mitigation Measures** - where mitigation measures are identified to ameliorate any adverse effects on the integrity of the relevant QIs and SCIs.

8.4 Appropriate Assessment: Impact Prediction

The Source-Pathway-Receptor model utilised for this assessment is given in greater detail in Section 5.3, 5.4, and 5.5 and is summarised below:

- The **source** relates to the 16 Plan objectives which are considered within this section of the Appropriate Assessment. These can be categorised into the following: Biodiversity management; Biosecurity and climate change management; Commercial forestry operations; Desktop review & research; Development of desk-based tools; Engagement and collaboration; Policy, plan, standards and guidelines development; and Support provision.
- The **pathways** by which the FESLUP can impact a Relevant QI and SCI have been identified as Aerial; Direct Land Take; Disturbance; Hydrological; Lighting; Movement of people and machinery; Noise and Vibration; and Recreation (Section 5.6):
- The **receptors** of the FESLUP have been identified to be the relevant QIs and SCIs of European Sites as described in Section 4.5.

Impacts that could potentially occur through the implementation of the objectives that have been determined to have the potential for likely significant effects are aligned with the impact prediction defined in Section 6.3. Table 6 provides the assessment of effects using the S-P-R method defined above and the potential impacts that may occur in the implementation without the provision of mitigation.

8.5 Appropriate Assessment: Assessment of Effects

In line with the methodology set forward within this report, the main impacts that could arise from the draft objectives brought forward to AA are summarised in Table 6. The objectives, its source(s), pathway(s) and receptor(s) and the potential impacts are all included. The potential impacts on QIs and SCIs can include habitat loss, destruction, fragmentation or degradation; disturbance; indirect effects e.g. changes to hydrological regime, nutrient/light availability, exposure to non-native species etc; and In-combination effects with other plans/projects. These impacts are stipulated within Section 6.3.

Table 6 Assessment of Effects using the S-P-R method and the potential impacts that may occur on relevant QIs and SCIs as a result.

Objective	Source	Pathway(s)	Receptor	Potential Impacts (without the provision of mitigation) to relevant QIs/SCIs as provided in Section 6.3
CO7. Continuously develop guidelines for the redesign of peatlands based on best Irish and international practice..	Desktop review & research Policy, plan, standards and guideline development	Disturbance Hydrological Movement of people, machinery Noise and vibration	QIs which share a hydrological connection: freshwater habitats, heath and peatland habitats, and coastal habitats. QI Species dependent on peatlands and their hydrologically connected habitats - all QI species listed in Table 2. SCIs dependent on peatlands and their hydrologically connected habitats – all SCIs listed in Table 2	Habitat loss, destruction, fragmentation or degradation Disturbance Indirect effects e.g. changes to hydrological regime, nutrient/light availability, exposure to non-native species etc. In-combination effects with other plans/projects
CO8. Establish monitoring and management frameworks for redesigned peatlands.	Desktop review & research Policy, plan, standards and guideline development Development of desk based tools	Direct land-take Disturbance Hydrological Movement of people, machinery Noise and vibration	QIs which share a hydrological connection: Coastal habitats, freshwater habitats, heath and peatland habitats. QI Species – marsh saxifrage, slender naiad, Atlantic salmon, freshwater pearl mussel, Nore Pearl mussel, pollan and lesser horseshoe bat. SCIs dependent on peatlands and their hydrologically connected habitats – all SCIs listed in Table 2	Habitat loss, destruction, fragmentation or degradation Disturbance Indirect effects e.g. changes to hydrological regime, nutrient/light availability, exposure to non-native species etc., In-combination effects with other plans/projects
CO11. Identify and quantify the impacts of climate change and develop measures to make Coillte’s estate more climate resilient	Desktop review & research Commercial forestry operations Development of desktop based tools	Disturbance Hydrological	All QI habitats listed in Table 2 All QI species listed in Table 2 All SCIs listed in Table 2	Disturbance Indirect effects e.g. changes to hydrological regime, nutrient/light availability, exposure to non-native species etc., In-combination effects with other plans/projects

Objective	Source	Pathway(s)	Receptor	Potential Impacts (without the provision of mitigation) to relevant QIs/SCIs as provided in Section 6.3
CO12. Develop evidence and understanding of carbon management within the forest and across the forestry supply chain, and pilot new decision-making tools.	Desktop review & research Commercial forestry operations Development of desktop based tools	Aerial Direct land-take Disturbance Hydrological Movement of people, machinery Noise and vibration	QIs which share a hydrological connection: Coastal habitats, freshwater habitats, heath and peatland habitats QI Species – Killarney fern, slender naiad, Atlantic salmon, freshwater pearl mussel, Nore pearl mussel, pollan, marsh fritillary and lesser horseshoe bat SCIs dependent on forests or habitats functionally or hydrologically linked: all SCIs listed in Table 2	Habitat loss, destruction, fragmentation or degradation Disturbance Indirect effects e.g. changes to hydrological regime, nutrient/light availability, exposure to non-native species etc., In-combination effects with other plans/projects
CO14. Support FuturEnergy Ireland and 3rd party renewable energy developers in their current and future renewable energy endeavours.	Support provision Engagement and collaboration	Direct land-take Disturbance Hydrological Movement of people, machinery Noise and vibration	All QI habitats listed in Table 2 All QI species listed in Table 2 All SCIs listed in Table 2	Habitat loss, destruction, fragmentation or degradation Disturbance Indirect effects e.g. changes to hydrological regime, nutrient/light availability, exposure to non-native species etc., In-combination effects with other plans/projects
CO16. Develop a clear policy and explore opportunities for onshore and offshore grid infrastructure, rollout and services to support ongoing development.	Policy, plan, standards and guideline development Desktop review & research Engagement and collaboration	Direct land-take Disturbance Hydrological Movement of people, machinery Lighting Noise and vibration	All QI habitats listed in Table 2 All QI species listed in Table 2 All SCIs listed in Table 2	Habitat loss, destruction, fragmentation or degradation Disturbance Indirect effects e.g. changes to hydrological regime, nutrient/light availability, exposure to non-native species etc. In-combination effects with other plans/projects

Objective	Source	Pathway(s)	Receptor	Potential Impacts (without the provision of mitigation) to relevant QIs/SCIs as provided in Section 6.3
NO2. Continue the process of producing and implementing management plans for biodiversity areas, combining both ecological and forestry perspective and expertise	Biodiversity management Policy, plan, standards and guideline development	Direct land-take Disturbance Hydrological Movement of people, machinery Noise and vibration	All QI habitats listed in Table 2 All QI species listed in Table 2 All SCIs listed in Table 2	Habitat loss, destruction, fragmentation or degradation Disturbance Indirect effects e.g. changes to hydrological regime, water quality, changes in nutrient/light availability etc. In-combination effects with other plans/projects
NO4. Continue to increase the implementation of alternative silvicultural systems including continuous cover forestry (CCF) in forests of ecological value.	Biodiversity management Commercial forestry operations	Direct land-take Disturbance Hydrological Movement of people, machinery Noise and vibration	All QI habitats listed in Table 2 All QI species listed in Table 2 All SCIs listed in Table 2	Habitat loss, destruction, fragmentation or degradation Disturbance Indirect effects e.g. changes to hydrological regime, changes in nutrient/light availability etc. In-combination effects with other plans/projects
NO8. Develop protocols for managing these sites (ref NO6), appropriate to their scale, habitat connectivity and site type, that will improve their nature conservation value.	Desktop review & research Policy, plan, standards and guideline development Biodiversity management	Direct land-take Disturbance Hydrological Movement of people, machinery Noise and vibration	All QI habitats listed in Table 2 All QI species listed in Table 2 All SCIs listed in Table 2	Habitat loss, destruction, fragmentation or degradation Disturbance Indirect effects e.g. changes to hydrological regime, changes in nutrient/light availability etc. In-combination effects with other plans/projects
NO11. Identify and implement methods to improve inventory processes and data-gathering, to expand our knowledge of nature on the estate and our reporting capacity.	Desktop review and research Policy, plan, standards and guideline development Biodiversity Management	Disturbance Hydrological	All QI habitats listed in Table 2 All QI species listed in Table 2 All SCIs listed in Table 2	Disturbance Indirect effects e.g. changes to hydrological regime, changes in nutrient/light availability etc. In-combination effects with other plans/projects

Objective	Source	Pathway(s)	Receptor	Potential Impacts (without the provision of mitigation) to relevant QIs/SCIs as provided in Section 6.3
NO12. Review and improve methods for how biodiversity features and other important environmental features are recorded and protected across the estate.	Desktop review & research Policy, plan, standards and guideline development Biodiversity Management	Disturbance	All QI habitats listed in Table 2 All QI species listed in Table 2 All SCIs listed in Table 2	Disturbance In-combination effects with other plans/projects
NO13. Enhance guidance for the management of habitats and species relevant to Coillte's estate and activities.	Development of desk based tools Policy, plan, standards and guideline development Biodiversity management Engagement and collaboration	Disturbance Hydrological Movement of people, machinery Noise and Vibration	All QI habitats listed in Table 2 All QI species listed in Table 2 All SCIs listed in Table 2	Habitat degradation, fragmentation Disturbance Indirect effects e.g. changes to hydrological regime, nutrient/light availability, exposure to non-native species etc.,
WO1. Maintain production capacity to harvest and supply certified roundwood to support timber production	Commercial forestry operations	Aerial Direct land-take Disturbance Hydrological Movement of people, machinery Noise and Vibration	All QI habitats listed in Table 2 All QI species listed in Table 2 All SCIs listed in Table 2	Habitat loss, destruction, fragmentation or degradation Disturbance Indirect effects e.g. changes to hydrological regime, nutrient/light availability, exposure to non-native species etc., In-combination effects with other plans/projects
PO1. Identify priority site locations and develop masterplans for future Visitor Destinations.	Desktop review & research Policy, plan, standards and guideline development	Direct land-take Disturbance Hydrological Movement of people, machinery Noise and Vibration Recreation	All QI habitats listed in Table 2 All QI species listed in Table 2 All SCIs listed in Table 2	Habitat loss, destruction, fragmentation or degradation Disturbance Indirect effects e.g. changes to hydrological regime, nutrient/light availability, exposure to non-native species etc., In-combination effects with other plans/projects

Objective	Source	Pathway(s)	Receptor	Potential Impacts (without the provision of mitigation) to relevant QIs/SCIs as provided in Section 6.3
PO6. Create a system of classification for Recreation Areas, setting out the offer and facilities to be provided for each category	Desktop review & research Policy, plan, standards and guideline development Development of desktop based tools	Disturbance Movement of people, machinery Recreation	All QI habitats listed in Table 2 All QI species listed in Table 2 All SCIs listed in Table 2	Habitat degradation, fragmentation Disturbance Indirect effects e.g. changes to hydrological regime, nutrient/light availability, exposure to non-native species etc
PO12. Enhance operational guidance for staff and contractors regarding biodiversity management and peatland redesign.	Biodiversity management Other management Internal staffing development	Disturbance Hydrological Movement of people, machinery Noise and Vibration	All QI habitats listed in Table 2 All QI species listed in Table 2 All SCIs listed in Table 2	Habitat fragmentation Disturbance Indirect effects e.g. changes to hydrological regime, nutrient/light availability, exposure to non-native species etc

8.6 Mitigation

8.6.1 Existing Mandatory Requirements for Licensed Forestry Activities

The Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine (DAFM) are the competent authority within Ireland for the forestry sector. For the purposes of the afforestation, a licensing and consent system exists, in which DAFM regulates forestry activities. Forestry activities, which include planting (afforestation and reforestation), felling, fertilisation, and related infrastructure such as forest roads, drainage etc., are subject to the legislative requirements set forward in the Forestry Regulations 2017 (S.I. No. 191/2017). The Regulations set forward the requirement for an Applicant to submit a licence application for activities including tree felling, afforestation, forest roads and aerial fertilisation. A mandatory requirement for the licence application is to conduct and provide a Screening for AA report with the licence application, a habitat map of the application area and a biodiversity checklist. Once received by DAFM, the application is reviewed and the potential for likely significant effects upon European sites is examined through the Screening for AA report. Where the potential for likely significant effects exists, the Applicant must furnish to DAFM a full AA report with mitigation. Only when the applicant proves, with the provision of mitigation, that no likely significant effects have the potential to occur a licence may be granted. Once a forest licence has been granted, the licensee is mandatorily required to adhere to a suite of standards, guidelines and requirements which are can be found in Appendix B.

8.6.2 Proposed Mitigation for Objectives of the FESLUP

Where the AA has identified the potential for significant adverse effects from the implementation of any of the 16 objectives subject to AA, or where doubt exists, mitigation measures have been proposed. This mitigation will eliminate or render insignificant any possible adverse effects on any potentially impacted relevant QIs and SCIs.

In addition to the mandatory mitigation that is required for licensed forestry activities, and in cognisance of the pathways for effect on receptors that have been outlined in Table 6, mitigation measures have been recommended for the objectives determined to have potential for likely significant effects in the absence of mitigation. The recommended mitigation is provided for the objectives in order to avoid the potential for likely significant effects. These are provided below in Table 7.

Table 7 Recommended mitigation to negate the risk of adverse effects on the integrity of European sites.

Objective	Recommended Mitigation
CO7. Continuously develop guidelines for the redesign of peatlands based on best Irish and international practice.	It is recommended that during the development of the guidelines for the redesign of peatlands, guidance and input from suitably qualified professionals including environmental managers and specialist ecologists shall be incorporated. The guidelines should take full account of environmental constraints and opportunities, including protection of European sites, and shall be developed with ecological professionals, as necessary. Proposed text change to CO7: <i>Continuously develop guidelines for the redesign of peatlands based on best Irish and international practice and informed by suitably qualified professionals.</i>
CO8. Establish monitoring and management frameworks for redesigned peatlands.	It is recommended that monitoring and management frameworks are designed by suitably qualified professionals including environmental managers and specialist ecologists. Monitoring and management frameworks should take full account of environmental constraints and opportunities, including protection of European sites, and shall be developed with ecological professionals, as necessary. Proposed text change to CO8: <i>Establish monitoring and management frameworks for redesigned peatlands. Such monitoring and management frameworks shall be designed by suitably qualified multidisciplinary professionals</i>
CO11. Identify and quantify the impacts of climate change and develop measures to make Coillte's estate more climate resilient	It is recommended that the development of any measures to make Coillte's estate more climate resilient integrates input from suitably qualified professionals including environmental managers and specialist ecologists. The measures should take full account of environmental constraints and opportunities, including protection of European sites, and shall be developed with ecological professionals, as necessary. No proposed text change to CO11.

Objective	Recommended Mitigation
<p>CO12. Develop evidence and understanding of carbon management within the forest and across the forestry supply chain, and pilot new decision-making tools.</p>	<p>It is recommended that during the design of new decision making tools, guidance from suitably qualified professionals including environmental managers and specialist ecologists shall be factored in. Any development of new tools with any potential implications for impacts on European sites shall be screened for Appropriate Assessment.</p> <p>Proposed text change to CO12: <i>Develop evidence and understanding of carbon management within the forest and across the forestry supply chain, and pilot new decision-making tools. Design shall incorporate protection measures for the wider environment and be developed with suitably qualified professionals, as necessary. Piloting these new decision making tools shall be carried out in full consideration of the wider environmental and ecological landscape including AA screening where necessary.</i></p>
<p>CO14. Support FuturEnergy Ireland and 3rd party renewable energy developers in their current and future renewable energy endeavours.</p>	<p>It is recommended that during the provision of support, engagement and collaboration, respective parties, including Coillte, any plan or project or endeavour which could give rise to a plan or project with any potential implications for impacts on European sites shall be screened for Appropriate Assessment.</p> <p>Proposed text change to CO14: <i>Support FuturEnergy Ireland and 3rd party renewable energy developers in their current and future renewable energy in full consideration of protection of the environment including Appropriate Assessment screening where necessary.</i></p>
<p>CO16. Develop a clear policy and explore opportunities for onshore and offshore grid infrastructure, rollout and services to support ongoing development.</p>	<p>It is recommended that the development of any such policies and exploration of opportunities with the potential to give rise to plans or projects with any potential implications for impacts on European sites shall be screened for Appropriate Assessment.</p> <p>Where areas of the Coillte estate are identified for the facilitation for on shore or offshore wind development, these developments shall be subject to project level Screening for AA at minimum, and where necessary full AA.</p> <p>Proposed text change to CO16: <i>Develop a clear policy and explore opportunities for onshore and offshore grid infrastructure, rollout and services to support ongoing development in full consideration of protection of the environment including Appropriate Assessment screening where necessary.</i></p>
<p>NO2. Continue the process of producing and implementing management plans for biodiversity areas, combining both ecological and forestry perspective and expertise.</p>	<p>In the absence of mitigation, there is potential for unintended significant effects on the European site network, QIs/SCIs where management actions are not designed with all conservation objectives of relevant QIs/SCIs in mind. It is recommended that during the production and design of such management plans, the conservation objectives of relevant QIs/SCIs are incorporated in the design of the plans.</p> <p>Proposed text change to NO2: <i>Continue the process of producing and implementing management plans for biodiversity areas, combining both ecological and forestry perspective and expertise in the effort to improve biodiversity found within the Coillte estate, subject to Appropriate Assessment screening, as necessary.</i></p>
<p>NO4. Continue to increase the implementation of alternative silvicultural systems including continuous cover forestry (CCF) in forests of ecological value.</p>	<p>In the absence of mitigation, there is potential for unintended significant effects on the European site network, QIs/SCIs where management actions are not designed with the conservation objectives of relevant QIs/SCIs in mind.</p> <p>It is recommended that suitably qualified professionals including specialist ecologists (ornithologists, freshwater habitat and species specialists etc..) input into the design and implementation of any such alternative methods and where potential to give rise to plans or projects with any potential implications for impacts on European sites an Appropriate Assessment screening shall be carried out.</p> <p>Proposed text change to NO4: <i>Continue to increase the implementation of alternative silvicultural systems including continuous cover forestry (CCF) in forests of ecological value. Implementing such systems shall be conducted in a manner insofar as to avoid unintended consequences of any QI or SCI and any plan or project arising shall be subject to Appropriate Assessment screening, as necessary..</i></p>
<p>NO8. Develop protocols for managing these sites (ref NO6), appropriate to their scale, habitat connectivity and site type, that will improve their nature conservation value.</p>	<p>In order to avoid the potential for likely significant effects on European sites the design of such protocols shall incorporate input from suitably qualified ecologists and relevant specialists across ecology and forestry (e.g. ornithologists).</p> <p>Any implementation of such protocols shall be conducted on a site-by-site basis and where there is potential for impacts on European sites an Appropriate Assessment screening shall be carried out.</p> <p>Proposed text change to NO8: <i>Develop protocols for managing these sites (ref NO6), appropriate to their scale, habitat connectivity and site type, that will improve their nature conservation value. The design of such protocols shall include input from specialists within ecology and forestry respectively and any plan or project arising shall be subject to Appropriate Assessment screening, as necessary</i></p>

Objective	Recommended Mitigation
<p>NO11. Identify and implement methods to improve inventory processes and data-gathering, to expand our knowledge of nature on the estate and our reporting capacity.</p>	<p>In order to avoid the potential for likely significant effects on European sites the design of such methods shall incorporate input from suitably qualified ecologists and relevant specialists across ecology and forestry (e.g. ornithologists).</p> <p>Any implementation of such methods shall be conducted on a site-by-site basis and where there is potential for impacts on European sites an Appropriate Assessment screening shall be carried out.</p> <p>Proposed text change to NO11: <i>Identify and implement methods to improve inventory processes and data-gathering, to expand our knowledge of nature on the estate and our reporting capacity. Methods shall be informed by the input of suitable specialist in ecology.</i></p>
<p>NO12. Review and improve methods for how biodiversity features and other important environmental features are recorded across the estate.</p>	<p>It is recommended that prior to the implementation of this objective, that these recording methods are informed by the input of suitably qualified professionals including specialist ecologists.</p> <p>Proposed text change to NO12: <i>Review and improve methods for how biodiversity features and other important environmental features are recorded across the estate. Methods shall be informed by the input of suitable specialist in ecology.</i></p>
<p>NO13. Enhance guidance for the management of habitats and species relevant to Coillte's estate and activities</p>	<p>It is recommended that guidance is designed with input from suitably qualified professionals including ecologists and specialists.</p> <p>It is recommended that detailed spatial analysis, including source-pathway-receptor analysis is carried out in the development of guidance so as to avoid potential for LSE on any European sites.</p> <p>Proposed text change to NO13: <i>Enhance guidance for the management of habitats and species relevant to Coillte's estate and activities with the input of suitably qualified specialists and Appropriate Assessment screening for any works arising, as necessary.</i></p>
<p>WO1. Maintain production capacity to harvest and supply certified roundwood to support timber production .</p>	<p>Embedded mitigation within the afforestation application process already exists as all licensed forestry activities, including afforestation, require approval in the form of a forestry licence. Such a forestry licence requires, at minimum, a Screening for Appropriate Assessment to ensure that such afforestation, harvesting and related forestry activities do not result in a likely significant effect on the European site network. Where the potential for likely significant effects occurs, these applications are required to advance to full Appropriate Assessment and provide mitigation insofar as to remove the potential for likely significant effects.</p> <p>As a result of this embedded mitigation, no further recommendations for mitigation is made as part of this AA report.</p> <p>No recommended text change to WO1</p>
<p>PO1. Identify priority site locations and develop masterplans for future Visitor Destinations</p>	<p>It is recommended, to avoid the potential for likely significant effects, that during the identification process the source-pathway-receptor model is used to identify any potential risks to European sites arising from visitor destination development. Any priority site locations and masterplans shall be subject to an Appropriate Assessment screening (at minimum).</p> <p>Proposed text change to PO1: <i>Identify priority site locations and develop masterplans for future Visitor Destinations in full consideration of protection of the environment and Appropriate Assessment screening where necessary.</i></p>
<p>PO6. Create a system of classification for Recreation Areas, setting out the offer and facilities to be provided for each category.</p>	<p>It is recommended, to avoid the potential for likely significant effects, that the creation of this classification system has input from suitably qualified professionals including ecologists and specialists to account for important ecological features within the Coillte estate. It is recommended that the classification system shall integrate the presence of important ecological features within its system.</p> <p>Proposed text change to PO6: Create a system of classification for Recreation Areas, <i>in full consideration of the important ecological features of Coillte's estate</i>, setting out the offer and facilities to be provided for each category.</p>
<p>PO12. Enhance operational guidance for staff and contractors regarding biodiversity management and peatland redesign.</p>	<p>It is recommended that operational guidance is designed with input from suitably qualified professionals including ecologists and specialists.</p> <p>It is recommended that detailed spatial analysis, including source-pathway-receptor analysis is carried out in the development of guidance so as to avoid potential for LSE on any European sites.</p> <p>Proposed text change to PO12: Enhance operational guidance for staff and contractors regarding biodiversity management and peatland redesign, <i>in full consideration of the important ecological features of Coillte's estate.</i></p>

8.6.3 Recommended Mitigation to Address In-Combination Effects

In-combination effects shall be addressed by the mitigation proposed in Table 7 in combination with the mandatory guidance documents and relevant legislation as found within Section 8.6.1.

8.6.4 Post Consultation Outcome of Proposed Mitigation Measures

Following the consultation process, the proposed text changes to the Objectives were reviewed by Coillte.

- For Objectives CO7, CO8, CO12, CO14, CO16, NO2, NO8, NO11, NO12, PO1, PO6, and PO12 the proposed text changes were incorporated by Coillte;
- For Objectives NO4 and NO13 a partial proposed text change was incorporated by Coillte; and
- As there were no proposed text changes for CO11 and WO1, these Objectives remain unchanged.

Embedded mitigation measures as part of the forestry licensing procedure is sufficient to mitigate adverse effects on European sites for Objective WO1. For Objective CO11, mitigation proposed in Table 7 has been integrated within the FESLUP in Section 5.2.1 of the Plan.

As a result of these changes, proposed mitigation for Objectives in Table 7 which have been changed is now unnecessary as it is concluded that the provision of the text changes negates the requirement for recommended mitigation.

Table 8 provides the Post-Consultation Objectives following acceptance of full and partial proposed text changes.

Table 8 Final wording of Objectives of the FESLUP following Public Consultation

Objective No	Post-Consultation Objective	Acceptance of Proposed text change
CO7	Continuously develop guidelines for the redesign of peatlands based on best Irish and international practice and informed by suitably qualified professionals.	Full text change accepted
CO8	Establish monitoring and management frameworks for redesigned peatlands. Such monitoring and management frameworks shall be designed by suitably qualified multidisciplinary professionals	Full text change accepted
CO11	Identify and quantify the impacts of climate change and develop measures to make Coillte's estate more climate resilient	No text change – mitigation applies.
CO12	Develop evidence and understanding of carbon management within the forest and across the forestry supply chain, and pilot new decision-making tools. Design shall incorporate protection measures for the wider environment and be developed with suitably qualified professionals, as necessary. Piloting these new decision making tools shall be carried out in full consideration of the wider environmental and ecological landscape including AA screening where necessary.	Full text change accepted
CO14	Support FuturEnergy Ireland and 3rd party renewable energy developers in their current and future renewable energy in full consideration of protection of the environment including Appropriate Assessment screening where necessary.	Full text change accepted
CO16	Develop a clear policy and explore opportunities for onshore and offshore grid infrastructure, rollout and services to support ongoing development in full consideration of protection of the environment including Appropriate Assessment screening where necessary	Full text change accepted
NO2	Continue the process of producing and implementing management plans for biodiversity areas, combining both ecological and forestry perspective and expertise in the effort to improve biodiversity found within the Coillte estate, subject to Appropriate Assessment screening, as necessary.	Full text change accepted

Objective No	Post-Consultation Objective	Acceptance of Proposed text change
NO4	Continue to increase the implementation of alternative silvicultural systems including continuous cover forestry (CCF) in forests of ecological value. Any plan or project arising shall be subject to Appropriate Assessment screening, as necessary	Partial text change accepted
NO8	Develop protocols for managing these sites (ref NO6), appropriate to their scale, habitat connectivity and site type, that will improve their nature conservation value. The design of such protocols shall include input from specialists within ecology and forestry respectively and any plan or project arising shall be subject to Appropriate Assessment screening, as necessary	Full text change accepted
NO11	Identify and implement methods to improve inventory processes and data-gathering, to expand our knowledge of nature on the estate and our reporting capacity. Methods shall be informed by the input of suitable specialist in ecology	Full text change accepted
NO12	Review and improve methods for how biodiversity features and other important environmental features are recorded across the estate. Methods shall be informed by the input of suitable specialist in ecology.	Full text change accepted
NO13	Enhance guidance for the management of habitats and species relevant to Coillte's estate and activities with the input of suitably qualified specialists	Partial text change accepted
WO1	Maintain production capacity to harvest and supply certified roundwood to support timber production	Full text change accepted
PO1	Identify priority site locations and develop masterplans for future Visitor Destinations in full consideration of protection of the environment and Appropriate Assessment screening where necessary.	Full text change accepted
PO6	Create a system of classification for Recreation Areas, in full consideration of the important ecological features of Coillte's estate, setting out the offer and facilities to be provided for each category	Full text change accepted
PO12	Enhance operational guidance for staff and contractors regarding biodiversity management and peatland redesign, in full consideration of the important ecological features of Coillte's estate.	Full text change accepted

9. Summary and Conclusion

9.1 Summary

The screening for AA determined that 16 of the 68 objectives contained within the FESLUP have the potential to result in likely significant effects on European Sites within the Zone of Influence of the FESLUP. These were taken forward to Appropriate Assessment.

The Source-Pathway-Receptor Model has been used to assess whether implementation of the FESLUP will adversely affect the integrity of any European Sites across Ireland, and parts of Northern Ireland which are within the Zone of Influence of the FESLUP.

- The **source** is the 16 Plan objectives taken forward to AA (C07, C08, CO11, CO12, CO14, CO16, N02, N04, NO8, NO11, NO12, NO13, WO1, PO1, PO6 and PO12).
- The eight **pathways** for effect by which implementation of the 16 objectives in the FESLUP can impact a receptor are Aerial; Direct Land Take; Disturbance; Hydrological; Lighting; Movement of people and machinery; Noise and Vibration; and Recreation; and
- The **receptors** are the relevant QIs and SCIs, (47 QIs and 20 SCIs) listed in Table 2 and as described in Section 4.5.

The 607 European Sites scoped into assessment in Ireland and 22 European Sites in Northern Ireland were scoped in on a precautionary basis, as details on specific sources of impact and therefore pathways were too high-level to be able to rule out effects on European Sites with certainty.

Of the European Sites scoped into assessment, further review identified that there were collectively 156 QIs/SCIs, of which 67 (~43%) have been identified from Article 17 reporting to be subject to pressures or threats from forestry and recreation related activities which may arise from implementation of the FESLUP. These comprise 34 habitats, four plant species, two mammal species, two invertebrate species, five aquatic species and 20 bird species which are QIs/SCIs of SACs and SPAs within the ZoI.

Mitigation has been proposed and accepted by Coillte, including those that are mandatory within licensed forestry activities recommended mitigation measures are provided within Section 8.6 this report. With the implementation of the mitigation recommended within this NIS, there is sufficient evidence for the NIS to conclude that implementation of the FESLUP would not result in adverse effects on the integrity of European sites, alone or in-combination with other plans and projects. The FESLUP and mitigation proposed in this report is directed at a strategic high level and therefore is considered will avoid adverse effects on the integrity of all European sites, alone or in-combination with other plans and projects.

Following the consultation stage of the FESLUP, proposed text changes for 12 of the Objectives were accepted whilst a partial text change was accepted for two of the Objectives. No text change was proposed for Objective WO1 as embedded mitigation measures were deemed sufficient to avoid adverse effects on the integrity of European sites. Mitigation for Objective CO11 has been integrated into the FESLUP in Section 5.2.1 of the Plan.

A Forest Estate Strategic Implementation Plan, Forest Management Plans, and further plans or projects arising from the implementation of the FESLUP will all be subject to AA screening where there is any possibility of potential for likely significant effects on any European site, either alone or in-combination with other plans or projects. These plans and projects arising from implementation of the FESLUP will allow a greater degree of geographic specificity and therefore greater level of site-specific or receptor-specific analysis therefore allowing more detailed and targeted mitigation.

9.2 Conclusions

In order for the AA to comply with the requirements of Article 6(3) the Habitats Directive, a Stage 2 AA undertaken by the public authority must include an examination, analysis, evaluation, findings, conclusions

and a final determination. The information in this report will, along with all other submissions and observations received following public consultation, will enable Coillte to perform its statutory function in this regard.

This NIS has examined and analysed, in light of the best scientific knowledge, with respect to the relevant European sites, the sources and pathways for effect, and how these may result in adverse effects on relevant QIs and SCIs and therefore the integrity of European sites.

Mitigation measures are set out within this report to ensure that adverse effects on the integrity of European sites will be avoided during the implementation of the FESLUP either alone or in combination with other plans or projects.

Accordingly, in the professional opinion of the authors of this report, whilst it has been acknowledged that there is the potential, in the absence of mitigation, for the FESLUP to have the potential for likely significant effects on European sites, with the implementation of the mitigation measures outlined in this NIS, the integrity of any European sites will not be adversely affected.

10. References

- Centre, N. B. (2015). *All-Ireland Pollinator Plan 2021-2025*. Dublin: National Biodiversity Data Centre.
- Commission, E. (2000). *Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council*. Brussels: European Commission.
- Commission, E. (2007). *Environmental Liability*. Brussels: European Commission.
- Commission, E. (2009). *Directive 2009/28/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on the Promotion of the use of Energy from Renewable Sources and Amending and Subsequently Repealing Directives 2001/77/EC and 2003/30/EC*. Brussels: European Commission.
- Commission, E. (2010). *Energy Roadmap 2050*. Brussels: European Commission.
- Commission, E. (2019). *A European Green Deal*. Brussels: European Commission.
- Commission, E. (2020). *Biodiversity strategy for 2030*. Brussels: European Commission.
- Commission, E. (2020). *Energy 2020, A Strategy for Competitive, Sustainable and Secure Energy*. Brussels: European Commission.
- Commission, E. (2020). *Farm to Fork Strategy*. Brussels: European Commission.
- Commission, E. (2020). *The EU Policy Framework for Climate and Energy in the Period from 2020-2030*. Brussels: European Commission.
- Commission, E. (2021). *EU Forest Strategy for 2030*. Brussels: European Commission.
- Commission, E. (2021). *Zero Pollution Action Plan*. Brussels: European Commission.
- Commission, E. (2022). *Environment Action Programme to 2030*. Brussels: European Commission.
- Commission, E. (2023). *Common Agricultural Policy*. Brussels: European Commission.
- DAFM. (2006). *Forest Recreation in Ireland A Guide for Forest Owners and Managers*. Forest Service The Department of Agriculture and Food .
- DECC. (2022). *Climate Action Plan 2023*. Dublin: Department of the Environment, Climate and Communications.
- Department of Agriculture, E. a. (2019). *Nitrates Directive (91/676/EEC)*. Belfast: Department of Agriculture, Environment and Rural Affairs.
- Department of Agriculture, F. a. (2021). *Food Vision 2030 - A World Leader in Sustainable Food Systems*. Dublin: Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine.
- Department of Agriculture, F. a. (2021). *Food Wise 2025*. Dublin: Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine.
- Department of Communications, E. &. (2009). *National Renewable Energy Action Plan*. Dublin: Department of Communications, Energy & Natural Resources.
- Department of Culture, H. a. (2017). *National Raised Bog Special Areas of Conservation Management Plan*. Dublin: Department of Culture, Heritage and the Gaeltacht.
- Department of Housing, L. G. (2019). *Project Ireland 2040*. Dublin: Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage.
- Department of Housing, L. G. (2020). *National Landscape Strategy*. Dublin: Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage.
- Department of Housing, P. a. (2018). *National Planning Framework Project Ireland 2040*. Dublin: Department of Housing, Planning and Local Government.

- Department of the Environment, C. a. (2018). *Ireland's National Energy and Climate Plan 2021-2030*. Dublin: Department of the Environment, Climate and Communications.
- Department of the Environment, C. a. (2018). *National Adaptation Framework (NAF)*. Dublin: Department of the Environment, Climate and Communications.
- Department of the Environment, C. a. (2022). *National Implementation Plan for Sustainable Development Goals 2022-2024*. Dublin: Department of the Environment, Climate and Communications.
- Department of the Environment, C. C. (2021). *Climate Action and Low Carbon Development (Amendment) Bill 2021*. Dublin: Department of the Environment, Climate, Communications.
- Department of Tourism, C. A. (2019). *Culture 2025*. Dublin: Department of Tourism, Culture, Arts, Gaeltacht, Sport and Media.
- Development, D. o. (2019). *Action Plan for Rural Development*. Dublin: Department of Rural and Community Development.
- Development, D. o. (2021). *Our Rural Future: Rural Development Policy 2021-2025*. Dublin: Department of Rural and Community Development.
- DHLGH. (2022). *Ireland's 4th National Biodiversity Action Plan (Draft)*. Dublin: Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage.
- EPA. (2020). *Ireland's Environment An Integrated Assessment*. Dublin: Environmental Protection Agency.
- National Parks and Wildlife Service, D. o. (2021). *Prioritised Action Framework (PAF) for Natura 2000 in Ireland*. Dublin: National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage.
- NPWS. (2019). *The Status of EU Protected Habitats and Species in Ireland*. Department of Culture, Heritage and the Gaeltacht . Deirdre Lynn and Fionnuala O'Neill.
- Reform, D. o. (2022). *National Development Plan 2021-2030*. Dublin: Department of Public Expenditure and Reform.
- Service, N. P. (2015). *National Peatlands Strategy*. Dublin: National Parks and Wildlife Service.
- Union, E. (2018). *Regulation (EU) 2018/841 of the European Parliament and of the Council*. Brussels: European Union.
- Works, O. o. (2021). *Catchment Based Flood Risk Assessment and Management (CFRAM) Programme* . Dublin: Office of Public Works.

Appendix A

Relevant QIs and SCIs

Appendix A: Relevant QIs and SCIs

Coillte

Forest Estate Strategic Land Use Plan (FESLUP)

Reference: Appendix to NIS Final Issue

02 | 07 December 2023



© Arup

This report takes into account the particular instructions and requirements of our client. It is not intended for and should not be relied upon by any third party and no responsibility is undertaken to any third party.

Job number 294083-00

Ove Arup & Partners Limited

50 Ringsend Road

Dublin City

Dublin

D04 T6X0

Republic of Ireland

arup.com

Contents

A.1	Relevant QIs and SCIs	A-1
A.1.1	Introduction	A-1
A.1.2	Approach to Assessment	A-1
A.1.3	Qualifying Interest Habitats	A-3
A.1.4	Qualifying Interest Species	A-18
A.1.5	Special Conservation Interests (SCIs)	A-28

Tables

Table 1	Qualifying Interest Habitats with corresponding pressures and threats in the forestry and recreation categories	A-4
Table 2	Qualifying Interest Species with corresponding pressures and threats in the forestry and recreation categories	A-18
Table 3	Special Conservation Interests with corresponding pressures and threats in the forestry and recreation categories	A-28
Table 4	SCIs relevant to the FESLUP categorised into their respective groupings.	A-32

Figures

No table of figures entries found.

A.1 Relevant QIs and SCIs

A.1.1 Introduction

This Appendix to the Post-Consultation Screening for AA & NIS report (referred hereafter to as the AA report) forms part of the AA reporting process and provides an expanded discussion on Relevant QIs and SCIs (the Qualifying Interests (QIs) and Special Conservation Interests (SCIs)) as identified in Section 4.5 of the AA report.

Whilst this document sits as an Appendix to the AA report, it is considered an integral part of the AA process, providing an expanded discussion on the contents found within the main AA report. The Appendix structure is presented as follows:

- Section A.1.2 – Annex I Habitats (QIs);
- Section A.1.3 – Annex II Species (QIs); and
- Section A.1.4 – Annex I Birds (SCIs)

The baseline information on the QIs and SCIs, including pressures and threats from pathways relevant to the FESLUP were identified through Article 17 and Article 12 reports. This information was then supported by a review of published scientific literature, white paper reports from respective bodies/agencies and national reports such as the Irish Wildlife Manuals.

A.1.2 Approach to Assessment

There are 607 European sites (including one candidate site) within the Republic of Ireland and a further 75 within Northern Ireland. As discussed in the main body of the AA report the sheer number of QIs and SCIs for all sites means that an assessment of the effects of the Plan on each European site would not be feasible and could obfuscate the AA process. Therefore, in order to adequately assess the potential for likely significant effects occurring as a result of the implementation of the FESLUP, the following approach have been carried out:

- a. Review the Article 17 and Article 12 reports to establish the current status of each QI and SCIs designated within SACs and SPAs.
- b. Establish the presence of any pressures/threats that may face respective QIs/SCIs as a result of forestry and/or recreation related activities.
- c. Review national reports, including Irish Wildlife Manuals and published scientific literature for further information relating to QIs/SCIs in respect of forestry and/or recreation related activities.

The researched information is provided below in Sections A.1.3, A.1.4 and A.1.5.

A.1.2.1 Pressure and Thread Code Definitions

The Article 17 reporting and Article 12 reporting provides a suite of pressures and threats to Member States. Member states utilise these codes to define the pressures and threats a QI or SCI may face to ensure standardisation across reporting. The definitions of the identified pressures and threats that were identified relating to forestry and recreation as per the Article 17 and Article 12 reports for the period 2013-2018 are provided below:

- **B01 Conversion to forest from other land uses, or afforestation (excluding drainage):** Increase in forest area, including planting of forests on grassland or heathland, or converting shrubs into forest. This pressure does not relate only to land use changes that occurred during the reporting period, but it refers to instances where continuing the current forestry use of a natural/semi-natural habitat prevents the latter from being restored (e.g. in cases of land use conflicts between forestry and nature conservation for the restoration of some heathlands and peats, which were in the past afforested and are currently being managed and replanted as forests).

- **B23 Forestry Activities generating pollution to surface or ground waters:** This pressure addresses diffuse water pollution resulting from activities which cannot be directly attributed to specific activities covered by other level 2 pressures (e.g. diffuse pollution due to application of fertilisers or plant protection chemicals should be reported under respective activities B19, application of synthetic fertilisers in forestry, including liming of forest soils or B21 Use of physical plant protection in forestry, excluding tree layer thinning).
- **B27 Modification of hydrological conditions, or physical alteration of water bodies and drainage for forestry (including dams):** Activities modifying the physical structure or hydrological functioning of water bodies triggered by forestry production and exploitation, and activities aimed at drying out the land to facilitate forestry production or exploitation (e.g. altering of flooding regimes, canalisation of rivers, cutting of the oxbow lakes, building draining canals).
- **F01 Conversion from other land uses to housing, settlement or recreational areas (excluding drainage and modification of coastline, estuary and coastal conditions):** Conversion of natural and semi-natural habitats to housing, settlement, or recreational areas. Includes development of dispersed housing. This pressure does not relate only to land use changes that occurred during the reporting period; it also refers to instances where continuing the current land use of a natural/semi-natural habitat prevent the latter from being restored.
- **F02 Construction or modification (e.g. of housing and settlements) in existing urban or recreational areas:** Construction in existing urban or recreational areas, reconstruction of existing buildings and structures, and the demolition of buildings and human structures. This pressure can include e.g. demolition of structures important for nesting or sheltering of birds and bats, negative impact of isolation or other reconstruction works to nesting birds or bats. Includes deliberate closure of roofs (to prevent bats or birds).
- **F05 Creation or development of sports, tourism and leisure infrastructure (outside the urban or recreational areas):** Creation and development of sport and leisure structures outside the urban or recreational areas (e.g. building the ski lifts, cable cars, motocross circuits).
- **F06 Development and maintenance of beach areas for tourism and recreation incl. beach nourishment and beach cleaning:** Activities connected with development and maintenance of recreational coastal areas and beach resorts such as levelling of dunes morphology, beach cleaning (also with mechanical vehicles), beach nourishment, human trampling and overuse, construction of buildings connected to the seaside bathing establishments, marine/beach litter deposition.
- **F07 Sports, tourism and leisure activities:** Sport, tourism, and leisure activities outside the urban and recreational zones (e.g. outdoor sports, leisure aircrafts, drones, human trampling, wildlife watching).
- **F08 Modification of coastline, estuary and coastal conditions for development, use and protection of residential, commercial, industrial and recreational infrastructure and areas (including sea defences or coastal protection works and infrastructures):** Activities modifying coastline or estuary and coastal conditions triggered by urban development such as development and protection of residential, commercial, industrial and recreational infrastructure and areas. Includes activities like building sea defences and coastal protection infrastructure or maintenance of existing coastal structures (e.g. repairs to existing sea defences, harbour walls).
- **F09 Deposition and treatment of waste/garbage from household/recreational facilities:** Management, including deposition and treatment (e.g. dumps, landfills, incineration and other methods of physical or biological processing) of waste from urban and recreational areas (e.g. household waste, other urban waste like waste from hospitals or from urban green areas, food waste from recreational areas).
- **F11 Pollution to surface or ground water due to urban run-offs:** Pollution from rain and storm water running from urbanised areas carrying pollutants from roads and parking plots as well as fertilizers and pesticides used for urban vegetation.
- **F13 Plants contaminated or abandoned industrial sites generating pollution to surface or ground water:** Discharges of industrial wastewater into surface and ground waters as well as diffuse pollution

from contaminated or abandoned industrial sites. Includes also activities related to industrial wastewater treatment.

- **F20 Residential or recreational activities and structures generating marine pollution (excl. marine macro- and micro-particular pollution):** This pressure should be used to address the marine pollution originating from urban and recreational areas which cannot be directly attributed to specific activities covered by other level 2 pressures (e.g. if the source of the pollution is unknown or pollution is from several different sources).
- **F24 Residential or recreational activities and structures generating noise, light, heat or other forms of pollution:** Different activities and structures related to residential and recreational areas that generate noise, light, heat or other forms of pollution.
- **F28 Modification of flooding regimes, flood protection for residential or recreational development:** Activities modifying physical structure and/or hydrological functioning of water bodies triggered by flood protection of urban and recreational zones (e.g. flood protection dams and reservoirs, river impoundments, canalisation, water deviation, removing bank vegetation).
- **F31 Other modification of hydrological conditions for residential or recreational development:** This pressure should be used to address activities related to development and use of housing and recreational areas and structures modifying physical structure and/or hydrological functioning of water bodies which cannot be directly attributed to specific activities covered by other level 2 pressures.
- **F33 Abstraction of ground and surface waters (including marine) for public water supply and recreational use:** Abstraction from ground and surface waters for public water supply and recreational use (e.g. abstraction of fresh/marine water for swimming pools).
- **J02 Mixed source marine water pollution (marine and coastal):** Mixed source marine water pollution (marine and coastal). Pollution should only be reported under this category when the key driver of the pollution is unclear or where pollution is related to several causes (e.g. marine pollution by hydrocarbons can be related to several sources, like industrial discharges, water and land based transport operation, pollution, transport and exploitation accidents). Where a key sectoral driver can be identified, pollution should be reported under the corresponding sectoral pollution category.

A.1.3 Qualifying Interest Habitats

As stated in Section 4.5 of the AA report, this section provides an expanded discussion on the Annex I habitats identified to have the potential to be impacted by the FESLUP. This section outlines supporting information relating QI habitats that were determined to be representative of the European site network in and that have vulnerabilities to activities pertaining to forestry and recreation.

Table 1 below provides an overview of the QI habitats which have a corresponding recreational and forestry pressures/threats as defined in Article 17 report¹³. The definitions to the pressure and threats codes are provided in Section A.1.2.1 The table also displays whether the QI is classified as a priority habitat in Ireland and its conservation status. Specific QI habitats classified as ‘priority’ based on their condition are identified with ‘*’ in Table 1 and those QI habitats identified to have pressures and/or threats relating to forestry and/or recreation have been presented in bold. Following Table 1, the QI habitats that have been identified are discussed in relation to forestry and recreation related activities and their vulnerabilities to activities contained therein.

Table 1 Qualifying Interest Habitats with corresponding pressures and threats in the forestry and recreation categories

EU Habitat Code	QI Habitat	Classed as Priority Habitat in Ireland?	Conservation Status	No. SACs for which it is a qualifying feature	Article 17 (2019) Identified Pressure - Forestry	Article 17 (2019) Identified Threat - Forestry	Article 17 (2019) Identified Pressure - Recreation	Article 17 (2019) Identified Threat-Recreation
1110	Sandbanks	No	Favourable	2	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified
1130	Estuaries	No	Inadequate	19	None Identified	None Identified	F20 (H)	F20 (H)
1140	Tidal mudflats	No	Inadequate	42	None Identified	None Identified	F20 (H)	F20 (H)
1150	Lagoons*	Yes	Bad	25	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified
1160	Large shallow inlets	No	Bad	22	B23 (M)	B23 (M)	F20 (H)	F20 (H)
1170	Reefs	No	Inadequate	41	Not Assessed	Not Assessed	None Identified	None Identified
1210	Drift Lines	No	Inadequate	24	None Identified	None Identified	F08 (H) F01 (M) F06 (M) F07 (M)	F08 (H) F01 (M) F06 (M) F07 (M)
1220	Vegetated Shingle/Perennial Vegetation of stony banks	No	Inadequate	36	None Identified	None Identified	F08(H) F09(M) F07(H)	F08(H) F09(M) F07(H)
1230	Vegetated Sea cliffs	No	Inadequate	28	None Identified	None Identified	F07 (M) F08 (M)	F07 (M) F08 (M)
1310	Salicornia Mud	No	Favourable	23	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified
1330	Atlantic salt meadows	No	Inadequate	38	None Identified	None Identified	F07 (H) F08 (H)	F07 (H) F08 (H)

EU Habitat Code	QI Habitat	Classed as Priority Habitat in Ireland?	Conservation Status	No. SACs for which it is a qualifying feature	Article 17 (2019) Identified Pressure - Forestry	Article 17 (2019) Identified Threat - Forestry	Article 17 (2019) Identified Pressure - Recreation	Article 17 (2019) Identified Threat- Recreation
1410	Mediterranean salt meadows	No	Inadequate	33	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified
1420	Halophilous Scrub	No	Bad	2	Not Assessed	Not Assessed	J02(H)	J02(H)
2110	Embryonic shifting dunes	No	Inadequate	33	None Identified	None Identified	F07 (H) F08 (H) F01 (M) F06 (M)	F07 (H) F08 (H) F01 (M) F06 (M)
2120	Marram dunes (white dunes)	No	Inadequate	46	None Identified	None Identified	F07 (H) F08 (H) F01 (M) F06 (M)	F07 (H) F08 (H) F01 (M) F06 (M)
2130	Fixed dunes (grey dunes)*	Yes	Bad	43	None Identified	None Identified	F07(M) F08(M)	F07(M) F08(M)
2140	Empetrum dunes*	Yes	Favourable	5	Not Assessed	Not Assessed	F07(M)	F07(M)
2150	Dune heath*	Yes	Inadequate	11	None Identified	None Identified	F07(M)	F07(M)
2170	Dunes with creeping willow	No	Inadequate	11	None Identified	None Identified	F07(M) F08(M)	F07(M) F08(M)
2190	Dune Slacks	No	Inadequate	15	None Identified	None Identified	F07(M)	F07(M)
21A0	Machair*	No	Inadequate	19	None Identified	None Identified	F01(H) F07(M)	F01(H) F07(M)
3110	Oligotrophic isoetid lake habitat	No	Bad	32	B23 (H) B27 (H)	B23 (H) B27 (H)	None Identified	None Identified

EU Habitat Code	QI Habitat	Classed as Priority Habitat in Ireland?	Conservation Status	No. SACs for which it is a qualifying feature	Article 17 (2019) Identified Pressure - Forestry	Article 17 (2019) Identified Threat - Forestry	Article 17 (2019) Identified Pressure - Recreation	Article 17 (2019) Identified Threat- Recreation
3130	Mixed Najas flexilis lake habitat	No	Inadequate	9	B23 (M)	B23 (M)	None Identified	None Identified
3140	Hard-water lake habitat	No	Bad	18	B23 (H) B27 (M)	B23 (H) B27 (M)	F13 (H) F33 (M)	F13 (H) F33 (M)
3150	Rich pondweed lake habitat	No	Inadequate	9	B23 (H)	B23 (H)	F13 (M) F11 (M)	F13(M) F11(M)
3160	Acid oligotrophic lake habitat	No	Inadequate	10	B23 (H) B27 (H)	B23 (H) B27 (H)	None Identified	None Identified
3180	Turloughs*	Yes	Inadequate	45	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified
3260	Vegetation of flowing waters	No	Inadequate	21	B23 (M)	B23 (M)	F11 (M) F13 (M)	F11 (M) F13 (M)
3270	Chenopodion rubri	No	Favourable	1	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified
4010	Wet Heath	No	Bad	39	B01 (H)	B01(H)	None Identified	None Identified
4030	Dry \Heath	No	Bad	48	B01 (M)	B01 (M)	None Identified	None Identified
4060	Alpine and subalpine heath	No	Bad	33	None Identified	None Identified	F07 (M)	F07 (M)
5130	Juniper scrub	No	Favourable	22	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified
6130	Calaminarian grassland	No	Inadequate	33	None Identified	None Identified	F07 (M)	F07 (M)
6210	Orchid-rich Nardus grassland*	No	Bad	33	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified

EU Habitat Code	QI Habitat	Classed as Priority Habitat in Ireland?	Conservation Status	No. SACs for which it is a qualifying feature	Article 17 (2019) Identified Pressure - Forestry	Article 17 (2019) Identified Threat - Forestry	Article 17 (2019) Identified Pressure - Recreation	Article 17 (2019) Identified Threat-Recreation
6230	Species-rich Nardus upland grassland*	No	Bad	9	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified
6410	Molinia meadow	No	Bad	14	B01 (H)	B01 (H)	None Identified	None Identified
6510	Lowland hay meadow	No	Bad	3	Not Assessed	Not Assessed	None Identified	None Identified
6430	Hydrophilous tall-herb swamp	No	Bad	10	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified
7110	Active raised bog*	Yes	Bad	51	B01(M)	B01 (M)	None Identified	None Identified
7120	Degraded raised bog	No	Bad	53	B01 (M)	B01 (M)	None Identified	None Identified
7130	Blanket Bog (Active)*	Yes	Bad	50	B01 (H)	B01 (H)	None Identified	None Identified
7140	Transition Mires	No	Bad	16	B01 (H)	B01 (H)	None Identified	None Identified
7150	Rhynchosporion depressions	No	Bad	63	B01 (H)	B01 (H)	None Identified	None Identified
7210	Cladium fens*	Yes	Inadequate	17	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified
7220	Petrifying springs*	Yes	Inadequate	19	None Identified	None Identified	F07 (M)	None Identified
7230	Alkaline fens	No	Bad	38	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified
8110	Siliceous scree	No	Inadequate	3	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified
8120	Eutric scree	No	Inadequate	3	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified
8210	Calcareous rocky slopes	No	Inadequate	12	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified

EU Habitat Code	QI Habitat	Classed as Priority Habitat in Ireland?	Conservation Status	No. SACs for which it is a qualifying feature	Article 17 (2019) Identified Pressure - Forestry	Article 17 (2019) Identified Threat - Forestry	Article 17 (2019) Identified Pressure - Recreation	Article 17 (2019) Identified Threat-Recreation
8220	Siliceous rocky slopes	No	Inadequate	8220	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified
8240	Limestone pavement*	Yes	Inadequate	8240	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified
8310	Caves	No	Favourable	8310	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified
8330	Sea caves	No	Favourable	8330	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified
91A0	Old oak woodland	No	Bad	40	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified
91D0	Bog woodland*	Yes	Favourable	11	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified
91E0	Alluvial woodland	Yes	Bad	25	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified
91J0	Yew woodland*	Yes	Bad	5	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified

A.1.3.1 Coastal Habitats

Ireland supports a number of coastal Annex I habitats listed from EU Habitat Code 1110 to 1420. These QIs are recorded as having either a forestry or a recreation related pressure/threat within the Article 17 report for the 2013–2019. Indirect effects from forestry related activities, such as pollution to surface or ground waters has been recorded as a pressure and/or threat upon freshwater and coastal water environments within the Article 17 reports from the last two reporting periods². Habitat loss through land-use changes, disturbance and indirect effects from sports, tourism and leisure activities and indirect effects from discharge points of recreational facilities generating pollution were all indicated within the Article 17² report as pressures and threats to the coastal habitats as listed in Table 1.

Coastal habitats have the potential to experience impacts in the form of pollution via hydrological connections as a result of activities such as clear-felling, drainage and fertilisation in addition to forestry related infrastructure construction e.g. forestry roads. Nutrient enrichment and sedimentation may occur downstream of the origin point where a hydrological connection exists between habitat and area under forestry activity. These habitats may also be connected by artificial drains created from activities such as agriculture and forestry, as well as overland and subsurface pathways. The water quality of these waterbodies and their connecting habitats can deteriorate through release of sediment and nutrients as well as impacts from acidification. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)³ research provides evidence that waterbodies can recover within a few years and that during the stable period of forestry i.e. outside the felling, planting, and thinning periods, that waterbodies remain in very good condition.

Nutrient enrichment from forestry related activities can potentially occur during fertilisation activities or during the application of herbicides or pesticides to forestry plantations. Sedimentation can occur when felling, thinning and harvesting activities occur in addition to forest road construction and related activities such as drainage etc.

Estuaries 1130

Estuaries are found along both Atlantic and Irish Sea coastlines which are brackish but dynamic systems of converging currents, with both the freshwater downflow from river systems and tidal conditions sweeping inwards from the sea, mixing both fresh and saline waters. Estuaries can support significant benthic species abundances such as oligochaete worms that provide a dietary requirement for some protected bird species, including waders and migratory seabirds¹, and by extension favour the foraging and breeding behaviours of many waders and wildfowl.

Activities that occur upstream of estuarine systems can have a direct impact on the water quality that flows downstream². Urban areas can impact the water quality of estuarine systems from storm water outputs and wastewater discharges, including those that may be used for recreation and tourism services. Areas of intensive agriculture and/or commercial forestry can contribute to increased sediment levels within the water that flows downstream. The EPA³ reported approximately 14% of waterbodies in Ireland experience changes in water quality as a result of forestry and/or forestry related activities. Forestry was identified as contributing to, or potentially contributing to, run-off of nutrients, sediment and pesticides. Levels of sedimentation and/or organic matter can increase during planting, thinning and clear-felling stages of afforestation. Potential changes in aquatic sediment regimes, caused by commercial forestry operations increasing sediment material deposited into waterbodies, may also cause impacts to estuaries downstream of the plantations⁴.

¹ NPWS. Estuaries. Accessed at <https://www.npws.ie/marine/marine-habitats/estuaries> on 20/01/2023

² NPWS (2019). The Status of EU Protected Habitats and Species in Ireland. Volume 2: Habitat Assessments. Unpublished NPWS report. Edited by: Deirdre Lynn and Fionnuala O'Neill

³ EPA (2022) Water Quality in Ireland 2016-2021 Report. Accessed at https://www.epa.ie/publications/monitoring--assessment/freshwater--marine/water-quality-in-ireland-2016-2021/water-quality-2016-2021/EPA_WaterQualityReport2016_2021.pdf on 20/01/2023

⁴ Scally, L., Pfeiffer, N. and Hewitt, E. (2020) The monitoring and assessment of six EU Habitats Directive Annex I Marine Habitats. Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 118. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Culture, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, Ireland

Tidal Mudflats and Sandflats 1140

Tidal mudflats and sandflats are spread around the entire coastline of Ireland. These habitats can occur concurrently with estuarine habitats and large shallow inlets and bays. This energetic coastal habitat changes due to the effects of tidal flows, with full exposure of the flats at low tide to fully submerged at high tide. Tidal mudflats and sandflats can vary in structural composition from sandy habitats typically found on open coast beaches and bays with exposure to wave action and strong tidal action to muddy sands and mudflats, which are confined to sheltered areas where silt moves downstream from rivers and estuaries to be deposited in these areas⁵. As a result of the structural diversity present, this habitat can support many species such as burrowing worms, crustaceans and bivalve molluscs, and waterbirds that forage within exposed substrate during low tide.

Similar to estuaries, this habitat can be sensitive to anthropogenic activities that impact water quality. Upstream activities such as intensive agriculture, wastewater and forestry activities can result in increased nutrient loads which eventually discharge to tidal mudflats and sandflats leading to dysfunctional system. Forestry related activities, including planting, felling and thinning stages, are considered to have an impact on water quality by contributing to changes in organic matter and nutrient input.

Coastal Lagoons 1150

These shallow water environments are typically characterised by sandbanks, shingle or rocks that compose the structural environment of the coastal lagoon. Due to their shallow nature, these habitats can be brackish or highly saline due to rainfall, evaporation, temporary flooding, tidal flows and the impacts of storms⁶. A variety of marine and avian species are supported by this particular habitat, including seabirds, migratory seabirds, waders, nursery fish species such as mullet and a variety of algae and plants.

Where coastal lagoons are exposed to freshwater inputs, it is possible that changes in water quality to rivers flowing downstream to coastal areas can contribute to impacts on this habitat⁴. Within the Article 17 report it was reported that increases in Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) and in peaty sedimentation from catchments were detected in coastal lagoons presumed to originate from peat extraction activities and/or forestry activities². The sedimentation from peaty material from the wider catchment has been identified as reducing the quality of a number of lagoons (e.g. Drongawn, Cloonconeen, L. Donnell, Loch an Aibhnín, L. Ahalia, Aconeera and Moorlagh). The likely cause of this is the use of machines related to turf cutting and/or forestry activities.

Large shallow inlets 1160

These are very diverse environments with depths ranging up to 30m, catering to a variety of species such as those that require low depths (seagrass meadows restricted to ~10m depth⁷) and lower depths (such as kelp beds ~30m depth⁸). These algal species provide favourable nursery grounds for several marine species including crustaceans, molluscs, amphipods, echinoderms, bivalves and worm species which in turn provide foraging for larger predators such as otters, seals, seabirds and wader species.

Where large shallow inlets have a freshwater input, the potential impacts of forestry and/or forestry related activities relate to nutrient enrichment and/or sedimentation of these waterbodies. Forestry related activities including, but not limited to, felling, thinning, fertilisation and treatment with pesticides can impact water quality. Within the last 15 years, water sampling of some large shallow inlets and bays has uncovered higher levels of nutrients that may have resulted from a variety of sources including commercial forestry.

⁵ DG Environment (2013) European Commission. Interpretation Manual of European Union Habitats. Version EUR 28. Accessed at <https://eunis.eea.europa.eu/references/2435> on 20/1/2023

⁶ European Environment Agency (2022) Habitat Annex I Directive Hierarchical View. Coastal Lagoons. Accessed at <https://eunis.eea.europa.eu/habitats/10007> on 20/01/2023

⁷ Susanne Baden, Stein Fredriksen, Hartvig Christie, Louise Eriander, Camilla Gustafsson, Marianne Holmer, Birgit Olesen, Jonas Thormar, Christoffer Boström, Effects of depth and overgrowth of ephemeral macroalgae on a remote subtidal NE Atlantic eelgrass (*Zostera marina*) community, *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, Volume 177, 2022, 113497, ISSN 0025-326X, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2022.113497>.

⁸ Kelly, E. (ed.) (2005) The role of kelp in the marine environment. Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 17. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government, Dublin, Ireland.

Drift Lines (1210), Vegetated Shingle (1220), Vegetated Sea cliffs (1230) and Atlantic Salt Meadows (1330)

These four Annex habitats are located across the coastlines of Ireland, with higher densities along western and southern coasts in comparison to the east coast of Ireland⁹. The identifiable pressures/threats from the Article 17 report pertains to land use changes e.g. to facilitate coastal defence and resilience works, the development and maintenance of beach areas, activities including walking, trampling, camping and off-road driving and litter related issues. Coastal habitats are susceptible to a variety of anthropogenic impacts including those that can exist in the recreation and tourism category. Vegetated shingle has been shown to experience habitat loss from extraction and development but also recreational pressures in a study carried out on behalf of NPWS at 26 sites in Ireland¹⁰. Drift lines, vegetated shingle and Atlantic salt meadows are exposed to the hydrological fluctuations resulting from tidal exposure and weather related events. Habitat structure and function is therefore susceptible to changes¹¹ including those that may be resulting from storm weather events. The addition of human related impacts through recreation, walking, trampling, horse-riding, litter etc., has further potential to degrade these habitats, albeit this is relative to the intensity of the recreational activity.

A review of impacts on Irish coastal habitats from the Heritage Council¹² ascertained within the report that three of the top 15 impacts are recreation or leisure related resultant from caravanning/camping, litter, beach activities, walking and water pollution from visitor related infrastructure (i.e. public toilets). The Coillte estate does include several coastal habitats within the estate, most notably across the western seaboard.

A.1.3.2 Dune Habitats (2110, 2120, 2130, 2140, 2150, 2170, 2190, 21A0)

Several dune formations exist within Ireland, scattered around the entire coastline ranging from the exposed beach fronts to the stable grey dunes, dune slacks and unique machair systems located further inshore. These Annex habitats frequently occur in a mosaic with each other, with some dune complexes comprising all Annex dune habitats (as listed in Table 1). An analysis of the 2019 Article 17 report, in addition to published literature, has shown that these dune systems are susceptible to anthropogenic impacts including those pertaining to recreation and forestry. Dunes with *Salix repens* ssp. *aregentea* (*Salicion arenaria*) (2130), have been impacted by the effects of forestry, albeit in low numbers across the sites and of low importance within the classification analysis provided within the Article 17 report². The remaining dune habitats have not recorded forestry as a pressure or threat.

Dune complexes are exposed to harsh conditions from the coastal winds that spread in from the Atlantic, often experiencing high winds laden with salt spray carried across the ocean. As result, saline laden winds deposit particles of salt onto the dune habitats. The vegetation of these habitats are therefore habituated to higher than normal saline levels and thrive in this environment. Species such as *Ammophila arenaria* are found along these SAC sites on the western coastline, with machair in particular found in Donegal, Sligo and North Mayo. Sand dunes can support a variety of species, such as ground nesting birds skylark (*Alda arvensis*) and meadow pipit (*Anthus pratensis*), flowering plants and reptiles. In addition to their importance as ecosystems, sand dunes act as coastal protection from storm surges, coastal flooding and extreme weather events.

Whilst there were no forestry related pressures and threats recorded within the 2019 Article 17 reports, the previous reporting period between 2007 and 2013¹³ identified that forestry was a medium importance pressure for Dunes with creeping willow. For several sand dune systems within Ireland, there have been instances where afforestation has occurred in proximity to SACs. The natural spread or regeneration of commercial forest species can occur in the habitats in proximity to commercial sites and has occurred in

⁹ These Annex I habitat locations were identified using the EU Habitats Directive Annex Habitats Article 17 Reports 2019 – Terrestrial Habitats Web Viewer provided by NPWS and accessed here: <https://storymaps.arcgis.com/collections/1a721520030d404f899d658d5b6e159a>

¹⁰ Martin, J.R., Daly, O.H. and Devaney F.M. (2017) Survey and assessment of vegetated shingle and associated habitats at 30 coastal sites in Ireland. Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 98. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage, Regional, Rural and Gaeltacht Affairs, Ireland

¹¹ Gissi, E., Manea, E., Mazaris, A.D., Frascetti, S., Almpandou, V., Bevilacqua, S., et al., 2021. A review of the combined effects of climate change and other local human stressors on the marine environment. *Sci. Total Environ.* 755, 142564 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142564>.

¹² Neff, Jenny (1999) Irish Coastal Habitats: A Study of Impacts on Designated Conservation Areas. An Chomhairle Oidhreachta/The Heritage Council. Accessed at https://www.heritagecouncil.ie/content/files/irish_coastal_habitats_impacts_conservation_areas_1998_2mb.pdf on 10/07/2023

¹³ NPWS (2013) The Status of EU Protected Habitats and Species in Ireland. Habitat Assessments Volume 2. Version 1.1. Unpublished Report, National Parks & Wildlife Services. Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, Dublin, Ireland

some sand dune systems. Changes in both the soil systems¹⁴ and vegetation types¹⁵ can subsequently occur resulting in the forest species outcompeting local sand dune vegetation. A change in habitat dynamics may also be triggered by forestry on sand dune habitats. These can manifest in impacts on the hydrological regime of dune systems¹⁶, with particular influence on dune slacks (Annex habitat 2190) which are dependent on hydrological conditions for their formation and condition of supporting plant species such as *Petalophyllum ralfsii*. The condition of habitat also being impacted by increased scrub encroachment and seed banks of non-native species compromising the natural structure impacting the extent and condition of the habitat¹⁷.

Recreational activities including sports, tourism and leisure activities have been recorded as pressures and threats to several types of dune systems². The development of tourism infrastructure can present implications for dune systems, where activities increase the number of visitors to an area and result in recreational pressure. The impacts of trampling, including that from walking and vehicle use, upon vegetation and soil in dune systems can cause a reduction in any of the Annex I habitats including dune slacks, fixed dunes, and machair¹⁸. Even in the absence of recreational facilities, dunes are particularly susceptible to destabilisation through recreational pressure involving humans, animals and vehicles, with specific cases noted in the west of Ireland¹⁹.

Coillte is currently partnered with NPWS and third level institutions providing remediation in SAC sand dune habitats sites that were inappropriately afforested through the removal of old forest plantations. Sites include Horn Head and Rinclevan SAC, Donegal Bay (Murvagh) SAC and Raven Point Nature Reserve SAC, funded through the Life Insular²⁰ programme.

A.1.3.3 Freshwater Habitats (3110, 3130, 3140, 3150, 3160, 3260)

Ireland is home to eight Annex I freshwater habitats, six of which are in bad or inadequate status.

The Article 17 report identified forestry related activities that generate pollution to surface or ground waters and/or result in the modification of hydrological conditions, or physical alteration of water bodies and drainage for forestry (including dams) as pressures/threats. Whilst forestry related activities will not be the sole contributor to the status of freshwater Annex I habitats, they can present significant pressures and threats to their conservation status. Activities such as clear-felling, drainage and fertilisation impact these Annex I habitats through pollution of hydrological connections and the alteration of waterbodies from forestry related drainage.

Historically, upland habitats, which occur alongside freshwater lakes such as acid oligotrophic lakes, were targeted for commercial forestry²¹. Planting, felling and modifications to the receiving habitat resulted in degradation of habitats, including these acid oligotrophic lakes. Ponds or pools supporting acid oligotrophic habitats can be lost through damage and degradation of peatland. Clear felling activities impact water quality through increases in nutrient, sediment and dissolved organic matter, particularly the case where forests are on peatland. Furthermore, conifer forests on peatland that undergo fertilisation have shown higher levels of pollutants within receiving waterbodies. Further impacts on water quality from commercial forestry is as a result of the slow decomposition of conifer needles, branches and roots leading to a loss in organic matter and nutrients.

¹⁴ (2010). Afforestation Effect on Soil Quality of Sand Dunes. Polish Journal of Environmental Studies, 19(6), pp.1109-1116.

¹⁵ Delaney, A., Devaney, F.M, Martin, J.M. and Barron, S.J. (2013). Monitoring survey of Annex I sand dune habitats in Ireland. Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 75. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, Dublin, Ireland

¹⁶ Tim Ryle, Anne Murray, Kieran Connolly & Melinda Swann (2009) Coastal Monitoring Project 2004-2006. A Report to the National Parks and Wildlife Service, Dublin.

¹⁷ Delaney, A., Devaney, F.M, Martin, J.M. and Barron, S.J. (2013). Monitoring survey of Annex I sand dune habitats in Ireland. Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 75. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, Dublin, Ireland.

¹⁸ Kindermann, G., Gormally, M.J. Vehicle damage caused by recreational use of coastal dune systems in a Special Area of Conservation (SAC) on the west coast of Ireland. *J Coast Conserv* **14**, 173–188 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11852-010-0102-7>

¹⁹ Kindermann, G. and Gormally, M.J., 2013. Stakeholder perceptions of recreational and management impacts on protected coastal dune systems: A comparison of three European countries. *Land use policy*, *31*, pp.472–485.

²⁰ Life Insular Website (2023) Accessed at <https://www.lifeinsular.eu/eng/> on 21/01/2023

²¹ Renou-Wilson F, Byrne KA (2015) Irish peatland forests: lessons from the past and pathways to a sustainable future. *Restor Boreal Temp For* 13:321

Freshwater habitats, including the Annex I habitats listed above, can be hydrologically connected to commercial forestry via streams, ditches, rivers, and artificial drains created from activities such as agriculture and forestry, as well as natural overland and subsurface pathways. The water quality of these waterbodies and their connecting habitats can deteriorate through release of sediment and nutrients as well as impacts from acidification. Moreover, artificial drains created from forestry can modify the natural hydrological regime²² of the area with wet and peat soils drying out, potentially resulting in erosion.

Freshwater habitats such as rivers and streams are uni-directional, meaning that downstream areas can be heavily impacted by activities and potential pollution sources upstream. It is important to view rivers and streams as a natural unit of the surrounding landscape as rivers combine biotic factors from both the terrestrial and aquatic environment due to their connectivity. Consequently, all terrestrial activities within a catchment can impact conditions in freshwater habitats²³.

Recreational activities such as angling can contribute to this alteration of freshwater habitats²⁴. The deliberate actions of removing bankside vegetation in order to gain better access to the river can result in the fragmentation of bankside communities which is not beneficial to river corridor wildlife. It can be assumed that the removal of bankside vegetation from freshwater habitats, albeit under any activity can result in habitat loss, fragmentation and degradation and may also have an indirect effect on species which may use that area for shelter or movement.

A.1.3.4 Peatland and Heath

Peatland habitats (EU Habitat Codes 7110, 7120, 7130, 7140, 7150 and for inclusion under this section, heath habitats 4010 and 4030) such as blanket bog in the uplands are found throughout Ireland with the highest densities across the western and northern seaboard. Designated active and degraded raised bogs account for approximately 2324ha land area²⁵ across 53 SAC sites. Peatlands require particular characteristics that aid in the formation of peat. Typical factors that contribute to peat forming habitats are waterlogged soils with a low pH, low nutrient and oxygen availability which contribute to a reduced decomposition rate.

In total, approximately 211 SAC sites are designated for the presence of a peatland habitat (active raised bogs, degraded raised bogs, blanket bog, transition mire, *Rhynchosporion* depressions, wet heath, and dry heath) and accounts for half the nation's SAC sites. These habitats can support a variety of species including a host of endangered birds, with most recorded as breeding²⁶. Peatland habitats are a rare habitat in Europe with a unique assemblage of species and structure and function.

The pressures and threats from forestry do not alone contribute to the 'bad' status of these habitats (all are at 'bad' status), but they do frequently occur in combination with pressures and threats such as inappropriate agricultural practices and wildfires. Historical afforestation practices in Ireland targeted the uplands for planting as this habitat was hard to access for infrastructure development and not valuable for farming. The direct replacement of bog habitat with forestry, consequential drainage of bog and heath habitats led to changes in hydrology and species composition. Forestry, as part of wider suite of interacting and cumulative pressures and threats, has led to changes in the hydrological regime which naturally supports peatlands, to species composition changes, to susceptibility to wildfires and to the spread of non-native invasive species such as Rhododendron (*Rhododendron ponticum*). Popular commercial forestry species such as sitka spruce (*Picea sitchensis*) and lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta*) can self-seed from commercial forestry, spreading onto peatland habitats, thereby contributing to overall habitat degradation. Both are non-native species to Ireland and were introduced due to their favourable growth patterns and timber provision. Commercial forestry species in conjunction with forestry drainage patterns have contributed to altering hydrological systems including the water table. Where commercial plantation has occurred in these situations this has

²² Laine, Jukka & Vasander, Harri & Sallantausta, Tapani. (2011). Ecological effects of peatland drainage for forestry. Environmental Reviews. 3. 286-303. 10.1139/a95-015.

²³ Giller, P.S., Johnson, M. and O'Halloran, J., 2002. *Managing the impacts of forest clearfelling on stream environments*. Dublin, Ireland: COFORD.

²⁴ Murphy, K.J. and Pearce, H.G., 1985, October. Habitat modification associated with freshwater angling. In *Angling & Wildlife in Fresh Waters: ITE Symposium* (Vol. 19, pp. 31-46).

²⁵ Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht (2018) National Raised Bog Special Areas of Conservation Management Plan 2017-2022. Accessed at [https://www.npws.ie/sites/default/files/files/FOR%20UPLOAD%20Plan\(WEB_English\)_05_02_18%20\(1\).pdf](https://www.npws.ie/sites/default/files/files/FOR%20UPLOAD%20Plan(WEB_English)_05_02_18%20(1).pdf) on 14/09/2022

²⁶ O'Connell, C. A., Madigan, N., Whyte, T. & Farrell, P. (2021) Peatlands and Climate Change Action Plan 2030. Irish Peatland Conservation Council, Co. Kildare.

resulted in species changes through the loss of hydrophilous species such as *Sphagnum* thereby creating unfavourable peatland habitats. Whilst there is a decreasing trend of commercial forestry within these habitats, there are continued forestry practices and lack of restoration management meaning that in many cases legacy issues have to be addressed.

Several projects are currently underway to address legacy issues, such as Coillte Nature's Wild Western Peatlands²⁷ and the EU LIFE programme Wild Atlantic Nature²⁸. Both named projects are targeting specific locations across the north and west of the country, with Coillte's project taking place in Derryclare in Connemara and Wild Atlantic Nature taking place on specific sites across Donegal, Mayo, Sligo, and Galway.

Peatland habitats within the uplands and mountain areas of Ireland can be isolated in scenic areas and as a result have become popular spaces for recreational activities²⁹ (walking, cycling, bird watching and facilities installation). However, such recreational activities may also result in pressures, if not managed appropriately. The inappropriate recreational use of off-road vehicles, quad bikes and scramblers can cause damage to peatland habitats. Walking and cycling trails can degrade habitats through trampling or fragment the landscape in popular hiking areas. These effects can be similar in their impact to overgrazing, as the repeated footfall and creation of desire lines through the landscape can damage the bog surface, its vegetation and reduce its ability to form peat³⁰. Tracks, trails and desire lines can also become channels for erosion. Camping may cause further degradation through litter and burning. Any wildfire can lead to the destruction of already fragile habitats and wildlife.

A.1.3.5 Grasslands

Five semi-natural grassland habitats listed as Annex I habitats face a pressure/threat from forestry related activities. Review of the latest Article 17 reports and published literature has shown that three of these five habitats have forestry and/or forestry related pressures and threats listed. Calaminarian grassland was the only semi-natural grassland listed with a recreation related pressure and threat.

Calaminarian Grasslands (6130)

Calaminarian Grassland occur on soils that have levels of heavy metals, such as lead, zinc, chromium, and copper, that are toxic to most plant species. The greatest extent of the habitat occurs on artificial sites associated with past mining activities. Vegetation is characterised by the presence of metallophyte plants, i.e. plants that can tolerate high levels of heavy metals. In Ireland, metallophyte vegetation no longer occurs naturally, but it may develop locally in habitats created by past mining activities, particularly on old copper or lead mine spoils. Survey work in 2006, 2008 and 2018 has found that such vegetation can support some very rare bryophyte species (mosses and liverworts), several of which are threatened with extinction in Europe, and has concluded that only 6.2 ha of Calaminarian Grassland habitat remains in Ireland³¹.

The vegetation is generally sparse, although grazing by rabbits or sheep is often needed to prevent scrub from taking over. Most plants can't tolerate the high levels of heavy metals found in soils underlying Calaminarian grassland, so the habitat is characterised by a limited range of species. Some species, including sheep's fescue (*Festuca ovina*), bladder campion (*Silene vulgaris*) and thrift (*Armeria maritima subsp.*), have specially adapted forms that can grow here, while others, including spring sandwort (*Minuartia verna*) (also known as leadwort) and alpine pennycress (*Nocceaea caerulea*), specifically live in this habitat.

Calaminarian sites have been lost over recent years through re-working for minerals, agricultural practices, scrub encroachment and the canalisation of rivers. Article 17 reporting lists two recreational related pressures and threats relating to sports, tourism and leisure activities and the modification of coastline, estuary and

²⁷ Coillte Nature – Wild Western Peatlands Project .Accessed at <https://www.coillte.ie/coillte-nature/ourprojects/wildwesternpeatlands/>

²⁸ Wild Atlantic Life Nature. Accessed at <https://www.wildatlanticnature.ie/>

²⁹ Maltby E (2010) Effects of climate change on the societal benefits of UK upland peat ecosystems: applying the ecosystem approach. *Clim Res* 45:249-259. <https://doi.org/10.3354/cr00893>

³⁰ McKendrick-Smith, Kathryn Amy (2016) The Impact of Tracks on Blanket Peat Ecohydrology. PhD thesis, University of Leeds.

³¹ Lockhart, N. (2022) Natural Heritage Areas (NHAs) for Calaminarian Grassland: Selection Criteria. Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 130. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage, Ireland

coastal conditions for development, use and protection of residential, commercial, industrial and recreational infrastructure and areas (including sea defences or coastal protection works and infrastructures).

A range of impacts have led to losses and degradation of the habitat and its component species due to factors such as mine spoil reclamation or re-working, deposition of atmospheric nitrogen, decline in the toxicity of the surface soil leading to successional change, a cessation of or decline in grazing including by rabbits, tree planting and possibly agricultural improvement by the use of fertilisers.

There is potential for metallophyte plants to be trampled as recreational activities such as walkers utilise the sparse vegetation as a path. Another localised pressure may be due to them being perceived as waste ground and used for motor sports³².

Semi-natural dry grasslands and scrubland facies on calcareous substrates (*Festuca-Brometalia*)(*important orchid sites)(6210)

Semi-natural dry grasslands and scrubland facies on calcareous substrates (*Festuca-Brometalia*)(*important orchid sites) is a species rich habitat with a variety of plant species such as *Succisa pratensis*, *Carex flacca*, *Linum catharticum*, *Galium verum* and *Anthyllis vulneraria*. This grassland habitat is generally found on shallow, well-drained calcareous substrates³³ scattered across the Irish landscape associated with limestone geology such as the Burren and Aran Islands and the Dartry Mountains, amongst other sites around Ireland. Management of these types of grasslands is associated with low-intensity agricultural practices wherein characteristic orchid species, kidney vetch, yellow-wort etc. can flourish with low-intensity and rotational grazing practices³⁴.

In addition to its botanical importance, grasslands such as this support a variety of invertebrates, terrestrial animals and bird species. Butterfly species such as marsh fritillary (*Euphydryas aurinia*) depend on devil's-bit scabious (*Succisa pratensis*) in its larval stage whilst the small blue (*Cupido minimus*) is dependent on kidney vetch in its larval stage.

Inappropriate or lack of management can have negative impacts on this habitat type, with the European Commission reporting threats³⁵ such as unregulated grazing, abandonment and succession to woodland, invasive species, agricultural improvement, land use change, nitrogen deposition, recreational pressures and anthropogenic activities as greatest threats to calcareous grassland habitats.

The 2019 Article 17 reporting provides detail arising from the Annex I grassland monitoring project³⁶, where sites with Annex or Annex-quality grassland habitats include where 6210 is a QI were surveyed. This project identified one site which was impacted by forestry or forestry related activities. The intensity of that impact was recorded as low with less than 25% of the habitat affected by forestry. Where forestry has been historically established within an Annex I grassland habitat or in proximity to an SAC site, there is a threat of forestry encroachment, soil and vegetation impacts and habitat fragmentation as a result.

³² Natural England (March 2021) Definition of Favourable Conservation Status of Calaminarian grassland.

³³ BSBI (2021) BSBO Ireland Annex I Grassland Resources. Semi-natural dry grasslands on calcareous substrates (EU Habitats Directive Code 6210/*6210)[*important orchid sites]. Accessed at https://bsbi.org/wp-content/uploads/dlm_uploads/Semi_Natural_Calcareous_Grasslands_6210.pdf on 22/01/2023

³⁴ Martina Köhler, Georg Hiller, Sabine Tischew, Year-round horse grazing supports typical vascular plant species, orchids and rare bird communities in a dry calcareous grassland, Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, Volume 234, 2016, Pages 48-57, ISSN 0167-8809, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2016.03.020>.

³⁵ Calaciura B & Spinelli O. 2008. Management of Natura 2000 habitats. 6210 Semi-natural dry grasslands and scrubland facies on calcareous substrates (Festuco-Brometalia) (*important orchid sites). European Commission

³⁶ Martin, J.R., O'Neill, F.H. & Daly, O.H. (2018) The monitoring and assessment of three EU Habitats Directive Annex I grassland habitats. Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 102. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Culture, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, Ireland

Species rich *Nardus* upland grassland 6230

This grassland is a QI feature in nine SACs in Ireland³⁷, located along upland landscapes and generally in a mosaic with other upland habitat types such as heath. The habitat requires mineral flushing to exist³⁸. Vegetation composition comprises of grass species such as *Agrostis stolonifera*, *Nardus stricta* and *Anthoxanthum odoratum* with flowering species of *Prunella vulgaris*, *Lathyrus linifolius*, *Pseudorchis albida* and *Viola canina*. Similar to other grassland types, flowering species present within this habitat can be important to butterfly populations and bird species³⁹. Open expanses of these types of grassland can provide foraging opportunities for birds of prey.

Afforestation is listed in EC⁴⁰ reporting as one of the pressures and threats to this habitat, along with eutrophication, inappropriate grazing practices, land abandonment or low management intensity. The monitoring for this Annex I grassland by NPWS was put on hold during the 2013-2019 reporting period resulting in forestry being assessed as a low-importance pressure or threat in the latest Article 17 report. The 2013 report identified forestry as a low importance threat/pressure. The 2013 report identified that the conversion of land to forestry as low importance threat “*due to the continued trend in Ireland of planting conifer plantation on marginal agricultural land and the technical difficulties with the foresters and ecologists involved with planting recognising the 6230 habitat*”.

Potential impacts on this habitat arising from afforestation includes habitat fragmentation, habitat loss through spread of tree species, disturbance during afforestation activities, felling, thinning etc. and changes or loss of vegetation composition as a result of encroaching tree species and/or through the application of fertilisation during afforestation.

Molinia Meadows 6410

Molinia meadows are generally found on traditionally nutrient poor soils including calcareous, peat or clay-silt laden soils that require a fluctuating supply of water to contribute to the indicative species that grow in Molinia meadows. Often used in agriculture for hay meadows or pasture for stock, this habitat can be found on lowland and upland habitats widely distributed in Ireland but with most found in the north and west. Despite being found on nutrient poor soils, Molinia meadows are species rich grasslands, supporting up to 40 flora species including the plant devils bit scabious (*Succisa pratensis*) which is the main food plant for the Annex II species marsh fritillary (*Euphydryas aurinia*).

Molinia meadows are typically managed for agriculture through grazing for cattle or for hay production. The temperate climate of Ireland often contributes higher levels of rainfall which can contribute to waterlogged soils, in turn reducing the availability of some Molinia meadows to be cultivated for hay. In some instances, Molinia meadow habitat has been modified and converted into forestry or more intensive agricultural uses. The 2019 Article 17 report indicated that the loss of Molinia meadows was due to agricultural intensification, forestry, and abandonment and predicted that the impact of these activities is due to continue.

Conversion of Molinia meadow to forestry can result in the alteration of the hydrological regime through the construction of associated artificial drains. Potential impacts from this can result in complete loss of habitat as Molinia meadows are converted to forestry, loss of indicator species through disturbance from planting, shading through trees and the alteration of water table levels. Many typical and indicator species attributed to the Molinia Meadow classification are dependent on light and water availability, with changes in either function having an impact on the species richness. Additionally, commercial afforestation could potentially lower the water table, increase shade and/or present the opportunity for the afforested species to encroach onto adjacent habitat if spread is not managed.

³⁷ Caha Mountains SAC; Mount Brandon SAC; Carlingford Mountain SAC; Cuilcagh - Anierin Uplands SAC Ben Bulbin, Gleniff and Glenade Complex SAC; Galtee Mountains SAC; Kilduff, Devilsbit Mountain SAC Silvermine Mountains SAC; Glanmore Bog SAC; Wicklow Mountains SAC; Bolingbrook Hill SAC; Anglesey Road SAC

³⁸ BSBI (2021) BSBI Ireland Annex I Grassland Resources. Species-rich *Nardus* grasslands (EU Habitats Code Directive Code 6230). Accessed at https://bsbi.org/wp-content/uploads/dlm_uploads/2021/11/Nardus_uplands_6230_updated2021.pdf on 22/01/2023

³⁹ Brüggeshemke, J., Drung, M., Löffler, F. et al. Effects of local climate and habitat heterogeneity on breeding-bird assemblages of semi-natural grasslands. *J Ornithol* 163, 695–707 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10336-022-01972-7>

⁴⁰ Galvánek D. & Janák M. 2008. Management of Natura 2000 habitats. 6230 *Species-rich *Nardus* grasslands. European Commission

Data collected during the most recent Annex I Grassland monitoring survey⁴⁰ indicated that the biggest threat to 6410 habitat degradation was through pressures such as abandonment, agricultural intensification and forestry. Several sites were surveyed, included sites SAC sites, nationally designated sites and non-designated sites with annex-quality grassland. Of the sites included within the monitoring survey, it was found that seven of these underwent a land use change, and where they had formerly been annex-quality grassland they were now used for agriculture or forestry planting however, these did not pertain to any designated sites. NPWS have indicated that this land use change now results in low potential for habitat restoration.

A.1.3.6 Other Annex Habitats Impacted

The introduction of biocides, pesticides, hormones, chemicals, and nutrients into the environment through forestry was identified² as a common pressure or threat found to affect turlough and woodland habitats through diffuse pollution of surface water and groundwater resources^{41,42}. Human activities such as historical drainage practices, forest planting on open ground and the pollution of surface and groundwater resources was found to impact fen habitats⁴³. Activities that happen directly adjacent to fens can alter conditions and make it less suitable for species that depend on this habitat e.g., through drainage and/or nutrient run-off.

⁴¹ O'Neill, F.H. & Barron, S.J. (2013) Results of monitoring survey of old sessile oak woods and alluvial forests. Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 71. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, Dublin, Ireland.

⁴² O Connor, Á. (2017) Conservation objectives supporting document: Turloughs* and Rivers with muddy banks with *Chenopodium rubri* p.p. and *Bidenton* p.p. vegetation. Conservation Objectives Supporting Document Series. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Dublin.

⁴³ Long, M.P. & Brophy, J.T. (2019) Monitoring of sites and habitat for three Annex II species of whorl snail (*Vertigo*). Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 104. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Culture, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, Ireland

A.1.4 Qualifying Interest Species

As stated in Section 4.5 of the AA report, an expanded discussion on the Annex II species identified to have the potential to be impacted by the FESLUP is provided here. This section outlines supporting information relating to QI species that were determined to be representative of the European site network in and that have vulnerabilities to activities pertaining to forestry and recreation.

Table 2 below provides an overview of the QI species which have a corresponding recreational and forestry pressures/threats as defined in Article 17 report¹³. The definitions to the pressure and threats codes are provided in Section A.1.2.1. Those QI species identified to have pressures and/or threats relating to forestry and/or recreation have been presented in bold. Following Table 2, the QI species that have been identified are discussed in relation to forestry and recreation related activities and their vulnerabilities to activities are contained therein.

Table 2 Qualifying Interest Species with corresponding pressures and threats in the forestry and recreation categories

EU Habitat Code	QI Species	Conservation Status	No SACs for which it is a qualifying feature	Article 17 (2019) Identified Pressure relating to Forestry	Article 17 (2019) Identified Threat relating to Forestry	Article 17 (2019) Identified Pressure relating to Recreation	Article 17 (2019) Identified Threat relating to Recreation
6985	Killarney fern	Favourable	18	None Identified	None Identified	F07 (L)	F07 (L)
1528	Marsh saxifrage	Favourable	5	B27 (M)	B27 (M)	None Identified	None Identified
1833	Slender naiad	Inadequate	24	B23(M)	B23 (M)	None Identified	F33 (M)
6216	Slender green feather moss	Favourable	8	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified
1395	Petalwort	Favourable	20	None Identified	None Identified	F07 (L)	F07 (L)
1013	Geyer's whorl snail	Bad	14	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified
1014	Narrow mouthed whorl snail	Inadequate	13	None Identified	None Identified	F05 (M) F07 (M)	F05 (M) F08 (M)
1016	Desmoulin's whorl snail	Inadequate	7	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified
1024	Kerry slug	Favourable	7	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified
1029	Freshwater pearl mussel	Bad	19	B27 (H) B23 (H)	B27 (H) B23 (H) B01 (H)	F28 (H) F31 (M) F33 (M)	F28 (H) F31 (M) F33 (M)
1990	Nore pearl mussel	Bad	1	Not assessed	Not assessed	Not assessed	Not assessed
1092	White-clawed crayfish	Bad	15	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified
1095	Sea lamprey	Bad	12	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified
1096	Brook lamprey	Favourable	10	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified
1099	River lamprey	Unknown	10	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified
1103	Twaite shad	Bad	5	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified

EU Habitat Code	QI Species	Conservation Status	No SACs for which it is a qualifying feature	Article 17 (2019) Identified Pressure relating to Forestry	Article 17 (2019) Identified Threat relating to Forestry	Article 17 (2019) Identified Pressure relating to Recreation	Article 17 (2019) Identified Threat relating to Recreation
5076	Pollan	Bad	3	None Identified	None Identified	F33 (H)	F33 (H)
1106	Atlantic salmon	Inadequate	26	B23 (M)	B23 (M)	None Identified	F28 (M)
1303	Lesser horseshoe bat	Inadequate	41	None Identified	None Identified	F01 (M) F02 (M) F24 (M)	F01 (M) F02 (M) F24 (M)
1355	Otter	Favourable	45	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified
1364	Grey seal	Favourable	10	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified
1365	Harbour seal	Favourable	13	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified
1351	Harbour porpoise	Favourable	2	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified	None Identified

A.1.4.1 Killarney Fern (6985)

Killarney fern is a medium-sized, long-lived fern with delicate, translucent fronds arising from a creeping rhizome. Killarney fern occurs in Irish populations as colonies of sporophytes and gametophytes co-occurring (whereby gametophytes occur intimately or closely associated with sporophytes), sporophyte-only or gametophyte-only.

The Killarney fern is generally a plant of almost permanently moist / humid, sheltered situations. Low light intensity is a typical feature of where it is found. Usually, a species of acidic rock faces, it does grow on trees once the light intensity, shelter and moisture conditions are met. Habitats include but are not necessarily limited to; exposed siliceous rock (ER1), non-marine caves (EU1), wet pedunculate oak - ash woodland (WN4), oak-birch-holly woodland (WN1) and (mixed) broadleaved woodland (WD1). Ireland has designated a total of 24 SACs which contain populations of Killarney fern, in 18 of which it is listed as a QI⁴⁴.

The main historic threat to Killarney fern has been from collecting and although it is listed under the Wildlife Act of 1976 in the Flora Protection Order 1987, the threat still exists. This aligns with sports, tourism and leisure activities identified as a pressure and threat (as shown in (Table 2). Given the habitat where this species can be found there is potential for negative impacts through trampling of recreation seekers⁴⁵.

Woodland habitats, such as those found within the Coillte estate have the potential to support the Killarney fern, and it can be assumed that where these areas are open to recreational activities or indeed the development of recreation amenities, there is the potential for habitat loss.

Spatial data of the Article 17 reports, showing the distribution of Killarney fern shows lots of overlap with Coillte's estate, including their sites open for recreation. The introduction of biocides, pesticides, hormones, chemicals, and nutrients that may originate from fertilisation and maintenance activities of forestry into the environment was a common pressure or threat found to affect Killarney fern.

A.1.4.2 Marsh Saxifrage (1528)

Marsh saxifrage is found within blanket bog and is noted as one of the rarest flowering plants in Ireland⁴⁶. requires a wet environment to grow, such as in the mineral flushes that occur in blanket bog and which function as a food source. The species has shown a decreasing trend in distribution for a number of years, formerly being found across several counties in the north, but now restricted to Sligo and Mayo. Dependent on the peatland environment it inhabits, negative impacts to blanket bog subsequently affect the conservation status of marsh saxifrage.

Threats to blanket bog habitats include afforestation, peat extraction, erosion and burning. Drainage and irrigation operations from forestry related infrastructure present the greatest pressure and threat to marsh saxifrage, as this contributes to hydrological changes that reduces suitability of supporting conditions. The 2019 Article 17 reporting identifies locations at Bellacorick Bog SAC and the Ox Mountains Bogs SAC where hydrological changes due to drainage activities for forestry has reduced the water table⁴⁷.

Although upland habitats have been targeted less frequently for forestry in recent years (due to the introduction of environmental requirements⁴⁸ from the Forest Service and updated forestry standards⁴⁹), these areas are subject to legacy issues associated with historical commercial afforestation and therefore effects on marsh saxifrage continue to exist.

⁴⁴ Ní Dhúill, E., Smyth, N., Waldren, S. & Lynn, D. (2015) Monitoring methods for the Killarney Fern (*Trichomanes speciosum* Willd.) in Ireland. Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 82. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, Ireland

⁴⁵ Ratcliffe, Birks and Birks (1993) The ecology and conservation of the Killarney Fern *Trichomanes speciosum* willd. In Britain and Ireland

⁴⁶ Muldoon, C.S., Waldren, S. & Lynn, D. (2015) Monitoring recommendations for Marsh Saxifrage (*Saxifraga hirculus* L.) in the Republic of Ireland. Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 88. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of the Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, Ireland.

⁴⁷ Perrin, P.M., Roche, J.R., Barron, S.J., Daly, O.H., Hodd, R.L., Muldoon, C.S. & Leyden, K.J. (2013). National Survey of Upland Habitats (Phase 3, 2012-2013), Site Report No. 10: Ox Mountains Bogs cSAC (002006), Cos. Mayo and Sligo. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, Dublin, Ireland.

⁴⁸ Forest Service (2016) Environmental Requirements for Afforestation. Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine.

⁴⁹ Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine Forestry Standards and Procedures Manual. Available at https://forestryservices.ie/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/Forestry_Standards_and_Procedures_Manual_2015.pdf. Accessed 20 July 2023.

Any recreation within the habitats of Marsh saxifrage has the potential to incur habitat loss, degradation and fragmentation including through activities such as hiking and pathway construction and maintenance.

A.1.4.3 Slender Naiad (1833)

Slender naiad is a rare freshwater plant species found in lakes, rivers and pools, and is the main indicator plant species of the annex habitat 3130 – Mixed *Najas flexilis* lake habitat of which there are 24 SACs that list it as a QI. Slender naiad typically requires high water clarity, depths of up to 2m, pH between 6.0 and 9.0., and phosphate rich silty sediment⁵⁰. Its distribution in Ireland is within freshwater habitats to west of Ireland with the core regions occurring in Galway, Donegal and Kerry. Freshwater habitats are subject to pressures and threats from afforestation, and by association so are the plants and species that grow within and are indicators of those habitats. Article 17 reporting points to evidence that drainage associated with forestry presents a significant pressure in five catchments where slender naiad exists.

A decline in the water quality of freshwater habitats can have a knock on effect on slender naiad due to increases in sediment, dissolved organic matter and/or from acidification. Hydrological changes contributing to fluctuations in water level can hamper growth patterns of slender naiad⁵¹. Increases in nutrient load, potentially from fertilisation of afforestation and/or the contribution of dissolved organic matter from leaf litter or pine needles can incur algal blooms, should the right conditions occur. Algal blooms are indicative of declines in water quality and can negatively affect slender naiad presence and abundance.

Conservation measures such as the reversal of drainage impacts on the receiving environment and the restoration of the natural hydrological regime in addition to reduction of pollution from forestry can contribute to improving the conservation status of this slender naiad.

A.1.4.4 Petalwort (1395)

Petalwort (*Petalophyllum ralfsii*) is a pale green liverwort which grows in open, damp, calcareous dune slacks, often on low hummocks rather than on the very wet ground, on compacted sandy/muddy bryophyte-rich turf. Most locations where the species occurs can be classified as the Annex I habitat type 2190 Humid dune slacks. It has occasionally been recorded in other coastal grassland where conditions are similar. Closely associated species may include the mosses (*Barbula convoluta*, *Bryum* spp., *Didymodon tophaceus*, *Ditrichum flexicaule (sensu lato)*, *Hypnum lacunosum*), glaucous sedge (*Carex flacca*), the grasses common bent (*Agrostis capillaris*), red fescue (*Festuca rubra*) and Yorkshire-fog (*Holcus lanatus*).

Sports, tourism and leisure activities is listed as a threat and a pressure with a low impact. Monitoring⁵² in Ireland shows that frequent positive impact recorded at the sites surveyed was use by walkers and non-motorised vehicles. Moderate amounts of disturbance through these activities is beneficial to the habitat for petalwort as it maintains open low vegetation and compacts the ground allowing it to establish. The use of motorised vehicles recorded at many sites is also beneficial in this way, and *P. ralfsii* is often found on old trackways and in wheel ruts. However, higher rates of disturbance from these activities, particularly the use of motorised vehicles, may destroy the integrity of the substrate and can break up the bryophyte crust. While petalwort may eventually recolonise these areas, too much disturbance on a continual basis is detrimental to the ability of the species to establish and maintain itself. A review of the Article 17 spatial data provides point, distribution and range data for petalwort. There were no point data locations located within the Coillte estate, however there were instances where the distribution overlapped with the Coillte estate.

A.1.4.5 Narrow mouthed whorl snail (1014)

The narrow-mouthed whorl snail is a QI of 13 SAC sites within Ireland, and is found within damp wet habitats including dunes, wet grasslands, fen, marsh, salt marsh and the floodplains of rivers. This snail species is small in size, ranging from 1.7-2.7mm in height and 1-1.5mm in width¹³ but despite this its range

⁵⁰ I. D. M. Gunn & L. Carvalho (2020). Slender Naiad (*Najas flexilis*) Habitat Quality Assessment. CRW2018_27. Scotland's Centre of Expertise for Waters (CREW). Available online at: crew.ac.uk/publications

⁵¹ Roden, C., Murphy, P. & Ryan, J.B. (2021) A study of lakes with Slender Naiad (*Najas flexilis*). Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 132. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage, Ireland

⁵² Campbell, C., Hodd, R. & O'Neill, F. (2019) The monitoring and assessment of *Petalophyllum ralfsii* (Petalwort) in the Republic of Ireland 2016–2018. Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 109. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Culture, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, Ireland)

can extend up to 100m within a 12-month period. The species is currently listed as vulnerable under the Irish Red List and in inadequate status according to the Article 17 report. Sports, tourism, and leisure activities and the creation or development of sports, tourism and leisure infrastructure (outside the urban or recreational areas) were identified as medium pressures and threats for this species. However, it must be noted that a suite of pressures and threats have resulted in the inadequate status of this species within the Article 17 report.

Spatial data from the Article 17 reports provides information pertaining to the presence of narrow mouthed whorl snails. The 1km population grid cells show the highest proportion of the population present along the west coast of Ireland with some limited presence inland in counties Kildare, Westmeath, Roscommon and Sligo. Only one Coillte site was identified to overlap with the presence of the narrow mouthed whorl snail population data.

The impacts of recreation on the supporting habitats of this species could by extension have an impact on narrow mouthed whorl snail. As stated in Section A1.3.2 the impacts of recreation on sand dune environments can generate habitat fragmentation and degradation. Hiking, trampling and the use of vehicles within these areas could present the risk of species mortality. Reducing habitat loss has been noted as a key factor in conserving this species⁵³. Whilst forestry has not been recorded as a pressure and/threat within the Article 17 report, habitat loss through any activity, including forestry, especially where marginal lands such as wet grassland types are planted upon, can present the potential for habitat loss and species mortality⁵³.

A.1.4.6 Freshwater Pearl Mussel & Nore Pearl Mussel

Freshwater pearl mussel and the Nore pearl mussel (a QI species of only the River Barrow and River Nore SAC) are both critically endangered in Ireland with the historical decline of the species resultant from impacts on the species' habitat. It is well noted through published literature that land use changes within freshwater pearl mussel catchments have a direct impact on the population. Agriculture, forestry and direct inputs from outfalls and wastewater discharges can all contribute to diffuse and point source impacts which can have adverse impacts on the freshwater aquatic environment which support the freshwater and Nore pearl mussel.

Identifiable impacts upon this species' habitat are:

- Impacts to water quality can include heavy sedimentation⁵⁴ that can occur through forest thinning, clearance and clear-felling operations where the soils surface is disrupted and exposed to erosion, potentially causing the transportation of particles into the water⁵⁵;
- Increases in the nutrient load can impact the hydrological regime of riverine system which may be as a result of tree felling where conifer needles, branches and roots are slow to dissolve contributing to increases in nutrients such as nitrates⁵⁶; and

⁵³ Byrne, A., Moorkens, E.A., Anderson, R., Killeen, I.J. & Regan, E.C. (2009) Ireland Red List No. 2 – NonMarine Molluscs. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government, Dublin, Ireland

⁵⁴ Shah NW, Nisbet TR. The effects of forest clearance for peatland restoration on water quality. *Sci Total Environ*. 2019 Nov 25;693:133617. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.133617. Epub 2019 Jul 30. PMID: 31635007.

⁵⁵ Hutton SA, Harrison SSC and O'Halloran J (2008). An Evaluation of the role of forests and forest practices in the eutrophication and sedimentation of receiving waters. Department of Zoology, Ecology and Plant Science. Environmental Research Institute. University College Cork. Water Framework Directive Western River Basin District. Programme of Measures. Forest and Water National Study. Accessed at <https://www.catchments.ie/download/forestry-and-water/?wpdmdl=1211&ind=MTQ3MjQ3NTAwNHdwZG1fRm9yZXN0IGFuZCB3YXRlciBFdXRyb3BoaWNhdGlvbl9TZWRpbWVudGF0aW9uIExpdGVyYXR1cmUgemV2aWV3IC5wZGY> on 22 September 2022

⁵⁶ Neal, C., Reynolds, B., Neal, M., Wickham, H., Hill, L., and Williams, B.: The impact of conifer harvesting on stream water quality: the Afon Hafren, mid-Wales, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 8, 503–520, <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-8-503-2004>, 2004.

- The creation of forestry roads⁵⁷, the movement of machinery and the use of fertilisers to bring conifers to maturity have all shown to impact water quality⁵⁸.

The declining status is indicative of several factors such as pearl fishing, pollution, acidification, organic enrichment, siltation, river engineering and declining salmon stocks. The mussel has a symbiotic relationship with Atlantic salmon, spending the larval stage attached to the gills of salmonoid fish. Changes to the receiving freshwater habitat in addition to the surrounding habitat, which is more commonly upland peatland habitats, can have a knock-on effect on freshwater pearl mussel. Afforestation and forestry related activities can cause adverse effects in pearl mussel catchments through the creation of drains during afforestation, nutrient input from tree felling activities and fertiliser use and sedimentation during afforestation and felling. These adverse effects can cause sedimentation, nutrient enrichment and eutrophication with the knock-on effects resulting in adverse outcomes for pearl mussel populations.

A large proportion of the 19 SACs designated for freshwater pearl mussel (including the River Barrow and River Nore SAC), are located in catchments with peatland habitats present. Historical planting in these sites resulted in drainage networks that drained directly into waterbodies without the appropriate environmental safeguards that are currently implemented in forestry related activities. Where these forests have now matured and are felled, there is an increased risk during felling, harvesting, and any necessary infrastructure works, that sediment and nutrient may enter the watercourses and contribute to the problems facing freshwater pearl mussel. Where forestry has been identified as having a negative impact on the hydrology of these species dependent catchments, removal of plantations and restoration to the original habitat has been cited as integral to enhancing the future of the species resilience.

A European Innovation Project (EIP) for freshwater pearl mussel, The Pearl Mussel Project, was conducted in 2014-2019 across the Caragh and Blackwater river catchments. The project enabled collaborative working between agricultural landowners, researchers and advisors to develop a programme to allow for the coexistence of farming methods and the conservation of the freshwater pearl mussel. Some of the findings from that project highlighted the importance of marginal lands and wetlands in proximity to watercourses draining into the catchment. It was noted that the hydrological regulation and the food supply to juvenile freshwater pearl mussels provided by wetland and peatland habitats were integral to their lifecycle and population success. In addition, the conclusion was also drawn that drained and modified wetlands (including peatlands) cease to provide both those functions, and that restoration of these habitats at catchment level was a key driver in ensuring the survivability of freshwater pearl mussel. Given the habitat modifications associated with afforestation planting, the findings from this project emphasise the significance of maintaining the natural state of habitats within the catchment area of the freshwater pearl mussel.

The freshwater pearl mussel is protected under the Habitats Directive and additionally within Ireland under SI 296/2009 – The European Communities Environmental Objectives (Freshwater Pearl Mussel) Regulations 2009. The purpose of the Regulations is to support the achievement of favourable conservation status of the species within Ireland through the setting of environmental quality objectives for candidate SACs and SACs where the species is designated as a qualifying interest. Additionally, there is a requirement for the production of sub-basin management plans with these measures and sets out the duties of public authorities in respect of these management plans. Coillte are identified as a relevant public authority under the Second Schedule within the Regulations.

A.1.4.7 Pollan

Pollan are shoaling fish and an Irish endemic species that feed on planktonic invertebrates. Pollan habitat includes freshwater lakes such as Lough Derg and Lough Ree and are noted as a key species for biodiversity in freshwater habitats within Ireland. Pollan have experienced a population decline over the last 20-30 years.

⁵⁷ L. Kuglerová, E.M. Hasselquist, R.A. Sponseller, T. Muotka, G. Hallsby, H. Laudon Multiple stressors in small streams in the forestry context of Fennoscandia: the effects in time and space *Sci. Total Environ.*, 756 (2021), Article 143521

⁵⁸ Mary Kelly-Quinn, Michael Bruen, Simon Harrison, Mark Healy, John Clarke, Tom Drinan, Hugh B. Feeley, Joanne Finnegan, Conor Graham, John Regan, Sean Blacklocke. Research 169: HYDROFOR: Assessment of the Impacts of Forest Operations on the Ecological Quality of Water. 2016. Accessed at <https://www.epa.ie/publications/research/water/research-169-hydrofor-assessment-of-the-impacts-of-forest-operations-on-the-ecological-quality-of-water.php>

Subsequently conservation and restoration measures have been put in place to protect the endangered fish species⁵⁹. Article 17 report¹³ has identified the abstraction of ground and surface water (including marine) for public water supply and recreational use as a pressure and a threat. Pollan face a variety of other pressures such as habitat degradation and climate change, both of which can be indirectly related to forestry related activities. Research has suggested conservation options that will help counteract the species population decline. Proposed actions include regulation and management of commercial fishery, management of water quality and to increase public awareness of pollan and its conservation requirements⁶⁰.

The spatial data adjoining the Article 17 reports overlapped with the extent of the Coillte estate shows that within the distribution range of pollan species, a proportion of the Coillte estate overlap. No recreation sites, as provided by Coillte, overlap with these distribution ranges. The introduction of biocides, pesticides, hormones, chemicals, and nutrients into the environment was a common pressure or threat found to affect pollan, through diffuse pollution of surface water and groundwater resources^{61,62,63}. Hydrological connectivity between afforested sites and the waterbodies in which pollan are located can present a pathway for effect. It can be assumed that any activity which has the potential to interfere with a hydrological connection, whether through modification or an accidental pollution event has the potential to impact pollan species.

A.1.4.8 Atlantic Salmon

Atlantic salmon are found in freshwater lake systems, their connecting rivers, and estuarine areas. Atlantic salmon are a euryhaline species, meaning they can tolerate living in varying degrees of salinity. The species lifecycle starts and ends in freshwater lakes and rivers, but they spend the bulk of their adult life in the marine ecosystem. Despite having the ability to tolerate changes in salinity, the species faces pressures and threats from changes in water quality, which as per Article 17 reporting, can be as a result of pollution from agricultural production, domestic waste water treatment systems and forestry including both rural diffuse and point sources.

Forestry related activities can contribute to the overall health of freshwater habitats, with activities such as clear-felling, drainage works and fertilisation contributing to changes in water quality. Changes in the levels of dissolved organic matter, nutrients and sediment can impact the life cycle of Atlantic salmon. Studies on Atlantic salmon in afforested catchments show that the density and biomass of juvenile species were significantly lower in streams draining from afforested catchments and that the tolerance to changes in water quality was much lower than that found in other species⁶⁴.

The HYDROFOR project, a collaboration project between the EPA and DAFM, investigated the effects of coniferous forests and forestry operations on Ireland's surface water quality and aquatic ecology. Research between 2014-2020 found that the impacts of acidification from forestry on upland catchments contributes to water quality changes, with evidence showing that higher acidity levels can impact aquatic macroinvertebrates, thereby impacting on the food-web for species such as Atlantic salmon. Freshwater lakes

⁵⁹ NPWS; NIEA (2005) All Ireland Species Action Plans – Irish Lady's-tresses, Pollan, Hare, Corncrake. Accessed at https://www.npws.ie/sites/default/files/publications/pdf/2005_Group_SAP.pdf

⁶⁰ Harrod, C., Griffiths, D., McCarthy, T.K. and Rosell, R., 2001. The Irish pollan, *Coregonus autumnalis*: options for its conservation. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 59, pp.339-355.

⁶¹ Long, M.P. & Brophy, J.T. (2019) Monitoring of sites and habitat for three Annex II species of whorl snail (*Vertigo*). *Irish Wildlife Manuals*, No. 104. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Culture, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, Ireland

⁶² Ni Dhúill, E., O'Neill, F.H. & Hodd, R.L. (2022) Monitoring and assessment of Killarney Fern (*Vandenboschia speciosa* (Willd.) Kunkel) in Ireland, 2015–2018. *Irish Wildlife Manuals*, No. 133. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage, Ireland.

⁶³ NPWS (2009) Threat Response Plan: Otter (2009-2011). National Parks & Wildlife Service, Department of the Environment, Heritage & Local Government, Dublin

⁶⁴ Harrison, S.S.C., Hutton S., Baars, J.-R., Cruikshanks, R., Johnson, J., Juhel, G., Kirakowski, T., Matson, R., O'Hal loran, J, Phelan, P. and Kelly-Quinn, M. 2014 Contrasting impacts of conifer forests on brown trout and Atlantic salmon in headwater streams in Ireland. *Biology and Environment: Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy* 2014 DOI: 10.3318/ BIOE.2014.20

can have higher levels of heavy metals and dissolved organic carbon, as well as lower levels of dissolved oxygen, with the greatest impact seen in catchments that were subject to forest clear-felling⁶⁵.

The impacts of forestry activities, in combination with agriculture activities and domestic waste water treatment has contributed to changes in water quality and consequently a negative impact on Atlantic salmon abundance.

A.1.4.9 Lesser horseshoe bat 1303

The lesser horseshoe bat is the only bat species in Ireland listed as a QI of an SAC site. This species can be found in counties Mayo, Galway, Clare, Limerick, Kerry and Cork⁶⁶ (with SACs limited to these counties).

This species can be found roosting during the summer in roofs of disused structures, such as old houses and stables, whilst their winter roosts can be found in caves, mines, souterrains and disused cellars. It is adept at navigating dense vegetation during foraging due to its highly evolved echolocation system. As a result, it is highly sensitive to its environment and by extension the movement of other species and objects. It prefers to forage within a few kilometres of its maternity roost and uses linear items, such as treelines, hedgerows, stone walls and reed beds to commute along when foraging⁶⁷. All bat species predate on insects with the lesser horseshoe bats diet consisting of flying insects (midges, crane flies, moths) which can be found along waterbodies, both flowing and standing, at the edge of woodlands and around scrubby patches during their night time foraging. There is some evidence to suggest that bat species in general avoid foraging within highly modified environments, such as intensive agricultural areas and conifer forests⁶⁸ however, the edges of mixed forests have been recorded as favourable foraging grounds.

With the roosting sites of lesser horseshoe bat being generally restricted to structures, it is considered unlikely that afforestation practices would have any significant impacts on roosting habitats. However, during the summer they form maternity colonies in old buildings and emerge to hunt in nearby woodland. The species prefers sheltered valleys with extensive deciduous woods or dense scrub, close to roost sites. Lesser horseshoe bats are particularly sensitive to disturbance, especially in their nursery and winter roost. Studies also show that light pollution may have significant negative impacts upon the selection of flight routes⁶⁹. Lesser horseshoe bats sites need specific protection and sensitive management of their foraging habitat⁷⁰. Bat Conservation Ireland studied population, trends and threat 1986 to 2012 and found a number of activities around roosts such as increased urbanisation or inappropriate forest management may be considered a future threat, although current negative activities are often related to deterioration of buildings which benefit from human intervention, or climatic factors that are outside the control of conservation bodies.⁷¹ Where recreational activities may in result in the introduction of lighting there may be potential implications to lesser horseshoe bat.

A.1.4.10 Marsh Fritillary 1065

Marsh fritillary is a butterfly which use a variety of habitat types ranging from coastal sand dunes along western seafront to mosaics of upland habitats and grasslands. It is generally found where its larval food plant, devil's-bit scabious occurs and is solely dependent on this species at its larval stage. This plant can be found in a diverse range of habitats¹³ including wet heath, bogs, woodland clearings however, it is more commonly found on acidic or calcareous grasslands managed by low intensity agricultural practices.

⁶⁵ Mary Kelly-Quinn, Michael Bruen, Simon Harrison, Mark Healy, John Clarke, Tom Drinan, Hugh B. Feeley, Joanne Finnegan, Conor Graham, John Regan, Sean Blacklocke. Research 169: HYDROFOR: Assessment of the Impacts of Forest Operations on the Ecological Quality of Water. 2016. Accessed at <https://www.epa.ie/publications/research/water/research-169-hydrofor-assessment-of-the-impacts-of-forest-operations-on-the-ecological-quality-of-water.php>

⁶⁶ NPWS & VWT (2022) Lesser Horseshoe Bat Species Action Plan 2022- 2026. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage, Ireland

⁶⁷ Lundy MG, Aughney T, Montgomery WI, Roche N (2011) Landscape conservation for Irish bats & species specific roosting characteristics. Bat Conservation Ireland

⁶⁹ Stone et al (2009) Street Lighting Disturbs Commuting Bats. Current Biology 19, 1123–1127, July 14, 2009 ©2009 Elsevier Ltd All rights reserved DOI 10.1016/j.cub.2009.05.058

⁷⁰ Bat Conservation Trust (BCT) [lesser horseshoe 11.02.13.indd \(bats.org.uk\)](#)

⁷¹ Bat Conservation Ireland (2012) [Lesser Horseshoe Bat Report November 2012_4_.docx \(npws.ie\)](#)

Marsh fritillary habitats are often subjected to recreational pressures. In Ireland, approximately 50% of dune systems have deteriorated in the last century, a significant proportion of which can be attributed to recreational pressures and unimpeded access⁷². Studies have shown that areas such as sand dunes are prone to physical stress such as erosion damage through trampling and such pressure can reduce the total area of devil's-bit scabious¹⁹. Reduced food availability increases pressure on marsh fritillary and can lead to a species population decline⁷³. At a substrate level, high levels of disturbance can cause deflation (lowering of the sand surface) causing a reduction in habitat heterogeneity. This can cause the habitat to be less suitable for marsh fritillary⁷⁴. Peatland, heath, fens and wet grassland habitat types are typical habitats to support the growth of devil's-bit scabious and of the SAC sites designated for the presence of marsh fritillary, there is crossover with the presence of designated peatland, heath and fen habitats⁷⁵.

The species persists in the form of metapopulations i.e. groups of spatially separated populations. Taking into account the species dependency on devil's-bit scabious, the species success and mortality rate is intrinsically linked to habitat management where that plant species occurs. Where a habitat (sand dunes, fens, cutover raised bogs, blanket bogs, wet heaths, unimproved wet, neutral or calcareous grasslands, calcareous and coastal heaths) is inappropriately managed or abandoned, destroyed or conditions unfavourable, these metapopulations can suffer with small subpopulations potentially going extinct where the larval food plant is not available.

Where marsh fritillary occurs a level of management is required in order to maintain the availability of devil's-bit scabious, often relating to low intensity agricultural practices and some scrub removal. The metapopulations of SAC sites are supplemented by populations outside of the designated site network. Any potential effects on the populations that have connectivity to SAC populations may have knock-on effects of the SAC metapopulation. The effects of planting on land outside the Natura 2000 site network that support metapopulations could have a direct impact on the marsh fritillary species and could potentially result in population loss. The potential impacts of afforestation on this species include habitat loss, habitat fragmentation and disturbance during the planting, felling and thinning processes including any related forestry infrastructure activities.

A.1.4.11 Other Annex I Species Impacted

The introduction of biocides, pesticides, hormones, chemicals, and nutrients into the environment through forestry was identified² as a common pressure or threat found to affect Killarney fern, pollan, otter and aforementioned snail species, through diffuse pollution of surface water and groundwater resources^{76,77,78}.

There is the potential for large scale planting to impact on the hydrological regime and drainage of adjacent areas, thereby causing impact on Killarney fern, slender green feather moss, and whorl snail species^{79,80,81}.

⁷⁴ Cooper, A., McCann, T. and Ballard, E., 2005. The effects of livestock grazing and recreation on Irish machair grassland vegetation. *Plant Ecology*, 181, pp.255-267.

⁷⁴ Cooper, A., McCann, T. and Ballard, E., 2005. The effects of livestock grazing and recreation on Irish machair grassland vegetation. *Plant Ecology*, 181, pp.255-267.

⁷⁴ Cooper, A., McCann, T. and Ballard, E., 2005. The effects of livestock grazing and recreation on Irish machair grassland vegetation. *Plant Ecology*, 181, pp.255-267.

⁷⁵ At time of writing, 12 SACs sites are designated for the presence of marsh fritillary, with several of these, including Connemara Bog Complex SAC, East Burren Complex SAC, Gweedore Bay and Islands and Moneen Mountain containing supporting habitats of peatland, heath and fen.

⁷⁶ Long, M.P. & Brophy, J.T. (2019) Monitoring of sites and habitat for three Annex II species of whorl snail (*Vertigo*). Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 104. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Culture, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, Ireland

⁷⁷ Ni Dhúill, E., O'Neill, F.H. & Hodd, R.L. (2022) Monitoring and assessment of Killarney Fern (*Vandenboschia speciosa* (Willd.) Kunkel) in Ireland, 2015–2018. Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 133. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage, Ireland.

⁷⁸ NPWS (2009) Threat Response Plan: Otter (2009-2011). National Parks & Wildlife Service, Department of the Environment, Heritage & Local Government, Dublin

⁷⁹ NPWS (2008) All-Ireland Species Action Plan - Killarney Fern - *Trichomanes speciosum*.

⁸⁰ Christina Campbell, Nick Hodgetts & Neil Lockhart (2013) *Hamatocaulis vernicosus* (Mitt.) Hedenäs (Slender Green feather-moss) in the Republic of Ireland. Article 17 Report Backing Document 2013. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Dublin.

⁸¹ Moorkens, E.A. & Killeen, I.J. (2011) Monitoring and Condition Assessment of Populations of *Vertigo geyeri*, *Vertigo angustior* and *Vertigo moulinsiana* in Ireland. Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 55. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage and Gaeltacht, Dublin, Ireland.

The Kerry slug may be impacted by forestry changing the dynamics of their habitat. This would occur by the formation of a dense canopy (often found within commercial plantations), the growth of many species of bryophytes and lichens on the rocks and boulders on which Kerry slug depends becoming inhibited by that dense canopy, and therefore the habitat becoming unsuitable for Kerry slug⁸².

Otter and lesser horseshoe bat both have low category pressures and threats related to commercial forestry including the use of infrastructure required for planting and harvest^{78,83}. These can drive the fragmentation of habitat and direct habitat loss for these species.

⁸² Department of Environment, Heritage, and Local Government (2010) Threat Response Plan: Kerry Slug *Geomalacus maculosus*

⁸³ NPWS & VWT (2022) Lesser Horseshoe Bat Species Action Plan 2022-2026. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage, Ireland.

A.1.5 Special Conservation Interests (SCIs)

As stated in Section 4.5 of the AA report, an expanded discussion on the Annex I birds identified to have the potential to be impacted by the FESLUP is provided here. This section outlines supporting information relating SCIs that were determined to be representative of the European site network in and that have vulnerabilities to activities pertaining to forestry and recreation.

Table 3 below provides an overview of the SCIs which have a corresponding recreational and forestry pressures/threats as defined in Article 12 report. The definitions to the pressure and threats codes are provided in Section A.1.2.1. Following Table 3, the SCIs that have been identified are discussed in relation to forestry and recreation related activities and their vulnerabilities to activities are contained therein.

Table 3 Special Conservation Interests with corresponding pressures and threats in the forestry and recreation categories

Latin Name	Species Name	BOCCI Status	Residential Status in Ireland	No. SPA sites for which this is a qualifying feature ^{*i}	Forestry Related Pressure per Article 17 Reporting	Forestry Related Pressure per Article 17 Reporting	Recreation Related Pressure per Article 12 Reporting	Recreation Related Threat per Article 12 Reporting
<i>Anser albifrons flavirostris</i>	Greenland White-fronted Goose	N/A	Wintering	29	None	None	None	None
<i>Alca torda</i>	Razorbill	Red	Breeding	11	None	None	F07 (M)	F07 (M) F08 (M)
<i>Alcedo atthis</i>	Kingfisher	Amber	Breeding	2	None	None	F07 (M)	F07 (M) F08 (M)
<i>Anas acuta</i>	Pintail	Amber	Wintering	11	None	None	None	None
<i>Anas crecca</i>	Teal	Amber	Breeding and Wintering	21	None	None	None	F07 (M)
<i>Anas platyrhynchos</i>	Mallard	Amber	Breeding and Wintering	9	None	None	F07 (M)	F07 (M)
<i>Arenaria interpres</i>	Turnstone	Amber	Wintering	11	B01 (H)	None	F07 (M)	F07 (M)
<i>Aythya ferina</i>	Pochard	Red	Wintering	6	None	None	None	None
<i>Aythya fuligula</i>	Tufted Duck	Amber	Breeding and Wintering	11	None	None	F22 (M) F23(M)	F22 (M) F23 (M)
<i>Aythya marila</i>	Scaup	Red	Wintering	5	None	None	F07 (M)	F07 (M) F28 (M)
<i>Bucephala clangula</i>	Goldeneye	Red	Wintering	6	None	None	F22 (M) F23 (M)	F22 (M) F23 (M)
<i>Branta bernicla hrota</i>	Light-bellied Brent Goose	Amber	Wintering	24	None	None	None	F07 (M) F28 (M)

Latin Name	Species Name	BOCCI Status	Residential Status in Ireland	No. SPA sites for which this is a qualifying feature ^{*i}	Forestry Related Pressure per Article 17 Reporting	Forestry Related Pressure per Article 17 Reporting	Recreation Related Pressure per Article 12 Reporting	Recreation Related Threat per Article 12 Reporting
<i>Calidris alba</i>	Sanderling	N/A	Wintering	15	None	None	F07 (M)	F07 (M) F28 (M)
<i>Calidris alpina schinzii</i>	Dunlin	Red	Breeding and Wintering	6	None	None	F22 (M) F23 (M)	F22 (M) F23 (M)
<i>Calidris canutus</i>	Knot	Red	Wintering	13	None	F01 (M)	F07 (M)	J02 (H)
<i>Calidris maritima</i>	Purple sandpiper	Red	Wintering	4	None	None	F07 (M)	F07 (M) F08 (M)
<i>Circus cyaneus</i>	Hen Harrier	Amber	Breeding	8	None	None	F07 (M)	F07 (M)
<i>Cygnus cygnus</i>	Whooper Swan	Amber	Breeding and Wintering	22	None	None	F22 (M) F23 (M)	F22 (M) F23 (M)
<i>Falco columbarius</i>	Merlin	Amber	Breeding	7	B01 (H)	B01 (H)	None	None
<i>Fulmaris glacialis</i>	Fulmar	Amber	Breeding	18*	B23 (M)	B23 (M)	F07 (M) F11 (M)	F07(M) F11 (M)
<i>Fratercula arctica</i>	Puffin	Red	Breeding	10*	None	None	F22 (M) F23(M)	F22 (M) F23(M)
<i>Gavia stellata</i>	Red-throated Diver	Amber	Breeding and Wintering	7*	None	None	F07 (M)	F07 (M)
<i>Haematopus ostralegus</i>	Oystercatcher	Amber	Breeding and Wintering	16	B01 (H)	B01 (H)	None	None
<i>Larus marinus</i>	Great Black-backed gull	N/A	Breeding	1*	None	None	F07 (M)	F07 (M) F08 (M)
<i>Limosa lapponica</i>	Bar-Tailed Godwit	Red	Wintering	24	None	None	None	F07 (H)
<i>Limosa limosa</i>	Black-Tailed Godwit	Red	Wintering	25	None	None	F07 (M)	F07 (M) F28 (M)
<i>Mareca penelope</i>	Wigeon	Amber	Wintering	25	None	None	F22 (M) F23 (M)	F22 (M) F23 (M)
<i>Mareca strepera</i>	Gadwall	Amber	Breeding and Wintering	4	B03 (M) B09 (M)	B03 (M) B09 (M)	None	None
<i>Morus bassanus</i>	Gannet	Amber	Breeding	3	None	None	F07(M)	F07 (M) F08 (M)

Latin Name	Species Name	BOCCI Status	Residential Status in Ireland	No. SPA sites for which this is a qualifying feature ^{*i}	Forestry Related Pressure per Article 17 Reporting	Forestry Related Pressure per Article 17 Reporting	Recreation Related Pressure per Article 12 Reporting	Recreation Related Threat per Article 12 Reporting
<i>Numenius arquata arquata</i>	Curlew	N/A	Wintering	19	None	None	F07(M)	F07 (M) F28 (M)
<i>Phalacrocorax aristotelis aristotelis</i>	Shag	Amber	Breeding and Wintering	15*	None	None	F07(M)	F07 (M) F28 (M)
<i>Pluvialis apricaria</i>	Golden Plover	Red	Breeding and Wintering	36	None	None	F22 (M) F23 (M)	F22 (M) F23 (M)
<i>Pluvialis squatarola</i>	Grey Plover	Red	Wintering	21	None	None	F07(M)	F08 (M)
<i>Puffinus puffinus</i>	Manx shearwater	Amber	Breeding	6*	None	None	F22 (M) F23 (M)	F07 (M) F22 (M) F23 (M)
<i>Pyrhcorax pyrrhcorax</i>	Chough	Amber	Breeding	18	None	None	F07 (M)	F07 (M) F08 (M)
<i>Rissa tridactyla</i>	Kittiwake	Red	Breeding	18*	None	B01 (H)	F07 (M)	F07 (M)
<i>Somateria mollissima</i>	Eider	N/A	Breeding	1	None	None	None	F07 (H)
<i>Spatula clypeata</i>	Shoveler	Red	Breeding and Wintering	15	None	None	F07 (M)	F07 (M) F08 (M)
<i>Sterna dougallii</i>	Roseate Tern	Amber	Breeding	5*	None	None	None	High
<i>Sterna hirundo</i>	Common Tern	Amber	Breeding	14*	None	None	F07 (M)	F07 (M)
<i>Sterna paradisaea</i>	Arctic tern	Amber	Breeding	17*	None	None	F22 (M) F23 (M)	F07 (M) F22 (M) F23 (M)
<i>Sternula albifrons</i>	Little Tern	Amber	Breeding	9*	None	None	F07 (M)	F07 (M) F28 (M)
<i>Thalasseus sandvicensis (Sterna sandvicensis)</i>	Sandwich Tern	Amber	Breeding	9	None	None	F07 (M)	F07 (M) F28 (M)
<i>Tringa nebularia</i>	Greenshank	N/A	Wintering	3	None	None	F07 (M)	F07 (M) F28 (M)
<i>Tringa totanus</i>	Redshank	N/A	Breeding and Wintering	21	None	None	F07 (M)	F07 (M) F08 (M)

Latin Name	Species Name	BOCCI Status	Residential Status in Ireland	No. SPA sites for which this is a qualifying feature ^{*i}	Forestry Related Pressure per Article 17 Reporting	Forestry Related Pressure per Article 17 Reporting	Recreation Related Pressure per Article 12 Reporting	Recreation Related Threat per Article 12 Reporting
<i>Uria aalge</i>	Guillemot	Amber	Breeding	12*	None	None	None	F07 (M) F28 (M)
<i>Vanellus vanellus</i>	Lapwing	N/A	Wintering	23	None	None	F07 (M)	F07 (M) F08 (M) F28 (M)

ⁱ Includes those SCIs that are the proposed designated features for Candidate SPA sites.

A.1.5.1 Overview

After review of the Article 12 reports for those SCIs that face pressures and threats from recreation and/or forestry related activities, further research into the potential impacts of such sectors has been carried out. Species have been identified and grouped into respective categories within Table 4 and been categorised in consideration of their similarities e.g. breeding patterns, habitat use, behaviours etc. The expanded discussion in the following sections refers to these categories with some specific mention towards species. Considering the dependency of SCIs on habitats for foraging, breeding, resting etc., it can be assumed that any likely impacts upon a habitat can potentially have a consequential impact on an SCI.

Table 4 SCIs relevant to the FESLUP categorised into their respective groupings.

Waders & Waterfowl	Seabirds	Birds of Prey	Ducks & Divers,	Geese & Swans	Others
Bar-tailed godwit	Arctic tern	Hen Harrier	Eider	Greenland white fronted goose	Chough
Black-tailed godwit	Common tern	Merlin	Gadwall	Light-Bellied Brent Goose	Kingfisher
Curlew	Fulmar		Goldeneye	Whooper Swan	
Dunlin	Gannet		Mallard		
Golden plover	Great Black Backed Gull		Pintail		
Greenshank	Guillemot		Pochard		
Grey plover	Kittiwake		Red-throated diver		
Knot	Little tern		Scaup		
Lapwing	Manx shearwater		Shoveler		
Oystercatcher	Puffin		Teal		
Purple Sandpiper	Razorbill		Tufted Duck		
Redshank	Roseate tern		Wigeon		
Sanderling	Sandwich tern				
Turnstone	Shag				

A.1.5.2 Waders & Waterfowl

Waders and waterfowl are found in SPA sites across the island of Ireland, along coastal estuarine areas, shallow inlets, uplands, freshwater habitats, grasslands and agricultural lands. Wader populations are in decline across the UK and Ireland. Studies suggests that disturbance to waders is localised in time and space due to the nature of where disturbance often occurs. These species rely on open landscapes and therefore where afforestation occurs in proximity to wader habitats, this can result in loss of those open landscapes or provision of more cover and perching opportunities for predators such as foxes, corvids and birds of prey

which can take young, eggs and adults⁸⁴. Waders within upland areas are found less along the edges⁸⁵ of plantation forestry than the open landscape and the potential changes in the vegetation structure in association with the forestry species are responsible. Species such as dunlin and golden plover prefer flat, exposed ground close to bog pools and can be directly influenced by a forest edge, ranging further for suitable habitat to nest and forage within⁸⁶. Changes in land use from human activities can result in habitat loss, habitat fragmentation and degradation and indirect effects such as changes in habitat quality from water pollution. Habitat loss can occur where sites are inappropriately afforested with temporary disturbance during the planting, felling, thinning, fertilisation and harvesting stages through noise and aerial disturbance. Habitat fragmentation can occur due to conifer encroachment from afforested sites.

Evidence from scientific literature points to the anthropogenic pressures that impact foraging habitats, including estuaries and associated coastal habitats, including the effects of pollution and disturbance. Changes in the estuarine environment can cause species to forage further afield, thereby expending more energy and causing a knock on impact on their ability breed. Wader species, such as curlews depend on a diet of invertebrates, in particular ragworms and molluscs, that are found within estuarine areas. These invertebrate populations are essential for the success of foraging bird species and can often determine the temporal and spatial distribution of species⁸⁷. Species can also locate in upland, lowland bogs, wet grassland and unimproved/semi-improved grassland sites and will forage close to their nests⁸⁸. Waterfowl that utilise coastal habitats, such as estuaries, mud and sandflat and shorelines may experience similar disturbance impacts due to the variety of human-related activities that occur within these zones. Short term behavioural responses from species as a result of human related disturbance may result in the avoidance of areas for foraging, resting or nesting. Sites with high levels of human activity often have lower densities of birds than sites with low levels⁸⁹.

Land use changes in these habitats, such as uplands and peatland habitats has been shown to negatively affect the waders like curlew⁹⁰, with afforestation noted to result in direct habitat loss and fragmentation⁹¹. Should afforestation take place on these habitats, there is potential for curlew and other breeding wader species including dunlin, golden plover and grey plover to experience habitat loss, habitat fragmentation and disturbance during afforestation activities in isolation and in-combination⁹². Landscape level land-use change can reduce the available ground cover for nests, disturb the species and cause it to flee from its nest thereby leaving young vulnerable to predation and/or exposure to the elements. Published scientific literature recommends that new afforestation should be sited away from important breeding wader areas⁹³.

Research relating to the development, construction and use of recreational infrastructure has provided evidence that this is a pressure to wader species such as curlew and dunlin. This can include activities such as improving site access, conversion of land to recreational areas and built recreational facilities. Such activities can result in the habitat loss, degradation, and fragmentation for species. Other types of disturbance

⁸⁴ Mark H. Hancock, Daniela Klein, and Neil R. Cowie. "Guild - level Responses by Mammalian Predators to Afforestation and Subsequent Restoration In a Formerly Treeless Peatland Landscape." *Restoration ecology*, v. 28 ,5 pp. 1113-1123. doi: 10.1111/rec.13167

⁸⁵ D. A. Stroud , T. M. Reed & N. J. Harding (1990) Do moorland breeding waders avoid plantation edges?, *Bird Study*, 37:3, 177-186, DOI: 10.1080/00063659009477055

⁸⁶ Wilson, J., Anderson, R., Bailey, S., Chetcuti, J., Cowie, N.R., Hancock, M.H., Quine, C.P., Russell, N., Stephen, L., & Thompson, D.B. (2014). Modelling edge effects of mature forest plantations on peatland waders informs landscape-scale conservation. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 51, 204-213.

⁸⁷ Piersma, T. & Baker, A.J. (2000) Life history characteristics and the conservation of migratory shorebirds. *Behaviour and Conservation* (eds L.M. Gosling & W.J. Sutherland), pp. 105– 124. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

⁸⁸ Birdwatch Ireland (2023) Curlew. Accessed at <https://birdwatchireland.ie/birds/curlew/> on 22/01/2023

⁸⁹ Klein, M.L., Humphrey, S.R., Percival, H.F. (1995) Effects of ecotourism on distribution of waterbirds in a wildlife refuge. *Conservation Biology*, 9, 1454– 1465.

⁹⁰ Douglas, D. J., Bellamy, P. E., Stephen, L. S., Pearce - Higgins, J. W., Wilson, J. D., & Grant, M. C. (2014). Upland land use predicts population decline in a globally near - threatened wader. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 51(1), 194-203.

⁹¹ Ries, L., Fletcher, R.J. Jr, Battin, J. & Sisk, T.D. (2004) Ecological responses to habitat edges: mechanisms, models, and variability explained. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 35, 491–522.

⁹² Luís Reino, Pedro Beja, Patrick E. Osborne, Rui Morgado, António Fabião, John T. Rotenberry, Distance to edges, edge contrast and landscape fragmentation: Interactions affecting farmland birds around forest plantations, *Biological Conservation*, Volume 142, Issue 4, 2009, Pages 824-838, ISSN 0006-3207, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2008.12.011>.

⁹³ Douglas, D.J.T., Bellamy, P.E., Stephen, L.S., Pearce-Higgins, J.W., Wilson, J.D. & Grant, M. (2013). Upland land use predicts population decline in a globally near-threatened wader. *Journal of Applied Ecology*. DOI: 10.1111/1365- 2664.12167

listed include dog walking, shooting, intrusive surveying, and nest abandonment. Increase stress and recreational use of a site can cause curlew to abandon nests⁹⁴. Species such as golden plover have been shown to have less response to disturbance during incubation of eggs however, they exhibit a strong behavioural response to disturbance when guarding chicks⁹⁵. The movement of people through the landscape, where it be widespread and unpredictable as opposed to through pathways and tracks can generate disturbance effects on sensitive species.

A.1.5.3 Seabirds

Identified within the Article 12 reports are seabird species of razorbill, fulmar, puffin, great black-backed gull, gannet, shag, Manx shearwater, kittiwake, roseate tern, common tern, Arctic tern, little tern, sandwich tern and guillemot. The aforementioned species were noted to be impacted by the pressures and threats from recreation related activities rather than forestry. Pressures and threats relate to sports tourism and leisure activities and the modification of coastline, estuary, and coastal conditions for development, use and protection of residential, commercial, industrial, and recreational infrastructure and areas.

Ireland's coastal cliffs, dunes and other habitats provide suitable breeding and feeding conditions for seabirds. Seabirds are amongst the most threatened groups on the planet, with approximately half of all seabird populations declining. Roseate, common, little and sandwich tern species all inhabit the Annex I designated habitat vegetated sea cliffs. Recreational pressures and threats to the habitat can have indirect effects on the seabirds that feed and breed in the habitat and its surrounding area. The construction of recreational infrastructure such as sea cliff paths may cause an increase in tourism density in an area that could add disturbance to seabirds. Nature based recreation, such as hiking, cycling, horse riding, kayaking, birdwatching etc., has been established to have negative environmental effects⁹⁶. A variety of short term and long term responses can be elicited from species however, this is dependent upon the magnitude of originating activity⁹⁷. Where activities may be long term in nature, or higher in frequency, a reduction in the breeding performance or the number of breeding sites has the potential to occur, resulting in a long term negative effect on populations⁹⁶.

It is well established that seabirds are vulnerable to human activities⁹⁸. Some of the main impacts includes fisheries, exploitation for food, species invasion and habitat destruction and climate change. Enhanced adult mortality and poor breeding efficiency caused by hunting and egg collection at seabirds breeding sites has been noted in scientific literature. Further to this, human activities have resulted in in-direct impacts to seabirds, such as the introduction of invasive non-native animal and plant species. Invasive species can alter an ecosystems community structure through altering the availability of prey to seabirds.

A.1.5.4 Birds of Prey

Both hen harrier and merlin, categorised here under birds of prey, are discussed below relating to forestry and recreation related pressures and threats.

Hen harrier can nest within coniferous forestry plantations, typically before the plantation reaches full maturity. As the forestry reaches full maturity, habitat becomes less suitable for hen harrier nesting as the volume of foliage increases to levels that restrict nesting⁹⁹. Equally, mature forests provide less food sources and also restrict the bird's ability to detect and catch their prey due to the height of mature trees. As a result,

⁹⁴ Colhoun, K., Flannelly, F., O'Neill, J., Phelan, E., Servignat, H., O'Donoghue, B. and Kelly, S., 2022. Status and distribution of breeding Eurasian Curlew in Ireland 2021. *Irish Wildlife Manuals*, (138).

⁹⁵ Pearce-Higgins, J. W. ; Finney, S. K. ; Yalden, D. W. et al. / Testing the effects of recreational disturbance on two upland breeding waders. In: *Ibis*. 2007 ; Vol. 149, No. 1. pp. 45-55.

⁹⁶ Rochelle Steven, Catherine Pickering, J. Guy Castley, A review of the impacts of nature based recreation on birds, *Journal of Environmental Management*, Volume 92, Issue 10, 2011, Pages 2287-2294, ISSN 0301-4797, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2011.05.005>.

⁹⁷ Steidl, R.J., Powell, B.F., 2006. Assessing the effects of human activities on wildlife. *George Wright Forum* 23, 50e58.

⁹⁸ D. Hockin, M. Ounsted, M. Gorman, D. Hill, V. Keller, M.A. Barker, Examination of the effects of disturbance on birds with reference to its importance in ecological assessments, *Journal of Environmental Management*, Volume 36, Issue 4, 1992, Pages 253-286, ISSN 0301-4797, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-4797\(08\)80002-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-4797(08)80002-3).

⁹⁹ NPWS (2015) Hen Harrier Conservation and the Forestry Sector in Ireland 2015. Version 3.2 Date 31/03/2015. Accessed at <https://www.npws.ie/sites/default/files/publications/pdf/HHTRP%20-%20Forestry%20-%20V3.2.pdf> on 15 August 2022

species are forced to travel further to hunt and provide for their young. During harvesting and clear-felling stages as well as forestry road construction, habitat loss, fragmentation and disturbance can occur. During nesting, these activities can cause adults to flee their nests exposing eggs or chicks to lack of food and cold. Fragmentation at forest boundaries can provide predatory animals, such as mink and pine marten, with enhanced opportunities to prey on nests of hen harrier and their ground nesting prey¹⁰⁰.

Hen harrier is amber-listed on the Birds of Conservation Concern Ireland (BOCCI) with an estimated 52% decline in breeding pairs within the last 40 years and a 27% decline in the population within SPA sites between 2005 and 2010¹⁰¹. The species is commonly found in open upland landscapes and across agricultural grounds with supporting habitat identified to be blanket bog types, heath habitats, scrub, seminatural woodlands, plantation woodlands, some calcareous and neutral grasslands, improved grasslands used for agriculture, mosaic grasslands and some freshwater marsh. The 2014 NPWS Hen Harrier Mapping project identified that approximately 52.3%¹⁰² of the habitats mapped in designated SPA sites are managed for conifer forestry. Historically, prior to landscape level afforestation, hen harriers were dependent on open habitats such as blanket bog and rough pastures to breed¹⁰³.

The importance of maintaining and improving the open upland landscapes of Ireland is reiterated throughout the conservation objectives supporting document for breeding hen harrier¹⁰⁴, highlighting the importance of this habitat for foraging. The importance of upland habitats is further supported by published literature¹⁰⁵ with changes to these habitats causing habitat fragmentation and increases to the forest edge¹⁰⁶. Hen harriers predate on small birds and small mammals with some of their food source originating from low-intensity agricultural land near roost sites. Medium-sized ground nesting birds (such as common snipe (*Gallinago gallinago*)) found within bog and young conifer forests also form part of the hen harrier's diet¹⁰⁷. Some variation in the diet of this species is normal however, in mature forests post-thicket (12 years maturity) hen harriers are unable to hunt effectively due to the closed canopy which can result in the species having to travel further during the breeding season to provide for their young, leaving the nest exposed to predation and weather¹⁰⁸. It is clear from the scientific literature, that landscape level management across all sectors is required to favourably restore the hen harrier population to favourable condition.

It has been identified that the expansion of commercial forestry may limit the foraging opportunities for hen harrier¹⁰⁹. Licenced forests are typically replanted after harvesting, and where replanting with or introducing non-native or non-typical species occurs, the habitat change from non-forest to forest habitat is permanent. The Article 12 report notes that the second rotation of planting can be associated with low levels of breeding success. Whilst upland land availability for afforestation has decreased due to the exclusion of soil types and

¹⁰⁰ Ruddock, M., Mee, A., Lusby, J., Nagle, A., O'Neill, S. & O'Toole, L. (2016). The 2015 National Survey of Breeding Hen Harrier in Ireland. Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 93. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of the Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, Ireland

¹⁰¹ NPWS (2022). Conservation Objectives Supporting Document: Breeding Hen Harrier. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage.

¹⁰² Moran, P. & Wilson-Parr, R. (2015) Hen Harrier Special Protection Area (SPA) Habitat Mapping Project 2014. Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 83. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, Ireland

¹⁰³ Norriss, D.W., Marsh, J., McMahan, D. and Oliver, G.A. 2002. A national survey of breeding Hen Harriers *Circus cyaneus* in Ireland 1998-2000. Irish Birds 7: 1- 10

¹⁰⁴ NPWS (2022). Conservation Objectives Supporting Document: Breeding Hen Harrier. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage.

¹⁰⁵ Anthony Caravaggi, Sandra Irwin, John Lusby, Marc Ruddock, Lorcán O'Toole, Allan Mee, Tony Nagle, Shane O'Neill, David Tierney, Alan McCarthy & John O'Halloran (2019): Factors influencing Hen Harrier *Circus cyaneus* territory site selection and breeding success, BirdStudy, DOI: 10.1080/00063657.2019.169277

¹⁰⁶ Kathryn Sheridan, Jason Monaghan, T. David Tierney, Susan Doyle, Charles Tweney, Steve M. Redpath, Barry J. McMahon "The influence of habitat edge on a ground nesting bird species: hen harrier *Circus cyaneus*," Wildlife Biology, 2020(2), (16 June 2020)

¹⁰⁷ Alan McCarthy, Pat Smiddy, Tony Nagle, Allan Mee, Sandra Irwin, Anthony Caravaggi & John O'Halloran (2021) Landscape and temporal influences on the winter diet of a threatened diurnal raptor, the Hen Harrier *Circus cyaneus*, Bird Study, 68:3, 408-421, DOI: 10.1080/00063657.2022.2103515

¹⁰⁸ Caravaggi, Anthony & Irwin, Sandra & Lusby, John & McCarthy, Alan & Mee, Allan & Nagle, Tony & O'Halloran, John. (2020). Forest management and Hen Harrier *Circus cyaneus* conservation in Ireland.

¹⁰⁹ NPWS (2015) Hen Harrier Conservation and the Forestry Sector in Ireland 2015. Version 3.2 Date 31/03/2015. Accessed at <https://www.npws.ie/sites/default/files/publications/pdf/HHTRP%20-%20Forestry%20-%20V3.2.pdf> on 15 August 2022

the Natura 2000 site network other more marginal agricultural land may be targeted for forestry thereby impacting on the overall habitat available for the life cycle of this species.

Human activities within the vicinity of breeding birds can lead to increased rates of nest desertion and reduced rates of site occupancy and subsequently reduced breeding success¹¹⁰. With regards to recreational pressures and threats impacting hen harriers, very little information is available in public literature, due to prior research focus being on the hen harrier breeding pair population. It is known that anthropogenic recreational activities can impact hen harriers breeding and foraging habitats. Some studies state that hen harrier breeding habitats in Ireland are subjected to a wide range of anthropogenic pressures that could have significant implications for the vulnerable species¹⁰⁸. Recreation was strongly associated with hen harrier territories, demonstrating that recreational activities can negatively impact breeding birds¹¹¹.

Merlin forage within upland landscapes and nest within trees with a strong preference for conifer plantations¹¹². The species is a rare breeding bird in Ireland, found to nest in moorland, mountain and blanket bog. It also nests in woodlands and has taken to nesting in forestry plantations adjacent to moorland. More merlin are found in the west of Ireland but are scattered across the midlands with the Wicklow Mountains also holding good numbers. Where conifer plantations, blanket bog and heath habitats border one another, this can favour foraging behaviour, enabling merlin to forage close to their nesting sites and potentially result in breeding success. However, where these open landscapes become fragmented¹¹³, destroyed or left in a condition unfavourable to the predation tactics of merlin, this can have a potentially negative effect on the breeding success. A survey of merlin SPAs was undertaken in 2018, with results published in 2022. The survey estimated 28 to 41 pairs found across six of the SPA sites (Killarney National Park SPA was not included) with breeding pairs present both inside and outside of the SPA boundaries¹¹⁴. The findings estimate that these numbers are comparable with estimates of breeding densities within the UK. The study further confirmed theories that merlin predominantly nest in trees, with 80% of the nests selected within 10m of a mature conifer plantation adjacent to open habitats suitable for hunting.

Pressures and threats to merlin from forestry and forestry related activities are associated with the felling and thinning stages as this stage of forestry development is most likely where merlin nest. Where harvesting occurs there is a risk of direct nest loss or mortality. In the case of harvesting, additional impacts such as noise disturbance¹¹⁵ through the use and movement of machinery can also negatively impact individuals, resulting in nest abandonment and leaving any dependant young vulnerable to predation and exposed to the elements. Continued habitat loss through replanting in addition to an increase in disturbance through the movement of machinery and personnel during replanting and thinning stages reduces ground nesting availability for merlin.

Whilst no recreational pressures and threats were identified from the Article 12 reports, there is the potential for habitat loss, habitat fragmentation and disturbance as a result of plant and machinery required for recreation infrastructure such as creation of pathways through woodlands in which Merlin species nest. This can have a direct and indirect impact on species through direct nest loss (from the removal of trees to facilitate infrastructure) thereby impacting the population and through disturbance from machinery and operational recreation where species are forced to abandon foraging zones, move further afield and expend more energy.

¹¹⁰ Webber, A.F., Heath, J.A. and Fischer, R.A., 2013. Human disturbance and stage-specific habitat requirements influence snowy plover site occupancy during the breeding season. *Ecology and Evolution*, 3(4), pp.853-863.

¹¹¹ Caravaggi, A., Irwin, S., Lusby, J., Ruddock, M., Mee, A., Nagle, T., O'Toole, L., O'Neill, S. and O'Halloran, J., 2019. Anthropogenic pressures within the breeding range of the Hen Harrier *Circus cyaneus* in Ireland. *Bird Study*, 66(4), pp.461-470.

¹¹² Lusby, J., Corkery, I., McGuinness, S., FernándezBellon, D., Toal, L., Norriss, D.W., Breen, D., O'Donail, A., Clarke, D., Irwin, S., Quinn, J.L., O'Halloran, J. (2017). Breeding ecology and habitat selection of Merlin *Falco columbarius* in forested landscapes, *Bird Study*

¹¹³ Rebecca, G.W. 2006. The breeding ecology of the Merlin *Falco columbarius* aesalon, with particular reference to north-east Scotland and land-use change. PhD Thesis, Open University

¹¹⁴ Lusby, J., O'Brien, I., Lauder, A., Wilson-Parr, R., Breen, D., Cummins, S. & Tierney, D. (2022). Survey of breeding Merlin in the Special Protection Area network 2018. Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 139. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage, Ireland

¹¹⁵ Lusby, J., Fernández - Bellon, D., Norriss, D.W., & Lauder, A. (2011). Assessing the effectiveness of monitoring methods for Merlin *Falco columbarius* in Ireland: the Pilot Merlin Survey 2010. *Irish Birds* 9, 143 – 154

A.1.5.5 Geese & Swans

Species identified to have pressures and threats relating to forestry and/or recreation related activities are Greenland white-fronted goose, light-bellied brent goose and whooper swan. These species can be found in a variety of habitats, with a strong association to freshwater habitats including those Annex I habitats which form QIs of the European site network. Wetland habitats are sites of importance for these species, and a range of land use pressures such as agricultural intensification, drainage, water quality, recreational pressure, scrub and forest encroachment, invasive and predator impacts can result in effects to supporting species¹¹⁶. Any potential impacts on the supporting habitats may have a consequential impact on these species such as reduced food availability, habitat area and likely effects on mortality rate.

Greenland white-fronted goose is a winter visitor to Ireland from October to April, found grazing on a variety of habitats including peatland, dunes and agricultural grasslands¹¹⁷. Nests may be located by lakes and rivers. Upland blanket bog sites were a preferable habitat for the species, but due to land use changes by peat exploitation, afforestation, energy development and intensification of agricultural activities, the species range expanded outwards to freshwater marshes and wet grasslands¹¹⁸ and subsequently into agricultural grasslands. Marginal agricultural land provides suitable foraging however, these habitats have been increasingly targeted from both agricultural and forestry sectors¹¹⁹.

The diets of the Greenland white-fronted goose is documented to be plant material such as grasses, grains, aquatic plants, winter wheat and potatoes, associated with semi-natural grasslands¹²⁰. Changes to these semi-natural grasslands and other habitat types that support these species, such as afforestation, fertilisation, drainage activities and/or land-use change may reduce food availability for the species. As a result, it can be assumed that the species may be required to travel further for its food source, thereby potentially causing stress. Afforestation in land types that are adjacent to foraging areas is a threat to the Greenland white-fronted goose as noted by NPWS (2019)⁶¹.

Whooper swan will feed off aquatic vegetation, but they are commonly found grazing on agricultural grasslands and fields where there is spilled grain, as well as potatoes from cultivated land. The whooper swans that are present in Ireland each winter nest in Iceland during the summer. Each year a small number of whooper swans stay in Ireland for the summer and there have been occasional breeding records on lakes in the midlands and north-west¹²¹.

With regards to recreational pressures shooting and disturbance are named are the primary reasons for the population decline of Greenland white-fronted geese from 17,500-23,00 in the 1950s to 14,300-16,600 by 1979. In other parts of Ireland disturbance from recreational activities caused Greenland white-fronted geese to adapt to farmland feeding on large estates more often than it would its natural grazing habitat of peatland and dunes¹²². Evidence does show that the supporting habitats of species, such as Greenland white-fronted goose can experience heavy recreation levels, resulting in a corresponding decrease in the amount of feeding occurring on the bogs within the site studied¹²³.

The effects on human activity in bird behaviour and distribution have been extensively studied. Research indicated that the time taken for the whooper swans to resume undisturbed behaviour varied with the

¹¹⁶ Lauder, A. & Lauder, C. (2020) Identification of breeding waterbird hotspots in Ireland. Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 129. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage, Ireland

¹¹⁷ Birdwatch Ireland (2023) Greenland White Fronted Goose. Accessed at <https://birdwatchireland.ie/birds/greenland-white-fronted-goose/> on 22/01/2023

¹¹⁸ NPWS (2023) Greenland White-fronted Goose. Wexford Wildfowl Reserve. Accessed at <https://www.wexfordwildfowlreserve.ie/wildlife-2/greenland-goose/> on 23/01/2023

¹¹⁹ Lewis, L. J., Burke, B., Fitzgerald, N., Tierney, T. D. & Kelly, S. (2019) Irish Wetland Bird Survey: Waterbird Status and Distribution 2009/10-2015/16. Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 106. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Culture, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, Ireland.

¹²⁰ RSPB (2023) Greenland White-fronted Goose. Accessed at <https://www.rspb.org.uk/birds-and-wildlife/wildlife-guides/bird-a-z/white-fronted-goose/> 23/01/2023

¹²¹ Bird Watch Ireland Available on: [Whooper Swan - BirdWatch Ireland](#). Accessed 11 July 2023.

¹²² Norriss, D.W. and Wilson, H.J., 1988. Disturbance and flock size changes in Greenland White-fronted Geese wintering in Ireland. *Wildfowl*, 39(39), pp.63-70.

¹²³ Norriss, D.W. and Wilson, H.J., 1993. Seasonal and long-term changes in habitat selection by Greenland White-fronted Geese Answer albifrons flavirostris in Ireland. *Wildfowl*, 44(44), pp.7-18.

duration of the disturbance event, which in turn depended on the type of disturbance involved, with pedestrians alerting the birds for longer periods than vehicles and aircraft. Recovery rates were linked to field size, flock size and the proportion of the flock alerted. Feeding activity was influenced by a range of variables, including year, season, field location, crop type and the number of days that the flock had used the field¹²⁴. Therefore, recreational activities such as hikers have that potential to disturb flocks of whooper swans if not managed appropriately.

A.1.5.6 Ducks & Divers

Species identified to have pressures and threats relating to forestry and/or recreation related activities are eider, gadwall, goldeneye, mallard, pintail, pochard, red-throated diver, scaup, shoveler, teal, tufted duck, and wigeon. These species can be found in a variety of habitats, with a strong association to freshwater habitats including those Annex I habitats which form QIs of the European site network. Wetland habitats are sites of importance for these species, and a range of land use pressures such as agricultural intensification, drainage, water quality, recreational pressure, scrub and forest encroachment, invasive and predator impacts can result in effects to supporting species¹²⁵. Any potential impacts on the supporting habitats may have a consequential impact on these species such as reduced food availability, habitat area and likely effects on mortality rate.

Birds such as the red throated diver is a waterbird found across the Ireland inhabiting habitats such as small pools and lochs, as well as large lakes and reservoirs. In winter red throated divers often move to the coast where they feed on fish with reports of proportions of the estimated Irish population of red throated diver occurring in non-estuarine coasts¹²⁶. Recreation through sports, tourism, and leisure activities presents a pressure and threat to these elusive species. Human disturbance events from recreational activities such as dog walking can result in moderate to high levels of disturbance to waterbirds such as the red throated diver¹²⁷.

Potential impacts to freshwater habitats, including those which are designated QIs, as a result of forestry and recreation related activities has been discussed in Section A.1.3.3 of this report. Impacts such as changes in water quality could consequently impact the foraging behaviours of duck and diver species such as pochard, tufted duck, scaup and goldeneye. Water quality impacts can reduce the amount of food available, such as the macrobenthos of lakes and other freshwater habitats. A study of two freshwater lakes in Northern Ireland identified a decline in the biomass of benthic macroinvertebrates as a contributing factor in the decline of overwintering bird species¹²⁸. There exists the potential for water quality changes to occur as a result of forestry and/or recreation related activities wherein suitable environmental protection measures are not employed, therefore impacting such species.

A.1.5.7 Other SCIs Impacted

Chough and kingfisher are two other SCIs considered at risk from forestry and/or recreation related activities. Chough are dependent on open habitats with a preference for managed unimproved grassland habitats often with heath, scrub and bracken¹²⁹.

¹²⁴Rees et al (2005) Factors affecting the behavioural responses of whooper swans (*Cygnus c. cygnus*) to various human activities.

¹²⁵ Lauder, A. & Lauder, C. (2020) Identification of breeding waterbird hotspots in Ireland. Irish Wildlife Manuals, No. 129. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage, Ireland

¹²⁶ Crowe, O., Austin, G. and Boland, H., 2012. Waterbird populations on non-estuarine coasts in Ireland: Results of the 2006/07 Non-Estuarine Coastal Waterbird Survey. *Irish Birds*, 9, pp.385-396.

¹²⁷ Lewis, L.J., 2019. An assessment of the effects of recreational and other activities on the waterbirds using the Bull Island saltmarsh. Final Report. Report commissioned by Dublin City & County Council and prepared by BirdWatch Ireland. April 2019. Front Cover Photograph: Brian Burke.

¹²⁸ Tománková, I., Boland, H., Reid, N. & Fox, A.D. 2013. Assessing the extent to which temporal changes in waterbird community composition are driven by either local, regional or global factors. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 23: 343-355

¹²⁹ Woodhouse, S.P., Good, J.E.G., Lovett, A.A., Fuller, R.J., Dolman, P.M., 2005. Effects of land-use and agricultural management on birds of marginal farmland: a case study in the Llyn peninsula, Wales. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 107, 331–340

Kingfisher are predominantly found nesting along river banks and the associated riparian habitats. Riparian habitats of the kingfisher can also be impacted by forestry, through disturbance where activities such as the movement of machinery and recreation amongst others can disturb the species.

Effects on water quality such as sedimentation and/or increased nutrient availability can have trophic level impacts on freshwater bodies, reducing the prey availability for species.

Considering the habitat preferences for these two species, land use changes from activities, such as afforestation have the potential to affect the species through habitat loss, fragmentation, and indirect effects such as disturbance. Where afforestation may occur within their preferred habitats, habitat loss and loss of suitable cover could cause an increase the number of predators, both avian and mammalian as the increased cover from forestry¹³⁰. The potential changes in soil structure and vegetation composition may also cause both species to roam further for appropriate vegetation to hunt within and/or nest within.

¹³⁰ Mark H. Hancock, Daniela Klein, and Neil R. Cowie. "Guild - level Responses by Mammalian Predators to Afforestation and Subsequent Restoration In a Formerly Treeless Peatland Landscape." *Restoration ecology*, v. 28 ,5 pp. 1113-1123. doi: 10.1111/rec.13167

Appendix B

Mandatory Mitigation For Forestry Related Activities

B.1 Embedded Mitigation Measures for Licensed Forestry Activities

B.1.1 General Mitigation Measures

- Forest Protection Guidelines. Forest Service (2000) Department of the Marine and Natural Resources; and
- Forests & Water – Achieving Objectives under Ireland’s River Basin Management Plan 2018-2021 Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine (2018).

B.1.2 Recreation

- Forest Recreation in Ireland A Guide for Forest Owners and Managers (2006) Forest Service, Department of Agriculture and Food.

B.1.3 Afforestation/Reforestation

- Land Types for Afforestation. October 2017. Department of Agriculture, Food & the Marine, Johnstown Castle Estate, Co. Wexford;
- Environmental Requirements for Afforestation, December 2016. Department of Agriculture, Food & the Marine, Johnstown Castle Estate, Co. Wexford;
- Forestry Standards Manual, November 2015. Department of Agriculture, Food & the Marine, Johnstown Castle Estate, Co. Wexford; and
- Forests & Water Achieving Objectives under Ireland’s River Basin Management Plan 2018-2021, June 2018. Department of Agriculture, Food & the Marine, Johnstown Castle Estate, Co. Wexford.

B.1.4 Forest Road Works

- Ryan, T., Phillips, H., Ramsay, J. & Dempsey, J. 2004. Forest Road Manual. Guidelines for the design, construction & management of forest roads. COFORD, Dublin;
- Forest Entrances – Requirements for Mandatory Consultation (Feb. 2020). Department of Agriculture, Food & the Marine. See Circular 03 / 2020. www.gov.ie - [Forestry Grants and Premium Schemes 2014 - 2022 Circulars \(www.gov.ie\)](http://www.gov.ie);
- Forestry & Archaeology Guidelines. Department of Agriculture, Food & the Marine, Johnstown Castle Estate, Co. Wexford;
- Forestry & Water Guidelines. Department of Agriculture, Food & the Marine, Johnstown Castle Estate, Co. Wexford;
- Forest Harvesting & the Environment Guidelines. Department of Agriculture, Food & the Marine, Johnstown Castle Estate, Co. Wexford;
- Forest Service. 2000. Forestry and the Landscape Guidelines. Forest Service, Department of the Marine and Natural Resources; and
- Forest Service. 2000. Guidelines for forestry and biodiversity. Forest Service, Department of the Marine and Natural Resources, Dublin.

B.1.5 Felling and Thinning

- Standards for Felling & Reforestation (v.Oct2019). Department of Agriculture, Food & the Marine. See Circular 14 / 2019. www.gov.ie - [Forestry Grants and Premium Schemes 2014 - 2022 Circulars \(www.gov.ie\)](http://www.gov.ie);

- Forest Harvesting & the Environment Guidelines. Forest Service (2000) Department of the Marine and Natural Resources; and
- Felling & Reforestation Policy. Department of Agriculture, Food & the Marine, Johnstown Castle Estate, Co. Wexford. gov.ie - Tree Felling Licences (www.gov.ie).

B.1.6 Aerial Fertilisation

- DAFM. 2015. Aerial Fertilisation Requirements, July 2015. Department of Agriculture, Food & the Marine, Johnstown Castle Estate, Co. Wexford.

B.1.7 Biodiversity

- AA Mitigation for Felling and Reforestation. July 2022. Department of Agriculture, Food & the Marine, Johnstown Castle Estate, Co. Wexford.
- Standard text for AA mitigation for Afforestation. May 2022. Department of Agriculture, Food & the Marine, Johnstown Castle Estate, Co. Wexford.
- Forestry Biodiversity Guidelines. Forest Service (2000) Department of the Marine and Natural Resources;
- Forestry & Otter Guidelines. Forest Service (2000) Department of the Marine and Natural Resources ⁷⁶;
- Forestry & Kerry Slug Guidelines. Forest Service (2000) Department of the Marine and Natural Resources⁷⁷;
- Forestry & Hair Wood Ant Guidelines;
- Forestry & Freshwater Pearl Mussel Requirements: Site Assessment and Mitigation Measures Forest Service (2000) Department of the Marine and Natural Resources; and
- A Plan for Forests & Freshwater Pearl Mussel in Ireland (Draft).⁷⁸ It is recommended that any mitigation and/or guidance on forestry related activities in relation to the freshwater pearl mussel arising from the finalised version be implemented.

⁷⁶ Forestry & Otter Guidelines Forest Service (2000) Department of the Marine and Natural Resources

⁷⁷ Forestry & Kerry Slug Guidelines. Forest Service (2000) Department of the Marine and Natural Resources

⁷⁸ Plan for Forests & Freshwater Pearl Mussel in Ireland (2021) Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine